

Dobiesław Brydak, Bogdan Choczewski

Classification of Continuous Solutions of a Functional Inequality

1. Continuous solutions $\psi: \langle 0, a \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ of the linear homogeneous inequality

$$(1) \quad \psi[f(x)] \leq g(x)\psi(x)$$

have been dealt with by the first author of the present paper in [1] under the following general hypotheses

(H) *The function $f: \langle 0, a \rangle \rightarrow \langle 0, a \rangle$ is continuous and strictly increasing in $\langle 0, a \rangle$, and $0 < f(x) < x$ in $(0, a)$. The function $g: \langle 0, a \rangle \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ is continuous in $\langle 0, a \rangle$.*

Given a solution $\psi \in C\langle 0, a \rangle$ of inequality (1) we may be interested in finding a function $\varphi \in C\langle 0, a \rangle$ fulfilling the functional equation

$$(2) \quad \varphi[f(x)] = g(x)\varphi(x)$$

for $x \in \langle 0, a \rangle$ and such that

$$(3) \quad \psi(x) \geq \varphi(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \langle 0, a \rangle.$$

This problem has also been considered in [1], but — in the case where equation (2) has a C -solution depending on an arbitrary function — the results are not very effective.

In the present paper we shall study inequality (1) in a class of functions that behave near the origin like a power function with an exponent $p > 0$, viz. in the class U^p of functions that has been introduced by M. Kuczma [5].

It turns out that, with the aid of some results due to the second author of the present paper [2], we can classify continuous solutions ψ of inequality (1) and find some formulae for the corresponding U^p -solutions φ of equation (2) that fulfils (3). Some related questions will be also considered.

2. We first complete some results on solutions of inequality (1) that have been proved in [1]. In this section we assume hypotheses (H) to hold.

We put

$$(4) \quad I = \langle 0, a \rangle, \quad a > 0;$$

$$(5) \quad f^0(x) = x, \quad f^{n+1}(x) = f(f^n(x)), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \quad x \in I,$$

$$(6) \quad G_n(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} g[f^i(x)], \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad x \in I$$

and denote

$$\Phi = \{\varphi \in C[I] : \varphi(x) > 0 \quad \text{for } x \in (0, a)\}.$$

Lemma 1. If ψ is a Φ -solution of inequality (1) in I then there exists the limit

$$(7) \quad \varphi_0(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi[f^n(x)]/G_n(x)$$

for $x \in I$, and the function φ_0 is a solution of (2), upper semicontinuous in I and continuous at zero. Moreover, if $\varphi_0 \in \Phi$, then it is the maximal solution of (2) in Φ satisfying (3) and the function ψ can be written in the form

$$\psi(x) = \eta(x)\varphi_0(x) \quad \text{for } x \in I,$$

where $\eta \in \Phi$ is an $\{f\}$ -decreasing function fulfilling further the condition $\eta(0) = 1$.

Remarks. The phrase " φ_0 is the maximal solution" has the following meaning: if, for a solution $\varphi \in \Phi$ of equation (2) in I , there exists an $x_0 \in (0, a)$ such that $\varphi(x_0) > \varphi_0(x_0)$, then there exists a positive integer k such that $\varphi[f^k(x_0)] > \psi[f^k(x_0)]$.

2. A function $\eta: I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is said to be $\{f\}$ -decreasing iff

$$\eta[f(x)] \leq \eta(x) \quad \text{for } x \in I.$$

A necessary and sufficient condition for the φ_0 given by (7) to belong to the class Φ is given in the next lemma.

Lemma 2. Let ψ be a Φ -solution of inequality (1) in I . Then $\varphi_0 \in \Phi$ iff there exists the limit $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0+} \psi(x)/\varphi_0(x)$ (then necessarily equal to 1). Moreover, for $\varphi \in \Phi$ the limit $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0+} \psi(x)/\varphi(x)$ exists and it is finite and different from zero iff $\varphi = c\varphi_0$, $c > 0$.

The last lemma in this section contains some relations between the existence of the limit

$$(8) \quad b = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0+} \psi[f(x)]/\psi(x)$$

and the property $\varphi_0 \in \Phi$. Note that if ψ fulfils (1) then we always have $b \leq g(0)$ if b exists.

Lemma 3. If φ_0 assigned to a Φ -solution ψ of (1) by (7) belongs to Φ , then the limit (8) exists, and

$$(9) \quad b = g(0).$$

On the other hand, if b exists and

$$(10) \quad b < g(0),$$

then $\varphi_0(x) = 0$ for $x \in I$.

Proofs of these lemmas are to be found in [1].

3. In the present paper we shall be especially interested in finding for a given ψ that satisfies (1) such a solution $\varphi \in \Phi$ of (2) which is the maximal solution fulfilling (3). The formula (7) given in lemma 1 produces such a solution in the case where equation (2) has the only one-parameter family of C -solutions in Φ . However, this is the case iff

(A) *The sequence $G_n(x)$ defined by (6) with (5) approaches a non-zero limit in I and the limit function is continuous in I .*

As has been proved in [3] (cf. also [6], Ch. 2) all the C -solutions of (2) in I are then given by the formula

$$(11) \quad \varphi(x) = c / \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_n(x), \quad c \in \mathbf{R},$$

and the φ_0 defined by (7) we get for $c = 1$.

However in the case where

(B) *There is an interval $J \subset I$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_n(x) = 0$, uniformly in J*

equation (2) possesses in I a C -solution depending on an arbitrary function (cf. [3]) and without further assumptions we cannot know whether the φ_0 given by (7) belongs to Φ or not.

Since in case (B) any solution $\varphi \in \Phi$ of equation (2) admits the value zero at $x = 0$ thus in the sequel we shall deal with solutions (both of inequality (1) and equation (2)) in a class U^p of functions, whose definition follows.

Definition (cf. [5]). A function $a \in \Phi$ is said to belong to the class U^p of functions, where $p > 0$, iff there exists the limit $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0+} x^{-p} a(x)$ and it is a positive number.

In this section we present some results from the theory of asymptotic behaviour of C -solutions of equation (2), given in [2]. They will contain some conditions for the existence of the only one-parameter family of solutions of (2) in the case (B). Beside hypotheses (H) we assume here that either

(i) *There exist numbers: $s \in (0, 1)$, $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$ such that*

$$(12) \quad f(x) = sx + o(x^{1+\alpha}), \quad x \rightarrow 0+,$$

$$(13) \quad g(x) = g(0) + o(x^\beta), \quad x \rightarrow 0+,$$

or

(ii) There exist positive numbers m, μ, ν, q and r such that

$$f(x) = 1 - rx^{m+1} + o(x^{m+1+\mu}), \quad x \rightarrow 0+,$$

$$g(x) = 1 - qx^m + o(x^{m+\nu}), \quad x \rightarrow 0+.$$

In the sequel the number $p > 0$ will be regarded as fixed.

Lemma 4 (cf. [2], Ch. 3 and 5). If hypotheses (H) and (i) with $g(0) < 1$ or (ii) are fulfilled then equation (2) has in I a C -solution depending on an arbitrary function.

If, moreover, $g(0) = s^p$ in (i), resp. $q = pr$ in (ii), then (and only then) there is the only one-parameter family $\{\varphi_t\}$, $t > 0$, of solution of (2) in the class U^p , and they are given by the formula (cf. (5) and (6))

$$(14) \quad \varphi_t(x) = t \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{[f^n(x)]^p / G_n(x)\}, \quad x \in I.$$

Proof. For the case (ii) the lemma follows from theorems 5.1, 5.4, and 5.6 proved in [2]. The case (i), in fact, has also been dealt with in [2] (th. 3.3, cf. also [3]) but we assumed there that the functions f and g have asymptotic power series expansions at the origin, so that for this case there should here be given an independent proof.

Assume (i) to hold, and denote

$$\Phi_0 = \{\varphi \in C\langle 0, a \rangle : \varphi(x) > 0 \text{ for } x \in \langle 0, a \rangle\}.$$

There is one-to-one correspondence among solutions $\varphi \in U^p$ of (2) and solutions $\bar{\varphi} \in \Phi_0$ of the equation

$$(15) \quad \bar{\varphi}[f(x)] = \bar{g}(x)\bar{\varphi}(x)$$

where

$$(16) \quad \bar{g}(x) = \begin{cases} g(x)[f(x)/x]^{-p} & \text{for } x \in I \setminus \{0\} \\ g(0)s^{-p} & \text{for } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

For, given a solution $\bar{\varphi} \in \Phi_0$ of (15), put

$$\varphi(x) = x^p \bar{\varphi}(x), \quad x \in I$$

to obtain the solution of (2) belonging to U^p , and conversely. This results directly from (2) and (12)—(16).

Thus following the preliminary remarks in this section it is enough to prove that for the sequence

$$(17) \quad \bar{G}_n(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \bar{g}[f^i(x)], \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, x \in I$$

case (A) occurs. We shall prove that $\{\bar{G}_n(x)\}$ uniformly converges in every interval $\langle 0, d \rangle \subset I$.

Conditions (12) and (13) with $g(0) = s^p$ yield by (16):

$$(18) \quad \bar{g}(x) = [s^p + 0(x^\alpha)][s + 0(x^\beta)]^{-p} = 1 + \gamma(x),$$

where

$$\gamma(x) = 0(x^\varrho), \quad \varrho = \min(\alpha, \beta) > 0.$$

Consequently, there is an $M > 0$ and a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|\gamma(x)| < Mx^\varrho \quad \text{for } x \in \langle 0, \delta \rangle \subset I.$$

But from (12) it follows (cf. e.g. [7]) that there are: a $K > 0$ and a positive integer n_0 to fulfil

$$f^n(d) \leq Ks^n \quad \text{for } n \geq n_0.$$

This implies by hypotheses (H)

$$|\gamma[f^n(x)]| < M[f^n(d)]^\varrho < KM^\varrho s^{n\varrho}$$

for n sufficiently large and every $x \in \langle 0, d \rangle$. From (18) we see that the infinite product $\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{g}[f^n(x)]$ uniformly converges in $\langle 0, d \rangle$, so that its limit is a function belonging to Φ_0 .

All solutions $\bar{\varphi} \in \Phi_0$ of equation (15) are then given by the formula (cf. (11))

$$\bar{\varphi}(x) = t/\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{G}_n(x),$$

which through (15) and (17) takes the form (14).

If in (i) we have $1 > g(0) \neq s^p$ then by (16) there is $\bar{g}(0) \neq 1$ which implies (cf. [6], th. 2.2.—2.4, p. 48 and 51) that equation (15) has either only a trivial C -solution in I or that the solution depends on an arbitrary function. In any case the C -solution of (15) in I admits the value zero at the origin, so that the equation does not have solutions in the class Φ_0 . Thus (2) has no solution in the class U^p either. This ends the proof of the lemma.

4. Now we shall obtain more information on the solutions $\psi \in U^p$ of inequality (1) with the aid of the results presented in sections 2 and 3.

Theorem 1. Assume (H) and (i) or (ii) to hold. If ψ is a solution of inequality (1) belonging to the class U^p , then the φ_0 defined by (7) belongs to U^p also, and it is the maximal solution of equation (2) which fulfils (3).

Proof. If $\psi \in U^p$ then there exists a function, λ say, $\lambda \in \Phi_0$ such that

$$(19) \quad \psi(x) = x^p \lambda(x), \quad x \in I.$$

Thus we get for (7):

$$\psi[f^n(x)]/G_n(x) = \{[f^n(x)]^p/G_n(x)\} \lambda[f^n(x)].$$

From lemma 4 it follows that the sequence in brackets approaches a limit $\varphi_1 \in U^p$ (cf. (14)). Since λ is continuous at zero and (H) implies $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n(x) = 0$ for any $x \in I$ then the limit (7) takes the form

$$(20) \quad \varphi_0(x) = \lambda(0)\varphi_1(x), \quad x \in I,$$

and $\varphi_0 \in U^p \subset \Phi$. The inequality (3) with φ_0 as the maximal follows from lemma 1, and the theorem is proved.

We may also complete the result quoted as lemma 3.

Theorem 2. Assume (H) to hold, let $\psi \in U^p$ be a solution of (1) and let φ_0 be defined by (7) for the ψ . Then

1° if $f \in U^1$, then the limit (8) exists, and

$$(21) \quad b = [f'(0)]^p,$$

2° if $\varphi_0 \in U^p$, then $b = g(0)$.

3° if $b = g(0)$ and (i) is satisfied, then $\varphi_0 \in U^p$.

4° if (i) holds and

$$(22) \quad \varphi_0(x) = 0 \quad \text{for } x \in I,$$

then $b < g(0)$.

Proof. 1°. As $\psi \in U^p$ we can use (19) that yields for (8):

$$b = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\psi[f(x)]}{\psi(x)} = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \left[\frac{f(x)}{x} \right]^p \frac{\lambda[f(x)]}{\lambda(x)} = [f'(0)]^p.$$

2°. Since $U^p \subset \Phi$, then for $\varphi_0 \in U^p$ equality $b = g(0)$ follows from lemma 3.

3°. It follows from (12) of (i) that $f \in U^1$ and $f'(0) = s$, i.e. by (21) we have $b = g(0) = s^p$. Lemma 4 thus yields the existence of the limit $\varphi_1 \in U^p$ (cf. (14)), so that φ_0 can be written in form (20), giving $\varphi_0 \in U^p$.

4°. Now we also have $f'(0) = s$ and (cf. (7) and (19))

$$0 = \varphi_0(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\psi[f^n(x)]}{G_n(x)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{[f^n(x)]^p}{G_n(x)} \lambda[f^n(x)]$$

for $x \in I$. Since the sequence (17) can be written in the form

$$(23) \quad \bar{G}_n(x) = x^p G_n(x) [f^n(x)]^{-p} \quad \text{for } x \in I \setminus \{0\},$$

as follows from (16), then we see that $\bar{G}_n(x) \rightarrow +\infty$ for $x \in (0, a)$. But this implies that for this sequence neither (A) nor (B) occurs. By (i) and lemma 4 it is seen that necessarily $g(0) \neq s^p$. On the other hand we always have $b \leq g(0)$, thus (21) yields $b < g(0)$. The proof is completed.

Theorem 2 claims that if (H) and (i) are assumed then (9) holds iff $\varphi_0 \in U^p$ as well as (10) holds iff (22) is valid. This is no longer true if we assume (ii) instead of (i), i.e. if we deal with the indeterminate case $g(0) = f'(0) = 1$.

Theorem 3. Let $\varphi \in U^p$ be a solution of (1) and let φ_0 be given by (7). If (H) and (ii) are fulfilled (the last with a q , $0 < q < pr$) then relation (22) holds true.

Proof. First note that by (ii) we have $f \in U^1$ and $f'(0) = 1$, so that by (21):

$$(24) \quad b = 1 = g(0).$$

Formulae (7), (19) and (23) yield for $x \in (0, a)$

$$(25) \quad \varphi_0(x) = \lambda(0) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [f^n(x)]^p / G_n(x) = \lambda(0) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\bar{G}_n(x)]^{-1} x^p.$$

Thus we are led to examine sequence (17), i.e. the function (16). Conditions (ii) being assumed we obtain the relation

$$\bar{g}(x) = (1 - qx^m + 0(x^r))(1 - rx^m + 0(x^\mu))^{-p} = 1 + (pr - q)x^m + 0(x^{m+\kappa})$$

as $x \rightarrow 0+$, where $\kappa = \min(m, \mu, r)$. Since (cf. [7]) the sequence $\{f^n(x)\}$ now behaves at infinity like $k(x)n^{-1/m}$, $k(x) > 0$, then for any $x \in (0, a)$ there is a $K(x) > 0$ such that $K(x)n^{-1} < \bar{g}[f^n(x)] - 1$ at least for n sufficiently large. Consequently

$$(26) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{G}_n(x) = +\infty \text{ in } (0, a).$$

Moreover, we have $\bar{G}_n(0) = 1$, so that (26) and (25) imply (22), and the proof is completed.

If the hypotheses of theorem 3 are satisfied then from lemma 4 it follows that equation (2) does not possess any solution in the class U^p . In the example that concludes the paper we show that it is not so for solutions of inequality (1).

Example. Consider the inequality

$$(27) \quad \varphi\left(\frac{x}{1+x}\right) \leq (1-x)\varphi(x), \quad x \in I := \langle 0, \frac{1}{2} \rangle.$$

Here the given functions f and g fulfil hypotheses (H) and (ii) with $m = r = q = 1$, $a = 1$ and an arbitrary $\beta > 0$. Taking e.g. $p = 2$, we have $q < pr$, and inequality (27) has in the class U^2 the solutions $\varphi(x) = cx^2$, $x \in I$, $c > 0$; since $(1+x)^{-2} \leq 1-x$ for $x \in I$.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Brydak, *On functional inequalities in a single variable*, *Dissertationes Math.* (to appear).
 [2] B. Choczewski, *Przebieg asymptotyczny rozwiązań ciągłych pewnych równań funkcyjnych*, *Zesz. Nauk. AGH Nr 274, Mat.-Fiz.-Chem. z. 4 (1970), 66 pp.*

- [3] B. Choczewski, *Asymptotic Series Expansions of Continuous Solutions of a Linear Functional Equation*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. sci. math. astronom. phys. 21 (1973), 925—930.
- [4] B. Choczewski and M. Kuczma, *On the "Indeterminate Case" in the Theory of a Linear Functional Equation*, Fund. Math. 58 (1966), 163—175.
- [5] M. Kuczma, *Sur l'équation fonctionnelle de Böttcher*, Mathematica (Cluj), 8 (31) (1966), 279—285.
- [6] M. Kuczma, *Functional Equations in a Single Variable*, PWN, Warszawa 1968.
- [7] W. J. Thron, *Sequences Generated by Iteration*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 96 (1960), 38—53.