

Andrzej Peleczar

On Some Iterative-differential Equations II

The present paper is the second part of the paper [1], in which were proved theorems concerning the existence and the uniqueness of the solutions of the equation

$$(*) \quad y(x) = c + \int_0^x f(t, y(t), y(y(t))) dt$$

(cf. (3) in [1]), equivalent to the equation

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = f(t, y(t), y(y(t)))$$

with the initial condition

$$y(0) = c$$

(cf. (1)-(2) in [1]).

In this paper we give some other theorems concerning (*).

We continue the same numeration of sections, theorems and formulas which had been introduced in the first part [1].

6. Theorem 3. *Let us suppose that*

1. $|f(x, y, u)| \leq K$,
2. for each c belonging to some closed interval U , there exists at most one solution $y = y(x)$ of equation (*),
3. a sequence $\{c_n\}$, $c_n \in U$, converges to c as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
4. for each n there exists the (unique) solution $y_n = y_n(x)$, $0 \leq y \leq a$ of the equation

$$(21) \quad y(x) = c_n + \int_0^x f(t, y(t), y(y(t))) dt.$$

Then the sequence $\{y_n\}$ is uniformly convergent in $\langle 0, a \rangle$ and the limit $y(x) = \lim y_n(x)$ is the (unique) solution of equation $(*)$.

Proof. The functions y_n are equicontinuous; hence there exists a subsequence $\{y_{n_k}\}$ uniformly convergent to some function $y = y(x)$. It is easy to see that $y(x)$ is a solution of $(*)$. The solution of $(*)$ must be unique and therefore each subsequence of $\{y_n\}$ is uniformly convergent to this solution $y(x)$. Then $\{y_n\}$ uniformly converges to $y = y(x)$.

Corollary. If the assumptions of the uniqueness of solutions of $(*)$ is satisfied, then solutions depend continuously on initial conditions.

7. Remark 1. In [1] we assumed that $c \geq Ka$ (cf. (10)). In the case $0 \leq f \leq K$, we can assume only $c \geq 0$.

Theorem 4. If $0 \leq f \leq K$, $c + Ka \leq a$, $c \geq 0$ and $f = f(x, y, u)$ is increasing with respect to y, u , then there exist the maximal and the minimal solutions of the problem (1)-(2) (in other words: of equation $(*)$) in the class of increasing functions $z \in C^1(\langle 0, a \rangle)$, $0 \leq z \leq a$.

Theorem 5. Let us suppose the assumptions of Theorem 4. If an increasing function $v = v(x)$ belonging to $C^1(\langle 0, a \rangle)$, $v(0) \leq c$, $0 \leq v \leq a$ satisfies the inequality

$$(22) \quad \frac{dv}{dx} \leq f(x, v(x), v(v(x))),$$

then $v(x) \leq \hat{y}(x)$ for $x \in \langle 0, a \rangle$, where $\hat{y} = \hat{y}(x)$ is the maximal solution of $(*)$.

The proofs of Theorems 4 and 5 follow directly from the results of [2]. Indeed, let us denote

$$Q = \{y \in C^1(\langle 0, a \rangle) : y \text{ is increasing, } |y'| \leq K,$$

$$0 \leq y(x) \leq c + \int_0^x f(t, y(t), y(y(t))) dt\}$$

It is easy to see that Q is non-empty since $y = 0$ belongs to Q .

Let us put

$$F: C^1(\langle 0, a \rangle) \ni y \rightarrow F(y) \in C^1(\langle 0, a \rangle)$$

where

$$F(y)(x) = c + \int_0^x f(t, y(t), y(y(t))) dt.$$

If we introduce the following relation: $y \leq z$ if and only if $y(x) \leq z(x)$ for each $x \in \langle 0, a \rangle$, then $C^1(\langle 0, a \rangle)$ will be a partially ordered set. It is easy to prove that for $Q \subset C^1(\langle 0, a \rangle)$ and the mapping F all assumptions of Theorem 1 from [2] are satisfied. Hence there exists the maximal solution (in the class of increasing functions) of the equation $y = F(y)$. On the same way, using Theorem 2 from [2], we can prove that there exists the minimal solution (increasing) of the equation $y = F(y)$.

Hence there exist the maximal and the minimal solutions of $(*)$ in the class of increasing functions belonging to $C^1(\langle 0, a \rangle)$, and the proof of Theorem 4 is complete.

In virtue of Remark 1 in [2], the above reasoning proves Theorem 5 too.

Remark 2. Let us suppose the assumptions of Theorem 4 and let w be an arbitrary function of the class $C^1(\langle 0, a \rangle)$, $w(0) \leq c$, $0 \leq w \leq a$, satisfying inequality (22). It is easy to see that

$$v(x) = c + \int_0^x f(t, w(t), w(w(t))) dt$$

fulfils all assumptions of Theorem 5. Hence $v \leq \hat{y}$ and in the consequence $w \leq \hat{y}$.

Corollary. *The maximal solution $\hat{y} = \hat{y}(x)$ of $(*)$ in the class of increasing functions belonging to $C^1(\langle 0, a \rangle)$ is the maximal solution in the class $C^1(\langle 0, a \rangle)$.*

Remark 3. On an analogous way we can prove that the minimal solution of $(*)$ in the class of increasing functions belonging to $C^1(\langle 0, a \rangle)$ is the minimal solution in the class $C^1(\langle 0, a \rangle)$.

Therefore we proved the following

Theorem 6. *If $0 \leq f \leq K$, $c + Ka \leq a$, $c \geq 0$ and $f = f(x, y, u)$ is increasing with respect to y, u , then there exist the maximal and the minimal solutions of the problem (1)-(2) (resp., of equation $(*)$) in the class of functions $z \in C^1(\langle 0, a \rangle)$, $0 \leq z \leq a$.*

REFERENCES

- 1] A. Pelczar, *On some iterative-differential equations I*, Zeszyty Naukowe UJ, Prace Matematyczne 12 (1968), 53—56.
- [2] A. Pelczar, *On invariant points of monotone transformations in partially ordered spaces*, Ann. Polon. Math. 7 (1965), 49—53.