

*Małgorzata Nowotarska*

### Remark on the Chaplygin Method for Parabolic Equations in Unbounded Domains

In paper [1] S. Brzyczyz proved the existence and uniqueness in an unbounded domain  $D$  of a solution to the first Fourier problem for an almost linear, diagonal system of parabolic equations

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} - \sum_{p=1}^m \frac{\partial^2 u_i}{\partial x_p^2} = f_i(t, x, u_1, \dots, u_r) \quad (i = 1, \dots, r),$$

where  $(t, x) = (t, x_1, \dots, x_m) \in D$ .

Among other assumptions the right-hand sides of (1) were supposed to satisfy the following

#### Assumptions $H$

- a)  $f_i(t, x, u_1, \dots, u_r)$  are continuous in  $D \times R^r$  ( $i = 1, \dots, r$ ) and hölderian with respect to  $x$ ,  
 b)  $f_i(t, x, u_1, \dots, u_r)$  fulfil the Lipschitz condition with respect to  $u_1, \dots, u_r$ ,

$$|f_i(t, x, u_1, \dots, u_r) - f_i(t, x, \tilde{u}_1, \dots, \tilde{u}_r)| \leq (L_1 \|x\|^2 + L_2) \sum_{j=1}^r |u_j - \tilde{u}_j|,$$

where  $\|x\|$  denotes the Euclidean norm.

Constructing a solution to the first Fourier problem for system (1) in  $D$  by means of Chaplygin's method, S. Brzyczyz defined a sequence  $\{u_i^{(n)}(t, x)\}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, r; n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) of functions, where  $u_i^{(n)}(t, x)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, r$ ) is a solution of class  $C^2$  in  $D$  to the linear system

$$(2) \quad \frac{\partial u_i^{(n)}}{\partial t} - \sum_{p=1}^m \frac{\partial^2 u_i^{(n)}}{\partial x_p^2} = f_i(t, x, u_1^{(n-1)}(t, x), \dots, u_r^{(n-1)}(t, x)) + \\ + (L_1 \|x\|^2 + L_2) [u_i^{(n-1)}(t, x) - u_i^{(n)}] \quad (i = 1, \dots, r)$$

and proved its almost uniform convergence in  $D$ . Putting

$$(3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_i^{(n)}(t, x) = U_i(t, x),$$

he obtained continuous functions  $U_i(t, x)$  in  $D$ , which were then proved to form a solution of class  $C^2$  in  $D$  to the system (1).

The purpose of the present paper is to prove the last fact by a shorter argument than that used by S. Brzywczy. Our argument is based on the continuous dependence of the solution in a bounded domain on the right-hand sides of equations and on the initial and boundary conditions.

We shall now prove the following theorem which implies the above statement concerning the functions  $U_i(t, x)$ .

**Theorem.** Let the functions  $f_i(t, x, u_1, \dots, u_r)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, r$ ) satisfy Assumptions  $H$ . Suppose  $\{u_i^{(n)}(t, x)\}$  ( $i = 1, \dots, r$ ;  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) to be a sequence of functions such that  $u_i^1(t, x)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, r$ ) are of class  $C^2$  in  $D$  and  $u_i^{(n)}(t, x)$  ( $n = 2, 3, \dots$ ) is a solution of class  $C^2$  in  $D$  to the linear system (2). Suppose that we have (3) almost uniformly in  $D$ .

Under these assumptions  $U_i(t, x)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, r$ ) is a solution of class  $C^2$  in  $D$  to the system (1).

**Proof.** Obviously it is sufficient to prove that in a neighbourhood of any point  $(t^*, x^*) \in D$  the functions  $U_i(t, x)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, r$ ) form a solution of class  $C^2$  to the system (1).

To this effect, consider a cylindrical neighbourhood of the point  $(t^*, x^*)$

$$C = \{(t, x) : |t - t^*| < h^*, \|x - x^*\| < R^*\}$$

such that  $\bar{C} \subset D$ .

Denote

$$\Sigma = \{(t, x) : |t - t^*| < h^*, \|x - x^*\| = R^*\},$$

$$S_0 = \{(t, x) : t = t^* - h^*, \|x - x^*\| \leq R^*\},$$

and consider in  $C$  the linear system of equations

$$(4) \quad \frac{\partial z_i}{\partial t} - \sum_{p=1}^m \frac{\partial^2 z_i}{\partial x_p^2} = f_i(t, x, U_1(t, x), \dots, U_r(t, x)) \quad (i = 1, \dots, r)$$

with initial and boundary conditions

$$(5) \quad z_i(t, x) = U_i(t, x) \quad \text{for} \quad (t, x) \in S_0 \cup \Sigma.$$

Now, let us remark that the functions  $u_i^{(n)}(t, x)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, r$ ) satisfy the following system

$$(6) \quad \frac{\partial u_i^{(n)}}{\partial t} - \sum_{p=1}^m \frac{\partial^2 u_i^{(n)}}{\partial x_p^2} = f_i(t, x, u_1^{(n-1)}(t, x), \dots, u_r^{(n-1)}(t, x)) + \\ + (L_1 \|x\|^2 + L_2)[u_i^{(n-1)}(t, x) - u_i^{(n)}(t, x)]$$

and that by (3) they are uniformly (with respect to  $n$ ) bounded in  $\bar{C}$ . Hence, it follows by Assumption a) that the right-hand sides of (6), which we denote

shortly by  $g_i^{(n)}(t, x)$  ( $i = 1, \dots, r$ ), are uniformly (with respect to  $n$ ) bounded in  $\bar{C}$ .

According to known formulas we get from (6)

$$(7) \quad u_i^{(n)}(t, x) = \frac{1}{(2\sqrt{\pi})^m} \left[ \int_{\Sigma_t} \frac{dG(t, x, \tau, \xi)}{dv} u_i^{(n)}(\tau, \xi) d\sigma + \int_{S_0} G(t, x, t^* - h^*, \xi) u_i^{(n)}(t^* - h^*, \xi) d\xi + \int_{C_t} G(t, x, \tau, \xi) g_i^{(n)}(\tau, \xi) d\tau d\xi \right],$$

where  $G(t, x, \tau, \xi)$  is Green's function and  $\Sigma_t$ ,  $C_t$  denote respectively the intersections of  $\Sigma$  and  $C$  with the zone  $t^* - h^* < \tau < t$ . By the uniform boundedness of  $u_i^{(n)}$  and  $g_i^{(n)}$  it follows from (7) that the functions  $u_i^{(n)}(t, x)$  are continuous in  $\bar{C}$  and locally Lipschitz continuous with respect to  $x$ , with a constant independent of  $n$ . Hence, we conclude by (3) that  $U_i(t, x)$  are continuous in  $\bar{C}$  and locally Lipschitz continuous with respect to  $x$ . The last property together with the Assumptions  $H$  implies that the right-hand sides of the system (4) are continuous in  $\bar{C}$  and locally Hölder continuous with respect to  $x$ . Hence, it follows by a known theorem [2] that there exists a solution  $z_i(t, x)$  to the problem (4), (5), which is of class  $C^2$  in  $C$ .

Now, by (3) we conclude that the right-hand sides of (6) converge uniformly in  $\bar{C}$  to the right-hand sides of (4) and the initial and boundary values of  $u_i^{(n)}(t, x)$  converge uniformly on  $S_0 \cup \Sigma$  to the respective values of  $z_i(t, x)$ . Hence, by a known theorem [3] (Theorem 51.1) it follows that

$$(8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_i^{(n)}(t, x) = z_i(t, x) \quad (i = 1, \dots, r)$$

uniformly in  $\bar{C}$ . The relations (3) and (8) imply that

$$U_i(t, x) = z_i(t, x) \text{ in } C$$

and consequently  $z_i(t, x)$  being a solution to the system (4), of class  $C^2$  in  $C$ , we get  $U_i(t, x)$  as a solution of class  $C^2$  in  $C$  to the system (1). This completes the proof.

I should like to thank Professor J. Szarski for his valuable remarks.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. Brzychezy, *Zastosowanie metody Ozaplygina do rozwiązania I problemu Fouriera dla prawie liniowego układu równań parabolicznych w obszarze nieograniczonym*, Zesz. Nauk. AGH, 208, z. 2 (1968), 9—21.
- [2] M. Gevrey, *Sur l'équation aux dérivées partielles du type parabolique*, Journal Math. 9 (1931), 305—471.
- [3] J. Szarski, *Differential inequalities*, Warszawa 1967.