

Andrzej Zajtz

### Non-existence of Certain Concomitants of the Singular Tensor $A_{ij}^{\alpha}$ and its Geometrical Interpretation

1. Let  $S_n$  be a surface, imbedded in an  $N$ -dimensional space  $L_N$  with affine connection.  $S_n$  can be defined by a system of Pfaff forms  $\omega^J, \omega^K (I, K = 1, \dots, N)$  which satisfy the following structure equations

$$\begin{aligned} D\omega^J &= \omega^L \wedge \omega_L^J + R_{PQ}^J \omega^P \wedge \omega^Q, \\ D\omega^K &= \omega^L \wedge \omega_L^K + R_{KPQ}^J \omega^P \wedge \omega^Q, \end{aligned}$$

where  $R_{PQ}^J, R_{KPQ}^J$  denote the torsion and curvature tensors of  $L_N$ , respectively. If the first  $n$  vectors  $e_1, \dots, e_N$  of the mobile frame  $m, e_1, \dots, e_N$  of  $L_n$  are situated in the tangent space of  $S_n$ , then  $S_n$  may be defined by the differential equations

$$\omega^{\alpha} = 0, \quad (\alpha = n+1, \dots, N).$$

(cf. [3]). The first prolongation of these equations by using of the Cartan's lemma yields us

$$\omega_i^{\alpha} = A_{ij}^{\alpha} \omega^j, \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, n),$$

where  $A_{ij}^{\alpha} - A_{ji}^{\alpha} = R_{ij}^{\alpha}$ . The functions

$$(1) \quad A_{ij}^{\alpha}$$

represent a tensor field on  $S_n$ .  $A_{ij}^{\alpha}$  is sometime called the asymptotic tensor of surface. It represents (following Laptev [3]) the fundamental object of order 2 of  $S_n$ . This means that every geometric object, whose components depend on the derivatives up to the degree 2 of the parametrical representation of  $S_n$ , is a function (concomitant) of  $A_{ij}^{\alpha}$ . Therefore the investigation of concomitants of this tensor is important for the geometry of surface.

In the present paper we shall investigate the possibility of construction such objects as vectors, densities, scalars and tensors which depend on  $A_{ij}^{\alpha}$ ,

in a case where the tensor (1) is singular. We give only the negative results. Moreover we prove some geometrical properties of the surface which has a singular asymptotic tensor.

2. We consider the general non-symmetric case  $A_{ij}^\alpha$  (that means for  $S_n$  that its torsion tensor  $R_{ij}^\alpha$  does not vanish). In the sequel we put  $\alpha = 1, \dots, p$ .

Definition 1. The number  $r$  such that  $n-r$  is equal to the dimension of a linear subspace  $V \subset V^n$  spanned on all the vectors  $v = (v^i)$  for which holds

$$(2) \quad A_{ik}^\alpha v^k = A_{kj}^\alpha v^k = 0$$

for all  $\alpha = 1, \dots, p$ ;  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ ,\* will be called a horizontal rang of  $A_{ij}^\alpha$ .

Definition 2. The greatest number  $\tau$  for which the tensor

$$(3) \quad A_{i_1 j_1}^{[\alpha_1]} A_{i_2 j_2}^{[\alpha_2]} \dots A_{i_\tau j_\tau}^{[\alpha_\tau]}$$

does not vanish is called the vertical rang of  $A_{ij}^\alpha$ .

Lemma 1. The vertical rang  $\tau$  is equal to the number of linear independent vectors

$$(4) \quad e_{ij} \stackrel{df}{=} A_{ij}^\alpha e_\alpha, \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, n),$$

where  $e_\alpha = (\delta_\alpha^p)$   $p = 1, \dots, p$  are the unit vectors.

Proof. We obtain our statement immediately from the relations

$$(5) \quad e_{i_1 j_1} \wedge e_{i_2 j_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_\tau j_\tau} = \tau! A_{i_1 j_1}^{[\alpha_1]} A_{i_2 j_2}^{[\alpha_2]} \dots A_{i_\tau j_\tau}^{[\alpha_\tau]} e_{\alpha_1} \wedge e_{\alpha_2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{\alpha_\tau}$$

for all sequences of pairs  $(i_k, j_k)$ . It means that the vectors  $e_{i_1 j_1}, \dots, e_{i_\tau j_\tau}$  are independent if and only if not all the coefficients (3) in equalities (5) vanish.

Lemma 2. The number  $p-\tau$  is equal to the maximal number of linear independent vectors  $v = (v_\alpha)$  such that

$$(6) \quad A_{ij}^\alpha v_\alpha = 0,$$

for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ .

Proof. The rows of the following  $n^2 \times p$ -matrix

$$(7) \quad \begin{bmatrix} A_{11}^\alpha \\ A_{12}^\alpha \\ \vdots \\ A_{nn}^\alpha \end{bmatrix}$$

consist of the components of vectors (6). In virtue of the lemma 1 exactly  $\tau$  among these vectors are linearly independent; the same holds also for the rows of matrix (8) and consequently for the columns of this matrix too. That implies the existence of  $p-\tau$  vectors  $(v_\alpha)$  such that the relations (7) hold, which was to be proved.

\* If tensor  $A_{ij}^\alpha$  is symmetric, i.e.  $A_{ji}^\alpha = A_{ij}^\alpha$ , then the conditions (2) take the simpler form  $A_{ik}^\alpha v^k = 0$ .

Definition 3. The tensor  $A_{ij}^\alpha$  ( $\alpha = 1, \dots, p; i, j = 1, \dots, n$ ) will be called regular if its horizontal and vertical rangs are maximal, i.e.  $r = n$  and  $\tau = p$ , otherwise it will be called: horizontal singular if  $r < n$ , vertically singular if  $\tau < p$  and singular in the general case.

§ 3. The transformation formula\* of a geometric object  $\Omega = (\Omega^\lambda)$   $\lambda = 1, \dots, m$  may be given by a system of differential equations

$$(9) \quad d\Omega^\lambda = \Phi_K^\lambda(\Omega) \omega^K,$$

where the  $\omega^K$  denote the invariant forms of Lie transformation group of  $\Omega$ , (cf. [2], p. 327). The forms  $\omega^K$  are also the elements of Lie algebra  $H$  of this group.

Let us denote by  $H_{\Omega_0}$  a Lie subalgebra of  $H$  consisting of all  $\omega^K$  such that

$$(10) \quad \Phi_K^\lambda(\Omega_0) \omega^K = 0, \quad \lambda = 1, \dots, m,$$

i.e.  $H_{\Omega_0}$  is a subalgebra corresponding to the stationary subgroup of  $\Omega_0$  (its elements leave  $\Omega_0$  invariant).

The following statement is well known: An object  $\theta$  is a concomitant of the object  $\Omega$ , i.e.  $\theta = F(\Omega)$ , if and only if every stationary subgroup  $H_{\Omega}$  is a subgroup of the corresponding stationary subgroup  $H_{F(\Omega)}$  (cf. [4]).

From this we get immediately the following

Lemma 4. An object  $\theta$  is a concomitant of the object  $\Omega$  if and only if any subalgebra  $H_{\Omega}$  is contained in the corresponding subalgebra  $H_{F(\Omega)}$ , where  $\theta = F(\Omega)$ .

In our case, for the tensor  $A_{ij}^\alpha$  the equations (9) take the form

$$(11) \quad dA_{ij}^\alpha = A_{ik}^\alpha \omega_j^k + A_{kj}^\alpha \omega_i^k - A_{ij}^\beta \omega_\beta^\alpha,$$

where  $(\omega_j^i, \omega^\alpha)$  — the invariant forms of the direct product  $GL(n) \times GL(p)$  (transformation group of tensor (1)). The corresponding invariance equations (10) have the form

$$(12) \quad A_{ik}^\alpha \omega_j^k + A_{kj}^\alpha \omega_i^k - A_{ij}^\beta \omega_\beta^\alpha = 0$$

for all  $i, j, \alpha$ . These equations are satisfied for any  $A_{ij}^\alpha$ , for instance, by the forms

$$(13) \quad \omega_j^i = \delta_j^i, \quad \omega_\beta^\alpha = 2\delta_\beta^\alpha.$$

It follows from the lemma 4 that every stationary subalgebra of any concomitant of (1) must contain the forms (13). In virtue of this we get easily the following:

Theorem 1. No tensor

$$(14) \quad a_{j_1, \dots, j_q, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_t}^{i_1, \dots, i_p, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s}$$

for which  $(p-q) + 2(s-t) \neq 0$  may be constructed from the tensor (1).

\* If it is analytic.

Proof. In fact, the invariance equations for (14) are following:

$$(15) \quad \sum_{k=1}^p a^{i_1 \dots i_p \dots i_k} \omega_m^{i_k} - \sum_{k=1}^q a_{j_1 \dots j_q \dots j_k} \omega_{j_k}^m + \\ + \sum_{k=1}^s a^{\dots \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_s} \omega_\alpha^{\alpha_k} - \sum_{k=1}^t a_{\dots \beta_1 \dots \beta_t} \omega_{\beta_k}^\alpha = 0.$$

The forms (13) must satisfy these equations for any components (14). Substituting (13) in (15) we obtain

$$a_{j_1 \dots j_q \dots j_t}^{i_1 \dots i_p \dots \alpha_s} [p - q + 2(s - t)] = 0$$

for all indices  $i, j, \alpha, \beta$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

Corollary 1. No vector may be constructed from (1).

Now, let  $I = I(A_{ij}^a)$  be a density concomitant of (1). The differential equations of any density have the form

$$d \ln I = \lambda \omega_i^i + \mu \omega_a^a,$$

where  $\lambda, \mu$  — the weights of  $I$  related to groups  $GL(n)$  and  $GL(p)$  (cf. [3]).

Substituting (13) to the equation

$$(16) \quad \lambda \omega_i^i + \mu \omega_a^a = 0,$$

we obtain  $\lambda n + 2\mu p = 0$ . Hence, for a corresponding power of  $I$ , we can take  $\lambda = 2p$  and  $\mu = -n$ . Substituting it in (16) we have

$$(17) \quad 2p \omega_i^i - n \omega_a^a = 0.$$

§ 4. In the sequel we study the case where the tensor (1) is singular. Assume  $r < n$ . Taking as the first  $n - r$  columns of a transformation matrix  $A \in GL(n)$  the linear independent vectors fulfilling the relations (3) (see lemma 1) we have

$$(18) \quad A_{i'j'}^a = A_{i'}^a A_j^i A_{j'}^i = 0$$

if  $i'$  or  $j'$  is  $\leq n - r$ , i.e. the first  $n - r$  rows and columns in any matrix  $[A_{ij}^a]$  ( $a$  fixed) consist of zeros.

Similarly in the case  $\tau < p$ , taking as the first  $p - r$  columns of transformation matrix  $B \in GL(p)$  the linear independent vectors such that the relations (7) hold we obtain

$$(19) \quad A_{ij}^{a'} = A_{ij}^a B_a^{a'} = 0$$

for all  $a' = 1, \dots, p - r$  and  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ .

In the following we consider the singular tensor (1) in a coordinate system  $(H)$ , for which it holds the relations (18) or (19). It is easy to verify that the stationary subalgebra of any point  $(A_{ij}^a)$ , for which (18) or (19) holds, contains the subspaces consisting of forms

$$(20) \quad \omega_j^i \quad (i \leq n - r, j = 1, \dots, n) \text{ arbitrary and other } \omega_j^i = 0,$$

$$(21) \quad \omega_\beta^a \quad (\beta \leq p - \tau, a = 1, \dots, p) \text{ arbitrary and other } \omega_\beta^a = 0,$$

respectively. By using of lemma 3 we prove our next theorems.

**Theorem 2.** *No non-trivial density I may be a function of a singular tensor (1).*

**Proof.** Substituting (20) or (21) in (17) we get  $2p\omega_i^{\hat{i}} = 0$  or  $n\omega_a^{\hat{a}} = 0$  from which follows  $p = 0$ , or  $n = 0$ , a contradiction. According to lemma 3 the density I can not be a function of a singular tensor  $\Lambda_{ij}^a$ .

**Theorem 3.** *No tensor (14) for which  $p > 0$  and  $q = 0$  may be a concomitant of a horizontally singular tensor (1).*

**Proof.** If we substitute (20) into (15) and we put  $\omega_i^{\hat{i}} = 0$  for  $\hat{i} > 1$  then we obtain:

In the case where  $i_k = 1$  and other indices  $i$  are  $> 1$  it holds

$$(22) \quad a_{\dots}^{i_1 \dots i_m \dots i} p^{\dots} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad m = 1, \dots, n$$

( $i_m^k$  denotes that  $m$  stands in place of  $i_k$ ).

In the case where  $i_{k_1}, i_{k_2} = 1$  and other  $i$  are  $> 1$  we have

$$a_{\dots}^{i_1 \dots i_{k_1} \dots i_{k_2} \dots i} p^{\dots} + a_{\dots}^{i_1 \dots i_{k_2} \dots i_{k_1} \dots i} p^{\dots} = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad m = 1, \dots, n.$$

Hence, after substituting  $m = 1$  we get

$$a_{\dots}^{i_{k_1} i_{k_2} \dots 1 \dots i} p^{\dots} = 0.$$

It follows from this and from (22) that  $a_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_r}^{i_1 \dots i_p, a_1 \dots a_s} = 0$  if at most two indices  $i$  are equal to 1. Assuming  $i_{k_1}, i_{k_2}, i_{k_3} = 1$  we get similarly this statement if at most the indices are equal to 1, etc. The last case where at most all  $p$  indices  $i$  are equal to 1 is general, what completes proof of the theorem.

**Corollary 2.** *No tensor of the form  $\Lambda_a^{ij}$  can be constructed from the horizontally singular tensor  $\Lambda_{ij}^a$ .*

**Theorem 4.** *If the tensor (1) is horizontally singular then there exists no non-vanishing scalar differentiable function  $\sigma(\Lambda_{ij}^a)$  (i.e. a scalar concomitant of  $\Lambda_{ij}^a$ ).*

In fact, if  $\sigma$  is a scalar function of  $\Lambda_{ij}^k$  then the functions

$$(23) \quad \Lambda_a^{ij} = \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \Lambda_{ij}^a}$$

represent a tensor. According to the corollary 2 the tensor concomitant (23) does vanish, thus  $\sigma = \text{const}$ , which proves the theorem.

In a case where the tensor (1) is vertically singular we obtain by a similar manner the following

**Theorem 5.** *No tensor (14) with  $t > 0$  and  $s = 0$  may be a concomitant of a vertically singular tensor (1).*

The corollary 2 and the theorem 4 hold in this case too.

**The geometrical interpretation**

The infinitesimal displacement of the mobile frame  $m, e_1, \dots, e_N$  of  $L_N$  is defined by the relations

$$dm = \omega^I e_I, \quad de_I = \omega_I^K e_K \quad (I, K = 1, \dots, N).$$

Hence, after putting  $\omega^a = 0$ ,  $\omega_i^a = A_{ij}^\alpha \omega^i$  (cf. section 1) we get the following formulae for a displacement of the tangent frame  $m, e_1, \dots, e_n$  on  $S_n$ :

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} dm &= \omega^i e_i \\ de_i &= \omega_i^k e_k + A_{ik}^\alpha \omega^k e_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

( $i, j, k = 1, \dots, n$ ). We have from (24)

$$d^2m = d(\omega^i e_i) = (d\omega^i + \omega^j \omega_j^i) e_i + A_{ij}^\alpha \omega^i \omega^j e_\alpha.$$

After substituting here  $e_{ij} = A_{ij}^\alpha e_\alpha$  we get

$$d^2m = (d\omega^i + \omega^j \omega_j^i) e_i + \omega^i \omega^j e_{ij}.$$

The linear space spanned on the vectors  $\{e_i, e_{ij}\}$  is called the (first) osculating plane of  $S_n$  in a point  $m$ . It is easy to verify that it is invariant under the displacement (24).

**Definition 4.** A surface  $S_n$  is called space-degenerated of rang  $\tau$  if the dimension of its osculating plane is not maximal and equal  $n + \tau < n + \min(n^2, N - n)$ .

It follows immediately from the lemma 1 that the following holds.

**Theorem 6.** *A surface is space degenerated of rang  $\tau$  if and only if its asymptotic tensor field (1) is vertically singular of rang  $\tau$  in each point.*

**Definition 5.** A surface is called tangentially degenerated of rang  $r < n$  if its tangent plane  $E_n$  depends on  $r$  parameters and remains immovable by the displacement of point of surface along a plane  $E_{n-r}$ , contained in this surface ( $S_n$  represents then a  $r$ -parametric family of planes  $E_{n-r}$ , cf. [1]). We shall prove our next theorem in the case where the space  $L_N$  is a flat affine space  $A_N$  (i.e. where  $R_{PQ}^J = R_{K PQ}^J = 0$ ). The structure equations of  $A_N$  are of the form

$$(25) \quad D\omega^J = \omega^L \wedge \omega_L^J, \quad D\omega_K^J = \omega_K^L \wedge \omega_L^J.$$

**Theorem 7.** *A surface  $S_n$  imbedded in an affine space  $A_N$  is tangentially degenerated of rang  $r < n$  if and only if its asymptotic tensor field (1) is horizontally singular of rang  $r$  in each point.*

**Proof of sufficiency.** We choose the tangent frame  $m, e_1, \dots, e_n$  in such a manner that the tensor  $A_{ij}^\alpha$  satisfies the relations (18) in each point. In the following we assume that the indices  $a, b$  run over  $1, \dots, n-r$ ;  $i, j, k, m = 1, \dots, n$  and  $p, q = n-r+1, \dots, n$ . Then we have in view of (18)

$$(27) \quad A_{ia}^\alpha = A_{aj}^\alpha = 0.$$

We state that through each point  $m$  passes an  $n-r$  dimensional plane  $E_{n-r}$  spanned on the first  $n-r$  tangent vectors  $e_1, \dots, e_{n-r}$  and lying in  $S_n$ .

The tensor field (1) satisfies the following differential equations (cf. [3])

$$(28) \quad dA_{ij}^a = A_{im}^a \omega_j^m + A_{mj}^a \omega_i^m - A_{ij}^b \omega_\beta^a + A_{ijk}^a \omega^k,$$

where  $\omega^k$  are basic forms.

After putting (27) in (28) we obtain  $A_{abk}^a \omega^k = 0$  and hence, while the forms  $\omega^k$  are independent, we get

$$(29) \quad A_{abk}^a = 0.$$

Similarly, substituting in (28) the indices  $a, q$  in place of  $i, j$  we get

$$(30) \quad A_{pq}^a \omega_a^p + A_{aqk}^a \omega^k = 0.$$

In view of (29) and of symmetry of coefficients  $A_{ijk}^a$  we have  $A_{aqb}^a = 0$  and therefore, taking  $\omega^p = 0$ , we get from (30)

$$(31) \quad A_{pq}^a \omega_a^p = 0 \pmod{\omega^p}.$$

Let us put

$$(32) \quad v^j = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n-r \\ \omega_a^j & \text{for } j = n-r, \dots, n \end{cases}$$

by a fixed  $a$ . Then the relations (31) can be written in the form  $A_{jq}^a v^j = 0$  and from symmetry of  $A_{ij}^a$  also  $A_{qj}^a v^j = 0$ . In view of (27) and of the assumption that the horizontal rang of  $A_{ij}^a$  is equal exactly to  $r$ , the subspace  $V_0$  (cf. definition 1) of all vectors satisfying (2) is here spanned on vectors  $e_1, \dots, e_{n-r}$ , i.e.  $V_0 = E_{n-r}$ . Thus it must hold  $(v^j) \in E_{n-r}$  and hence in view of (32)  $v^j = 0$ .

Thus we have finally according to (31) and (32)

$$(33) \quad \omega_a^p = 0 \pmod{\omega^p}.$$

We have from (25)

$$(34) \quad d\omega_a^p = \omega_a^b \wedge \omega_b^p + \omega_a^q \wedge \omega_q^p,$$

while  $\omega^a = 0$  on  $S_n$ . In view of (33) and (34) we obtain  $d\omega_a^p = 0 \pmod{\omega^p}$ , which means that the system of differential equations  $\omega^p = 0$  is completely integrable. Thus these equations define a family of subsurfaces which are tangent to  $E_{n-r}$  in each point. From (24), (27) and (33) we get the following relations

$$(35) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} dm &= \omega^a e_a \\ de_a &= \omega_a^b e_b \end{aligned} \right\} \pmod{\omega^p}.$$

That means, that these subspaces are the plans of dimension  $n-r$ . Thus they are identical with the plans  $E_{n-r}$ . By an infinitesimal displacement of a tangent vector  $v$  in any direction  $u$  we have

$$dv = (dv^i e_i) = (dv^i + \omega_j^i v^j) e_i + A_{ij}^a v^i u^j e_a.$$

But it holds  $A_{ij}^a u^i = 0$  for  $u \in E_{n-r}$ . Hence  $A_{ij}^a v^i u^j e_a = 0$ , which means that the vector  $v$  remains in a tangent plane. Since  $v$  is arbitrary tangent vector, also the whole tangent plane  $E_n$  is immovable by a displacement on  $E_{n-r}$ , q.e.d.

In order to prove the necessity it is sufficient to remark that the relations (35) imply the relations (2) for any vector  $v$  from a plane  $E_{n-r}$  (we get that by the comparison (35) with (24)). Thus it must be (we assume that  $S_n$  is tangentially degenerated of maximal rang  $r$ )  $V_0 = E_{n-r}$ , which means that the asymptotic tensor (1) is horizontally singular of rang  $r$  in each point. This completes the proof of the theorem.

The theorems of the section 3 have immediately simple meaning for the surface. They say about non-existence of certain geometric objects fields of order 2, invariantly bounded with the surface. For example, the following holds.

**Theorem 8.** *On a singular surface do not exist the fields of relative invariants (densities) and fields of differentiable absolute invariants (scalars) of order 2.*

From the corollary 1 we get the following

**Theorem 9.** *On any surface imbedded in  $L_N$  (or in  $A_N$ ) do not exist the fields of vectors of order 2.*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] М. А. Акивис, *Об одном классе тангенциально вырожденных поверхностей*, ДАН, 146. (1962), 515—518.
- [2] Г. Ф. Лаптев, *Дифференциальная геометрия погруженных многообразий*, Труды Моск. Мат. Общ. 2(1953), 275—382.
- [3] Г. Ф. Лаптев, *Об инвариантном оснащении поверхности в пространстве аффинной связности*, ДАН, 126 (1959), 490—493.
- [4] В. В. Вагнер, *Теория дифференциальных объектов и основания дифференциальной геометрии, приложение к книге О. Veblen-J.H.C. Whitehead, The foundations of differential geometry (russ.)*, Moskwa 1949.
- [5] A. Zajtz, *Komitanten der Tensoren zweiter Ordnung*, Zeszyty Naukowe UJ. Prace Matematyczne 8 (1964), 1-52.