

Janusz Matkowski

Note on a Functional Equation

The object of the present note is the discussion of the functional equation

$$(1) \quad \Phi(z) = H(z, \Phi(f(z))),$$

where $\Phi(z)$ is the unknown function and $f(z)$ and $H(z, w)$ are complex-valued functions of complex variables.

We assume that f is analytic at $z = 0$ and

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (|z| \leq r),$$

$$(2) \quad |a_1| < 1,$$

$H(z, w)$ is an analytic function of two complex variables (z, w) at the point $(0, 0)$ and $H(0, 0) = 0$.

Evidently, the necessary condition of the existence of an analytic solution of equation (1) is the existence of a formal solution.

In [1] the following theorem has been proved.

Every formal solution

$$(3) \quad \Phi(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n$$

of equation (1) has a positive radius of convergence.

In the present note we give a proof of this theorem, shorter than that in [1].

Suppose that (3) is a formal solution of equation (1). It follows from (2) that there exists a positive integer p such that

$$(4) \quad |H_w(0, 0)| |f'(0)| < 1.$$

We may write

$$(5) \quad \Phi(z) = P(z) + z^p \varphi(z)$$

where

$$P(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{p-1} c_n z^n, \quad \varphi(z) = c_p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} c_n z^n.$$

We define the function

$$(6) \quad h(z, v) = \frac{H(z, P(f(z)) + (f(z))^p v) - P(z)}{z^p}.$$

We shall prove that $h(z, v)$ is analytic at $(0, \beta)$ for arbitrary β . Suppose that

$$H(z, w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z) w^n \quad (|z| \leq r, |w| \leq R).$$

Let us fix $R_0 > |\beta|$. Since $P(0) = 0$ and $f(0) = 0$, there exists $r_0 \leq r$ such that for $|z| \leq r_0$ and $|v| \leq R_0$ we have

$$|P(f(z)) + (f(z))^p v| \leq R.$$

Evidently, $f_1(z) = \frac{f(z)}{z}$ is analytic for $|z| \leq r_0$ and for $|z| \leq r_0, |v| \leq R_0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(z, P(f(z)) + (f(z))^p v) - P(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z) (P(f(z)) + z^p (f_1(z))^p v)^n - P(z) = \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n(z) z^{np} v^n = b_0(z) + z^p \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n(z) z^{p(n-1)} v^n, \end{aligned}$$

where $b_n(z)$ are expressed by $a_n(z), f(z), f_1(z)$ and $P(z)$. Since the formal series $\varphi(z)$ formally satisfies the equation

$$z^p \varphi(z) = b_0(z) + z^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n(z) z^{p(n-1)} (\varphi(f(z)))^n,$$

we infer that $b_0(z) = z^p b(z)$ where $b(z)$ is analytic for $|z| \leq r_0$. Thus $h(z, v)$ is analytic for $|z| \leq r_0$ and $|v| \leq R_0$.

By (6) we get

$$(7) \quad h'_v(z, v) = H'_w(z, P(f(z)) + (f(z))^p v) \left(\frac{f(z)}{z} \right)^p.$$

Moreover, $\varphi(z)$ formally satisfies the equation

$$(8) \quad \varphi(z) = h(z, \varphi(f(z)))$$

and consequently

$$(9) \quad c_p = h(0, c_p).$$

Equation (1) together with (5) is equivalent to (8). From (7) we obtain

$$h'_v(0, c_p) = H'_w(0, 0) (f'(0))^p.$$

Hence and from (4) there exist $\vartheta < 1$, $r_1 > 0$ and $R_1 > 0$ such that for $|z| \leq r_1$ and $|v_1 - c_p| \leq R_1, |v_2 - c_p| \leq R_1$ we have

$$(10) \quad |h(z, v_2) - h(z, v_1)| \leq \vartheta |v_2 - v_1|.$$

Let us fix $0 < K \leq R_1$. By the continuity of $h(z, v)$ there exists a number $r_2 > 0$ such that for $|z| \leq r_2$ we have

$$(11) \quad |h(z, c_p) - h(0, c_p)| \leq (1 - \vartheta)K.$$

Evidently, there exists an $r_3 > 0$ such that for $|z| \leq r_3$ we have

$$(12) \quad |f(z)| \leq |z|.$$

Let $r = \min(r_1, r_2, r_3)$. We denote by A the set of all analytic functions $\varphi(z)$ which fulfil the condition

$$(13) \quad |\varphi(z) - c_p| \leq K \quad (|z| \leq r, \varphi(0) = c_p).$$

This set with the metric $\varrho(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \sup\{|\varphi_2(z) - \varphi_1(z)| : |z| \leq r\}$ is a complete metric space.

Now we consider the transformation $\psi = T(\varphi)$ defined by the formula

$$(14) \quad \psi(z) = h(z, \varphi(f(z))).$$

We shall prove that $T(A) \subset A$. If $\varphi \in A$, then from (14), (9), (12), (13), (10), and (11) we obtain for $|z| \leq r$

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi(z) - c_p| &= |h(z, \varphi(f(z))) - h(0, c_p)| \leq |h(z, \varphi(f(z))) - h(z, c_p)| + \\ &+ |h(z, c_p) - h(0, c_p)| \leq \vartheta|\varphi(f(z)) - c_p| + (1 - \vartheta)K \leq \vartheta K + (1 - \vartheta)K = K. \end{aligned}$$

From (9) we get $\psi(0) = c_p$, so $\psi \in A$.

Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in A$, $\psi_1 = T(\varphi_1)$, $\psi_2 = T(\varphi_2)$. From (10) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho(\psi_1, \psi_2) &= \sup_{|z| \leq r} |h(z, \varphi_2(f(z))) - h(z, \varphi_1(f(z)))| \\ &\leq \sup_{|z| \leq r} |\varphi_2(f(z)) - \varphi_1(f(z))| \leq \vartheta \varrho(\varphi_1, \varphi_2). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently T is a contraction. Hence, on account of the Banach contraction principle, it follows that there exists exactly one analytic solution $\varphi(z)$ of equation (8). Thus (5) is an analytic solution of equation (1).

REFERENCE

- [1] W. Smajdor, *On the existence and uniqueness of analytic solutions of the functional equation $\varphi(z) = h(z, \varphi(f(z)))$* , Ann. Polon. Math. 19 (1967), 37–45.