

Stefan Topa

**On Complete Linear, Metric, Conformal and Unimodular
Classifications of Space of All-Finite Sequences of Vectors
in a Given Vector Space**

INTRODUCTION

Let a vector space V and natural numbers q and n satisfying the condition $n \leq \inf\{q, \dim V\}$ be given. Denoting $K = (1, \dots, q)$ let us take an arbitrary n -element subsequence I of the sequence K . By X_I let us denote a family of all q -element sequences $x = (x_k)_{k \in K}$ of vectors $x_k \in V$ such that the vectors $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ are linearly independent and in the case $n < q$ the remaining vectors x_j $j \in J$, $J = K \setminus I$, may be written in the form

$$x_j = a_j^i x_i \quad i \in I \quad j \in J \quad a_j^i \in R.$$

By $GL(V)$ we denote the group of all linear transformations of V . In [3] M. Kucharzewski gives the general solution of the functional equation

$$\varphi(Tx) = \varphi(x) \quad x \in X_I \quad T \in GL(V)$$

where $\varphi : X_I \rightarrow R$ is unknown, provided that $\dim V < \infty$.

In the case $n < q$ the result is the following: φ is a solution of the equation if there exists a function $\phi : R^n \times R^m \rightarrow R$, $m = q - n$, such that

$$\varphi(x) = \phi(a_j^i).$$

In the case $n = q$ we take it that φ is a solution of the equation if it is a constant.

In the present paper we give a method of G -classification of space of all finite sequences of vectors in V for the following groups instead of G : linear, isometric, conformal, unimodular and, in the case $\dim V < \infty$, also their subgroups consisting of transformations with positive determinants.

I. ON G -2-DECOMPOSITIONS

Suppose we are given an arbitrary group G of transformations of a set X onto itself. By G -orbit passing through a given point $x \in X$ we mean the set (see [1] [5])

$$\text{Orb}_G(x) = \{x = Tx : T \in G\}.$$

The space of all G -orbits in X we denote by $\text{Orb}_G X$. Such a space gives a G -invariant decomposition of X .

Suppose we are given two decompositions¹⁾ of the set X , say $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ and $\{X_\beta\}_{\beta \in B}$; we say in brief that we are given a 2-decomposition of X .

Definition 1. (See [4]) A given 2-decomposition of X is said to be Cartesian if it satisfies the following condition

$$\forall_{\alpha \in A} \forall_{\beta \in B} \exists_{x \in X} : X_\alpha \cap X_\beta = \{x\}.$$

This notion may be generalized to n -decompositions.

Definition 2. A Cartesian 2-decomposition of X is said to be G -Cartesian if 1) it is G -invariant, 2) the components of one of the decompositions are G -orbits; the components of the second decomposition are called sections of the space of G -orbits or of X .

Let a G -Cartesian 2-decomposition be written in the form: $\{\text{Orb}_G X, \text{Sec}_G X\}$.

It may be asked whether G -Cartesian 2-decompositions of X exist for X and G given arbitrarily. The answer, in general, is not affirmative. But it makes sense to ask for a G -invariant decomposition $\{X_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$ of X such that for each X_λ there exists a G -Cartesian 2-decomposition. So we may give.

Definition 3. By G -2-decomposition of X we mean a family $\{\text{Orb}_G X_\lambda, \text{Sec}_G X_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$ of G -Cartesian 2-decompositions of X_λ such that $\{X_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$ is a decomposition of X and each X_λ is maximal with respect to the property of G -Cartesian 2-decomposability; $A \subset X$, A being G -Cartesially 2-decom-

¹⁾ By a decomposition of a set X we mean a family of disjoint subsets of X the union of which over the family is equal to X .

possible, is said to be maximal if there does not exist a G -Cartesian 2-decomposition for any $B \subset X$ such that $B \supset A$ and $B \neq A$.

We shall prove the

Lemma 1. G -2-decompositions always exist.

Proof. Let us denote by $\text{Sub } G$ the family of all subgroups of G and by $s: X \rightarrow \text{Sub } G$ denote the mapping which maps $x \in X$ to the stationary (isotropy) subgroup G_x in G of x (see [5], [6]). The group G acts on $\text{Sub } G$ by intrinsic automorphisms i.e. $H' = THT^{-1}$ where $H, H' \in \text{Sub } G$ and $T \in G$. Let us take an arbitrary section Σ of the space $\text{Orb}_G \text{Sub } G$ of G -orbits in $\text{Sub } G$, $\Sigma \subset \text{Sub } G$, and find the coimage $X = s^{-1}(\Sigma)$ of Σ by the mapping s . It may be verified that for each $x \in X$ the condition $\text{Orb}_G(x) \cap X \neq \emptyset$ is valid. So we may find a global section S of the space $\text{Orb}_G X$ of G -orbits in X such that $S \subset X$. If we write $X = \bigcup_{\sigma \in \Sigma} X_\sigma$, where $X_\sigma = s^{-1}(\sigma)$ and denote $S_\sigma = S \cap X_\sigma$, then it may be verified that for each $\sigma \in \text{Range } s$ the families $\{\text{Orb}_G(x) : x \in S_\sigma\}$, $\{S_\sigma = T(S_\sigma) : T \in G\}$ form a G -Cartesian 2-decomposition of $X_\sigma = \bigcup_{x_0 \in S_\sigma} \text{Orb}_G(x_0)$ and that each X_σ

for $\sigma \in \text{Range } s$ is maximal with respect to the property of G -Cartesian 2-decomposability. The whole family forms a G -2-decomposition of X .

From the proof of Lemma 1 we directly obtain the two following lemmas.

Lemma 2. A set X is G -Cartesian 2-decomposable if for each $x_1, x_2 \in X$ their stationary subgroups G_{x_1} and G_{x_2} are conjugated.

Lemma 3. Points $x_1, x_2 \in X$ belong to the same maximal subset of X if their stationary subgroups G_{x_1} and G_{x_2} are conjugated.

In the paper we construct certain G -2-decompositions of X for X being the space $\text{Seq } V$ of all finite sequences of vectors in a given vector space V and for the following groups of transformations in V : $GL(V)$ — linear group, $GI(V)$ — isometric group, $GC(V)$ — conformal group and $GU(V)$ — unimodular group provided, of course, that for the last three groups V is given the structure of the inner product. Furthermore, in the case when $\dim V < \infty$ certain G -2-decompositions of $\text{Seq } V$ are given also for the groups $GL^+(V)$, $GI^+(V)$, $GC^+(V)$ and $GU^+(V)$.

Let us notice that any section S of $\text{Orb}_G X$ defines a G -invariant mapping $h: X \rightarrow S$ such that $h(\text{Orb}_G(x)) = \text{Orb}_G(x) \cap S$ for $x \in X$. If S is given a (local) chart $\xi: S \rightarrow R^n$ then $H_i = \xi_i \circ h$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, form a complete and minimal system of G -invariants for the set $h^{-1}(\text{Domain } \xi)$, which may be called a local complete system of G -invariants for X . The mapping h may be called a completely G -classifying mapping for X .

M. Kucharzewski in [2], [3] gives a method for the determination of local complete systems of $GL(V)$ -invariants for $\text{Seq } V$. In the present paper we propose a method for the determination of global complete systems of G -invariants for $\text{Seq } V$ for every G listed.

1. $GL(V)$ -2-decomposition of $\text{Seq } V$

It may easily be seen that $\text{Seq } V$ is not $GL(V)$ -Cartesionally 2-decomposable. For the determination of a $GL(V)$ -2-decomposition of $\text{Seq } V$ let us write $GL(V)$ -invariant decomposition

$$(1) \quad \text{Seq } V = \bigcup_{q \in N} \text{Seq}(q)V$$

where $\text{Seq}(q)V$, for fixed natural q , denotes the family of all sequences from $\text{Seq } V$ consisting of exactly q elements. In general, the sets $\text{Seq}(q)V$ are also not $GL(V)$ -Cartesionally 2-decomposable. But if for each q a $GL(V)$ -2-decomposition of $\text{Seq}(q)V$ be known, then a certain $GL(V)$ -2-decomposition of $\text{Seq } V$ may easily be obtained from them; this will be shown later. For this reason we may fix q and consider the set $X = \text{Seq}(q)V$ only. We shall determine a certain $GL(V)$ -2-decomposition of this.

For this purpose we denote $K = (1, \dots, q)$, $p = \min(q, \dim V)$ and introduce the family $K(p)$ of all subsequences of the sequence K consisting of at most p elements from K ; we include in $K(p)$ also the empty set. Furthermore we introduce the mapping

$$(2) \quad \iota : X \rightarrow K(p)$$

defined in the following way:

Let $x = (x_k) \in X$. By $n(x)$ we denote the dimension of the subspace $V(x) \subset V$ generated by the system of vectors x_1, \dots, x_q and $\iota(x)$ we define as follows

1. If $x = 0$ we put $\iota(x) = \emptyset$.

2. If $x \neq 0$ then we put $\iota(x) = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ where $n = n(x)$ and i_1, \dots, i_n are obtained by using the following recurrent formula:

I. i_1 is the smallest element in K such that $x_{i_1} \neq 0$.

II. Suppose that i_1, \dots, i_ν are determined and $\nu < n$. Then by $i_{\nu+1}$ we mean the smallest element in the sequence $i_\nu + 1, \dots, q$ such that the vector $x_{i_{\nu+1}}$ is linearly independent of the system of vectors $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_\nu}$.

The mapping ι is a surjection.

Let us take an arbitrary $I \in K(p)$ and by X_I let us denote the coimage of I by the mapping ι . Then we get the $GL(V)$ -invariant decomposition

$$(3) \quad \text{Seq}(q)V = \bigcup_{I \in K(p)} X_I$$

We shall see that each X_I is $GL(V)$ -Cartesionally 2-decomposable but, in general, without the property of maximality in $\text{Seq } V$.

The cases $I = \emptyset$ and $I = K$ are trivial; the second case may be realized only for $q \leq \dim V$. In both cases the set X_I is a $GL(V)$ -orbit and $\text{Sec}_{GL(V)} X_I$ is equal, by necessity, to the discrete decomposition of X_I .

If $I \neq \emptyset, K$ we denote $J = K \setminus I$ (subsequence of K). For an arbitrary $x \in X_I$ we may write $x = (x_i, x_j) \quad i \in I \quad j \in J$ and

$$(4) \quad x_j = a_j^i x_i \quad j \in J$$

where a_j^i are uniquely determined and satisfy the conditions

$$(5) \quad j < i \Rightarrow a_j^i = 0.$$

By $\text{Mat}(I, J)$ let us denote the family of all the matrices $A = \|a_j^i\| \quad i \in I \quad j \in J$ whose elements satisfy the condition (5).

Let E_n^L denote the family of all linearly independent systems of n vectors in V and let $n = |I|$. The group $GL(V)$ acts on E_n^L transitively.

By these denotations and $I = (i_1, \dots, i_n), J = (j_1, \dots, j_m)$ we formulate Theorem 1. The mapping $\text{Mat}(I, J) \times E_n^L \rightarrow X$ given by the formulas

$$(6) \quad \begin{cases} x_{i_\nu} = e_\nu, \\ x_{j_\mu} a_{j_\mu}^{i_\nu} e_\nu, \end{cases} \quad \|a_j^i\| \in \text{Mat}(I, J) (e_\nu) \in E_n^L$$

is a bijection and determines a $GL(V)$ -2-decomposition of X_I in such a way that the subspaces $\|a_j^i\| = \text{const}$ are orbits and the subspaces $(e_\nu) = \text{const}$ are sections.

We omit the proof because of its simplicity.

From the bijectivity of the mapping (6) it immediately follows that $\|a_j^i\|$ form a complete and minimal system of $GL(V)$ -invariants for X_I .

If $I = \emptyset$ we get, instead of (6), the formulas

$$(6') \quad x_k = 0 \quad k \in K$$

and in the case $I = K$ — the formulas

$$(6'') \quad x_k = e_k \quad k \in K.$$

For fixed n and q changed from n to infinity let us form the set

$$(7) \quad X_n = \bigcup_{q \geq n} \bigcup_{\substack{I \in K(q) \\ |I|=n}} X_I.$$

It may be said that the set X_n is a maximal subset of $\text{Seq} V$. For a given $(e_\nu) \in E_n^L$ the set

$$S_n(e_\nu) = \bigcup_{q \geq n} \bigcup_{\substack{I \in K(q) \\ |I|=n}} S_I(e_\nu)$$

where $S_I(e_\nu)$ denotes the section of X_I determined by (e_ν) by means of formulas (6) or (6'), (6''), is a section of X_n . If (e_ν) varies in E_n^L , then sections S_n form a family $\text{Sec}_{GL(V)} X_n$, $GL(V)$ -invariant and having the property that together with $\text{Orb}_{GL(V)} X_n$ it gives a $GL(V)$ -Cartesian 2-decomposition of X_n .

If we denote

$$X_0 = \bigcup_{\alpha \in N} X_\alpha$$

then we get the decomposition

$$\text{Seq } V = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\dim V} X_n$$

of the space $\text{Seq } V$ to its maximal subspaces.

Let us put

$$S_0 = \bigcup_{\alpha=1}^{\infty} X_\alpha$$

and for each $n \geq 1$ let us choose arbitrarily one element (e_n) in E_n^L and form the set

$$(10) \quad S = S_0 \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\dim V} S_n(e_n),$$

where S_n are given by (8).

It may be said that the set S given by (10) is a (global) section of $\text{Orb}_{GL(V)} \text{Seq } V$. It defines a global complete and minimal system of $GL(V)$ -invariants for $\text{Seq } V$.

1+. $GL^+(V)$ -2-decomposition of $\text{Seq } V$

We assume that $\dim V < \infty$. To get a $GL^+(V)$ -2-decomposition of $\text{Seq } V$ we make use of the obtained $GL(V)$ -2-decomposition of $\text{Seq } V$. We consider X_I -subsets and distinguish the two cases:

a) $|I| < \dim V$, b) $|I| = \dim V$.

In the case a) the $GL(V)$ -Cartesian 2-decomposition of X_I given by the formulas (6) or (6'), (6'') is a $GL^+(V)$ -Cartesian 2-decomposition of X_I .

In the case b) such a statement is not true, as follows from the fact that $GL(V)$ does not act on E_n^L ($n = \dim V$) transitively. The space $\text{Orb}_{GL(V)} E_n^L$ consists of two elements. Let us choose one of these denoted by E_n^L .

If we denote by M_n^e a family of two matrices $\|\varepsilon_{\bar{\nu}}^{\bar{\nu}}\|$, $\bar{\nu} = 1, \dots, n$ equal to $\text{Diag}[1, \dots, 1, 1]$ or $\text{Diag}[1, \dots, 1, -1]$, then we have Lemma 4. The mapping $E_n^L \times M_n \rightarrow E_n^L$ given by the formulas

$$(11) \quad e_\nu = \varepsilon_{\bar{\nu}}^{\bar{\nu}} \bar{e}_{\bar{\nu}} \quad \|\varepsilon_{\bar{\nu}}^{\bar{\nu}}\| \in M_n^e \quad (\bar{e}_{\bar{\nu}}) \in E_n^L$$

is a bijection and gives a $GL(V)$ -Cartesian 2-decomposition of E_n^L .

Now, using Lemma 4 and Theorem 1, we get

Theorem 1⁺. If $I \neq K$ and $|I| = \dim V$, then the mapping $\text{Mat}(I, J) \times M_n^* \rightarrow E_n^L \rightarrow X_I$ given by the formulas

$$(12) \quad \begin{cases} x_{i\nu} = \varepsilon_{i\nu}^{\bar{\nu}} \bar{e}_{\bar{\nu}} \\ x_{j\mu} = a_{j\mu}^{i\nu} \varepsilon_{i\nu}^{\bar{\nu}} \bar{e}_{\bar{\nu}} \end{cases} \quad \|a_{j\mu}^{i\nu}\| \in \text{Mat}(I, J) \quad \|\varepsilon_{i\nu}^{\bar{\nu}}\| \in M_n^* \quad (\bar{e}_{\bar{\nu}}) \in E_n^L$$

is a bijection and determines a $GL(V)$ -Cartesian 2-decomposition of X_I in such a way that if we put $\|a_{j\mu}^{i\nu}\| = \text{const}$, $\|\varepsilon_{i\nu}^{\bar{\nu}}\| = \text{const}$, then we get orbits and putting $(\bar{e}_{\bar{\nu}}) = \text{const}$ we get sections.

From the bijectivity of the mapping (12) it immediately follows that $\|a_{j\mu}^{i\nu}\|$, $\|\varepsilon_{i\nu}^{\bar{\nu}}\|$ form a complete and minimal system of $GL^+(V)$ -invariants for X_I .

2. $GI(V)$ -2-decomposition of $\text{Seq } V$

As before, we first consider X_I -sets. Each of these sets is $GI(V)$ -Cartesian 2-decomposable, as will be shown.

From the fact that $GI(V)$ is a subgroup of $GL(V)$ we infer by virtue of Theorem 1, that the problem of the determination of $GI(V)$ -Cartesian 2-decomposition X_I is reduced to the same problem for E_n^L instead of X_I .

Let us denote by M_n^I the family of all matrices $\|\alpha_{\nu\bar{\nu}}^I\|$, $\bar{\nu}, \nu = 1, \dots, n$ such that 1) $\nu < \bar{\nu} \Rightarrow \alpha_{\nu\bar{\nu}}^I = 0$, 2) $\alpha_{\nu\nu}^I > 0$ for all $\nu, \bar{\nu}$ and by E_n^I the family of all orthonormal systems of n vectors in V .

Lemma 5. The mapping $M_n^I \times E_n^I \rightarrow E_n^L$ given by the formulas

$$(13) \quad e_{\nu} = \alpha_{\nu\bar{\nu}}^I \bar{e}_{\bar{\nu}} \quad \|\alpha_{\nu\bar{\nu}}^I\| \in M_n^I \quad (\bar{e}_{\bar{\nu}}) \in E_n^I$$

is a bijection and gives a $GI(V)$ -Cartesian 2-decomposition of E_n^L .

Proof. We shall prove only the bijectivity. The injectivity may be proved directly. For surjectivity we define a projection $f: E_n^L \rightarrow E_n^L$ by using the following recurrent formula: Let $(e'_\nu) = f(e_\nu)$

$$\text{I.} \quad e'_1 = e_1 / |e_1|.$$

II. Supposing that e'_1, \dots, e'_ν are determined and $\nu < n$, we mean by $e'_{\nu+1}$ the vector uniquely determined by the following conditions

- 1) $|e'_{\nu+1}| = 1$
- 2) $e'_{\nu+1} \perp e_1, \dots, e_\nu$
- 3) $e'_{\nu+1} = \beta'_{\nu+1} e_1 + \dots + \beta'_{\nu+1} e_\nu + \beta_{\nu+1}^{r+1} e_{\nu+1}$
- 4) $\beta_{\nu+1}^{r+1} > 0$

where $|e|$ denotes the norm of the vector e .

In consequence we get

$$(14) \quad e'_\nu = \beta_\nu \bar{e}_\nu$$

where $(e'_\nu) \in E_n^I$ and $\|\beta_\nu\| \in M_n^I$.

The mapping f is well-known as Schwartz's method of orthonormalization of bases in a vector space.

If we write the inverse of (14), then we get (13).

From Lemma 5 and Theorem 1 follows

Theorem 2. If $I \neq \emptyset$, K , then the mapping $\text{Mat}(I, J) \times M_n^I \times E_n^I \rightarrow X_I$ where $n = |I|$, given by the formulas

$$(15) \quad \begin{cases} x_{i_\nu} = \alpha_\nu \bar{e}_\nu \\ x_{j_\mu} = \alpha_{j_\mu}^i \alpha_\nu \bar{e}_\nu \end{cases} \quad \| \alpha_{j_\mu}^i \| \in \text{Mat}(I, J) \quad \| \alpha_\nu \bar{e}_\nu \| \in M_n^I \quad (e_\nu) \in E_n^I$$

is a bijection and gives a $GI(V)$ -Cartesian 2-decomposition of X_I in such a sense that the subspaces $\| \alpha_{j_\mu}^i \| = \text{const}$ $\| \alpha_\nu \bar{e}_\nu \| = \text{const}$ are orbits and the subspaces $(e_\nu) = \text{const}$ are sections.

From the bijectivity of the mapping (15) it follows that $\| \alpha_{j_\mu}^i \| \| \alpha_\nu \bar{e}_\nu \|$ form a complete and minimal system of $GI(V)$ -invariants for X_I .

If $I = \emptyset$ we take (6') instead of (15) and in the case $I = K$ (15) is taken for (13).

The maximal $GL(V)$ -subspaces X_n in $\text{Seq} V$ are also maximal $GI(V)$ -subspaces. In a manner similar to S in (10), a global section of $\text{Orb}_{GI(V)} \text{Seq} V$ (so also a global complete and minimal system of $GI(V)$ -invariants for $\text{Seq} V$) may be obtained.

2+. $GI^+(V)$ -2-decomposition of $\text{Seq} V$

We assume that $\dim V < \infty$.

If $|I| < \dim V$ any $GI(V)$ -Cartesian 2-decomposition of X_I is a $GI^+(V)$ -Cartesian 2-decomposition, so we may use, for example, the formulas (15).

If $|I| = \dim V$ we get for $GI^+(V)$ only two orbits in E_n^I . Denoting one of these by E_n^I we may formulate

Theorem 2+. If $I \neq K$, then the mapping $\text{Mat}(I, J) \times M_n^I \times M_n^+ \times E_n^I \rightarrow X_I$ given by the formulas

$$(16) \quad \begin{cases} x_{i_\nu} = \alpha_\nu \bar{\varepsilon}_\nu \bar{e}_\nu^I \\ x_{j_\mu} = \alpha_{j_\mu}^i \alpha_\nu \bar{\varepsilon}_\nu \bar{e}_\nu^I \end{cases} \quad \| \alpha_{j_\mu}^i \| \in \text{Mat}(I, J) \quad \| \alpha_\nu \bar{e}_\nu \| \in M_n^I \quad \| \bar{\varepsilon}_\nu \| \in M_n^+ \quad (e_\nu) \in E_n^I$$

is a bijection and determines a $GI^+(V)$ -Cartesian 2-decomposition of X_I^a in which we get the orbits by putting $\|a_j^i\| = \text{const}$ $\|\alpha_{\bar{\nu}}^i\| = \text{const}$ $\|\varepsilon_{\bar{\nu}}^i\| = \text{const}$ and the sections by putting $(e_{\bar{\nu}}^i) = \text{const}$.

In the case when $I = \emptyset$ we take (6') instead of (16) and in the case when $I = K$ we take only the first part of the equations (16).

Without any difficulty, if necessary, results may be formulated for the $GL^+(V)$ -2-decomposition of $\text{Seq } V$, global section of $\text{Orb}_{GI^+(V)} \text{Seq } V$ and global complete system of $GI^+(V)$ -invariants for $\text{Seq } V$.

3. $GC(V)$ -2-decomposition of $\text{Seq } V$

We follow the same method as in the case of the group $GI(V)$. Denoting by M_n^C the family of all matrices from M_n^I such that $a_1^1 = 1$ and by E_n^C the family of all orthogonal systems of n vectors e_1, \dots, e_n in V satisfying the conditions $|e_1| = \dots = |e_n|$ and putting $n = |I|$ we may formulate

Theorem 3. If $I \neq K$, then the formulas

$$(17) \quad \begin{cases} x_{i\nu} = \alpha_{\bar{\nu}}^i e_{\bar{\nu}}^C \\ x_{j\mu} = a_{j\mu}^i \alpha_{\bar{\nu}}^i e_{\bar{\nu}}^C \end{cases} \quad \|a_j^i\| \in \text{Mat}(I, J) \|\alpha_{\bar{\nu}}^i\| \in M_n^C \quad (e_{\bar{\nu}}^C) \in E_n^C$$

define in the same way as the corresponding formulas in Theorems 1 or 2) a $GC(V)$ -Cartesian 2-decomposition of X_I^a .

3+. $GC^+(V)$ -2-decomposition of $\text{Seq } V$

Assuming $\dim V < \infty$ and $|I| = \dim V$ and denoting by E_n^{C+} one of the two $GC^+(V)$ -orbits in E_n^C we formulate the following

Theorem 3+. If $I \neq K$, then the formulas

$$(18) \quad \begin{cases} x_{i\nu} = \alpha_{\bar{\nu}}^i \varepsilon_{\bar{\nu}}^i e_{\bar{\nu}}^C \\ x_{j\mu} = a_{j\mu}^i \alpha_{\bar{\nu}}^i \varepsilon_{\bar{\nu}}^i e_{\bar{\nu}}^C \end{cases} \quad \|a_j^i\| \in \text{Mat}(I, J) \|\alpha_{\bar{\nu}}^i\| \in M_n^C \|\varepsilon_{\bar{\nu}}^i\| \in M_n \quad (e_{\bar{\nu}}^C) \in E_n^{C+}$$

define a $GC^+(V)$ -Cartesian 2-decomposition of X_I^a .

The cases $I = \emptyset$ and $I = K$ we understand in a similar manner as previously.

