

Stefan Topa

On a Method of Determination of Morphisms for C -objects

INTRODUCTION

In the paper we introduce the notion of C -object (see def. 3), C — a given concrete category in the sense of [9]¹). If there is given an Ens-object $(X \times A, f, X)$, Ens being the category of sets, then X is nothing but an A — object (with respect to f) in the sense of [11]. In the case of Top — object, Top denoting the category of topological spaces, X is an A -space and in the case of Man-object, Man — the category of manifolds, X is an A — manifold (see [11]).

The family of all C -objects of types $+1$ and -1 , for an arbitrarily fixed C , forms a category denoted by C -ob. The main purpose of the present paper is to give a method for construction of morphisms of this category. It is shown that the problem of determination of morphisms of the category C -ob reduces to the same problem for its subcategory of all C — objects of type $+1$ (see Prop. 2).

In the case of $C = \text{Ens}$, the problem mentioned was treated in [12] and now we are chiefly interested in cases $C = \text{Top}$ and $C = \text{Man}$. We use here a method which is a certain modification and extension of that given in [12].

There are four chapters in the paper. In the first we introduce the category C -ob of C — objects. In the second, we give the notions of orbital and homogeneous orbital sections for the mapping $f: X \times A \rightarrow X$ (see [2], [5]), f appearing in the notion of C -object, and adduce some theorems concerning the determination of such sections. The third describes a method of contracting morphisms of the category Ens-ob and the fourth characterizes morphisms of

¹) We write C in the form $(\Omega_C, <, >_C)$, Ω_C — the space of objects of the category C and $<, >_C$ is a sign for its classes.

the category Man-ob . More precisely, we give a method for the determination of morphisms for homogeneous Man — objects. Homogeneity is here understood in the sense that the stationary subgroups of two arbitrary points in X are conjugated.

The notion of C — objects includes the notion of geometric objects ([1], [7]). It seems that we might speak of geometric C — objects, specially of geometric Man — objects. They also form a category which may be denoted, for example, by $gC\text{-ob}$. The notion of morphism of the category $gC\text{-ob}$ is strictly connected with the notion of the concomitant of a geometric object (see [3], [4], [6], [8], [10], [17], [18]). By the method presented in [12], we have determined in [13], [14], [15] the concomitants of certain types for some geometric objects. Concomitants are of great importance in problems of classification of geometric objects (see [3], [16], [17]).

In the paper we use the language of categories and, in particular, we apply the following equalities (concerning the category of Ens) (see [2])

1. Let $f \in \langle A, A_1 \rangle_{\text{Ens}}$, $g \in \langle B, B_1 \rangle_{\text{Ens}}$, $h \in \langle A_1, A_2 \rangle_{\text{Ens}}$, $k \in \langle B_1, B_2 \rangle_{\text{Ens}}$. Then

$$(h \times k) \circ (f \times g) = (h \circ f) \times (k \circ g).$$

2. Let $f \in \langle A, B \rangle_{\text{Ens}}$, $g \in \langle A, C \rangle_{\text{Ens}}$, $h \in \langle B, B_1 \rangle_{\text{Ens}}$, $k \in \langle B, B_2 \rangle_{\text{Ens}}$ and $q \in \langle C, C_1 \rangle_{\text{Ens}}$. Then

$$(h, k) \circ f = (h \circ f, k \circ f)$$

and

$$(h \times q) \times (f, g) = (h \circ f, q \circ g).$$

Furthermore, we shall also use the equality (since it is easy to verify)

3. If $f \in \langle X, U \rangle_{\text{Ens}}$, $g \in \langle X, V \rangle_{\text{Ens}}$ and $h \in \langle Y, W \rangle_{\text{Ens}}$, then

$$(f, g) \times h = (p_1 \circ (f \times 1_Y), g \times h)$$

where $p_1 \in \langle U \times Y, U \rangle_{\text{Ens}}$ is the canonical projection and $\langle Y, Y \rangle_{\text{Ens}} \ni 1_Y$ is the identity mapping on Y .

I. CATEGORY OF C -OBJECTS

Let an arbitrary concrete category $C = (\Omega_C, \langle, \rangle_C)$ be given (see [9], p. 38); its morphisms are mappings and its composition is the composition of mappings.

Definition 1. Any mapping $\times : \Omega_C \times \Omega_C \rightarrow \Omega_C$ is called a product-operation in C if the following condition is satisfied

$$\xi \in \langle A, B \rangle_C, \eta \in \langle C, D \rangle_C \Rightarrow \xi \times \eta \in \langle A \times C, B \times D \rangle_C.$$

Any category Man of differentiable manifolds of arbitrary class $r \in \{-1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ is a category with a product operation; (by Man of class

$r = -1$ we mean the category *Ens*, by *Man* of class $r = 0$ — the category *Top* of topological spaces). The product-operation is the product of manifolds (see [2]).

Let a group (A, α) be given with group-operation $\alpha : A \times A \rightarrow A$, neutral element e_α and inverse-operation $-1_\alpha : A \rightarrow A$.

For sets A_1, \dots, A_n and k -element variation (i_1, \dots, i_k) given arbitrarily, with elements in $(1, \dots, n)$, we denote by $p_{i_1}^n, \dots, p_{i_k}^n$ the mapping $p_{i_1}^n, \dots, p_{i_k}^n : A_1 \times \dots \times A_n \rightarrow A_{i_1} \times \dots \times A_{i_k}$ defined by

$$(1) \quad p_{i_1, \dots, i_k}^n(a_1, \dots, a_n) := (a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_k}) \text{ for all } a_i \in A_i (i = 1, \dots, n).$$

Denoting by $e_A : A \rightarrow A$ the constant mapping defined by $e_A(a) := e_\alpha$ for all $a \in A$ we may state the following:

If (A, α) forms a group, then the identities hold

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} 1) & \alpha \circ (p_1^3, \alpha \circ p_{23}^3) = \alpha \circ (\alpha \circ p_{12}^3, p_3^3), \\ 2) & \alpha \circ (1_A, e_A) = \alpha \circ (e_A, 1_A) = 1_A \\ 3) & \alpha \circ (1_A, -1_\alpha) = \alpha \circ (-1_\alpha, 1_A) = e_A \end{aligned}$$

where $p_1^3, p_3^3, p_{23}^3, p_{12}^3$ are defined in (1) for $A_1 = A_2 = A_3 = A$. The inverse is also true: If, for arbitrary set A , we have mappings $\alpha : A \times A \rightarrow A$, $e_A : A \rightarrow A$ (constant mapping with value denoted by e_α) and $-1_\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ such that all conditions 1)–3) in (2) are satisfied, then (A, α) forms a group with group-operation α , neutral element e_α and inverse operation -1_α .

Remark 1. If we denote $\alpha^{-1} := \alpha \circ p_{21}^2$, where p_{21}^2 is defined in (1) for $A_1 = A_2 = A$, then it may be stated that (A, α^{-1}) is a group with neutral element e_α and inverse operation -1_α . This group is called the opposite group to the group (A, α) (see [11]).

The proof of this very well-known fact, in the *Ens*-category language, is the following:

We have to show that the following identities hold:

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} 1) & \alpha^{-1} \circ (p_1^3, \alpha^{-1} \circ p_{23}^3) = \alpha^{-1} \circ (\alpha^{-1} \circ p_{12}^3, p_3^3), \\ 2) & \alpha^{-1} \circ (1_A, e_A) = \alpha^{-1} \circ (e_A, 1_A) = 1_A, \\ 3) & \alpha^{-1} \circ (1_A, -1_\alpha) = \alpha^{-1} \circ (-1_\alpha, 1_A) = e_A. \end{aligned}$$

To get the first identity in (3) we write

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{-1} \circ (p_1^3, \alpha^{-1} \circ p_{23}^3) &= (\alpha \circ p_{21}^2) \circ (p_1^3, \alpha \circ p_{21}^2 \circ p_{23}^3) \\ &= (\alpha \circ p_{21}^2) \circ (p_1^3, \alpha \circ p_{32}^3) = \alpha \circ (\alpha \circ p_{32}^3, p_1^3) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{-1} \circ (\alpha^{-1} \circ p_{12}^3, p_3^3) &= (\alpha \circ p_{21}^2) \circ (\alpha \circ p_{21}^2 \circ p_{12}^3, p_3^3) \\ &= (\alpha \circ p_{21}^2) \circ (\alpha \circ p_{21}^3, p_3^3) = \alpha \circ (p_3^3, \alpha \circ p_{21}^3). \end{aligned}$$

From 1) in (2) we get the identity

$$\alpha \circ (p_1^3, \alpha \circ p_{23}^3) \circ p_{321}^3 = \alpha \circ (\alpha \circ p_{12}^3, p_3^3) \circ p_{321}^3$$

or

$$\alpha \circ (p_3^3, \alpha \circ p_{21}^3) = \alpha \circ (\alpha \circ p_{32}^3, p_1^3)$$

which ends the proof of 1) in (3).

For the second identity in (3) we write

$$\alpha^{-1} \circ (1_A, e_A) = (\alpha \circ p_{21}^2) \circ (1_A, e_A) = \alpha \circ (e_A, 1_A) \stackrel{(2)}{=} 1_A$$

and

$$\alpha^{-1} \circ (e_A, 1_A) = (\alpha \circ p_{21}^2) \circ (e_A, 1_A) = \alpha \circ (1_A, e_A) \stackrel{(2)}{=} 1_A.$$

the third identity is obtained by way of

$$\alpha^{-1} \circ (1_A, -1_a) = \alpha \circ p_{21}^2 \circ (1_A, -1_a) = \alpha \circ (-1_a, 1_A) \stackrel{(2)}{=} e_A$$

and

$$\alpha^{-1} \circ (-1_a, 1_A) = \alpha \circ p_{21}^2 \circ (-1_a, 1_A) = \alpha \circ (1_A, -1_a) \stackrel{(2)}{=} e_A.$$

Denoting $1_a := 1_A$ we may state the following.

Remark 2. For arbitrary $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in \{-1, 1\}$ we get the following identities

1. $\alpha^\varepsilon = \varepsilon_a \circ \alpha \circ (\varepsilon_a \times \varepsilon_a), (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a \circ e_A = e_A$
- (4) 2. $(\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a = \varepsilon_a \circ \varepsilon'_a, (\varepsilon'_a \times \varepsilon'_a) \circ ((\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a \times (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a) = \varepsilon_a \times \varepsilon_a,$
3. $\varepsilon_a \circ \varepsilon_a = 1_a \quad (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a \circ \varepsilon_a = \varepsilon'_a.$

The first identity, for $\varepsilon = -1$, is a direct consequence of the identity

$$-1_a \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ (-1_a \times -1_a) \circ p_{21}^2,$$

the rest are trivial.

Let C be a category with a product-operation " \times " such that for all $A \in \Omega_C$ we have $p_{21}^2 \in \langle A \times A, A \times A \rangle_C$.

Definition 2. By a C -group we mean any group (A, a) such that

1. $A \in \Omega_C,$
- (5) 2. $a \in \langle A \times A, A \rangle_C,$
3. $-1_a \in \langle A, A \rangle_C.$

Any C -group for $C = \text{Man}$ of an arbitrary class r is a Lie group of class r (see [10]).

Remark 3. The family of all C -groups forms a category denoted by $C\text{-gr}$; for given C -groups (A, a) and (B, β) the class $\langle (A, a), (B, \beta) \rangle_{C\text{-gr}}$ consists (by definition) of all the mappings $\lambda: A \rightarrow B$ which have the following properties

- (6) 1. $\lambda \in \langle A, B \rangle_C,$
2. $\lambda \circ a = \beta \circ (\lambda \times \lambda).$

The composition of mappings has the property of being a composition of morphisms of the type (6). Really, let

$$\lambda \in \langle (A, \alpha), (B, \beta) \rangle_{C\text{-gr}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu \in \langle (B, \beta), (C, \gamma) \rangle_{C\text{-gr}}.$$

We shall show that $\mu \circ \lambda \in \langle (A, \alpha), (C, \gamma) \rangle_{C\text{-gr}}$. For this purpose let us write the conditions (6) for μ

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} 1. & \mu \in \langle B, C \rangle_C, \\ 2. & \mu \circ \beta = \gamma \circ (\mu \times \mu). \end{aligned}$$

The fact that $\mu \circ \lambda \in \langle A, C \rangle_C$ immediately follows from 1) in (6) and in (7). It remains only to show the equality

$$(8) \quad (\mu \circ \lambda) \circ \alpha = \gamma \circ ((\mu \circ \lambda) \times (\mu \circ \lambda)).$$

For the equality

$$(\mu \circ \lambda) \times (\mu \circ \lambda) = (\mu \times \mu) \circ (\lambda \times \lambda)$$

we may write

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \circ ((\mu \circ \lambda) \times (\mu \circ \lambda)) &= \gamma \circ ((\mu \times \mu) \circ (\lambda \times \lambda)) \\ &\stackrel{(7)}{=} (\mu \circ \beta) \circ (\lambda \times \lambda) \stackrel{(6)}{=} \mu \circ (\lambda \circ \alpha) = (\mu \circ \lambda) \circ \alpha \end{aligned}$$

which was to be proved.

Remark 4. The opposite group (A, α^{-1}) of an arbitrary C -group (A, α) is also a C -group. Furthermore, for each $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ we get

$$(8') \quad \alpha^\varepsilon \circ (1_A, -1_\alpha) = \alpha^\varepsilon \circ (-1_\alpha, 1_A) = e_A.$$

Proof. We have $\alpha \in \langle A \times A, A \rangle_C$, $p_{21}^2 \in \langle A \times A, A \times A \rangle_C$. Assuming that C is a concrete category we get $\alpha^{-1} \in \langle A \times A, A \rangle_C$ and this ends the proof (see (5)).

Definition 3. A triple $(X \times A, f, X)$ is called a $C(\alpha, f)$ -object (or shortly C -object) of type ε , $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$, if

- 1) $X, A \in \Omega_C$,
- 2) $f \in \langle X \times A, X \rangle_C$,
- 3) $(A, \alpha) \in \Omega_{C\text{-gr}}$,
- 4) $f \circ (f \times 1_A) = f \circ (1_X \times \alpha^\varepsilon)$,
- 5) $f \circ (1_X \times e_A) = p_1^2 \circ (1_X \times e_A)$

where $p_1^2: X \times A \rightarrow X$ is defined in (1).

Remark 4. From Definition 3 we get the following fact. If $(X \times A, f, X)$ is a C -object of type ε , then

$$(8'') \quad f \circ (f \times 1_A) \circ (1_X \times (1_A, -1_\alpha)) = p_1^2 \circ (1_X \times 1_A).$$

Proof. We write

$$\begin{aligned}
 f \circ (f \circ 1_A) \circ (1_X \times (1_A, -1_a)) &\stackrel{(4)}{=} f \circ (1_X \times \alpha^a) \circ (1_X \times (1_A, -1_a)) \\
 &= f \circ ((1_X \circ 1_X) \times (\alpha^a \circ (1_A, -1_a))) \\
 &= f \circ (1_X \times \alpha^a \circ (1_A, -1_a)) \\
 &\stackrel{(8')}{=} f \circ (1_X \times e_A) \stackrel{(6)}{=} p_1^2 \circ (1_X \times e_A) \\
 &= p_1^2 \circ (1_X \times 1_A),
 \end{aligned}$$

which this was to be proved.

From (8') we also get

$$(8''') \quad f \circ (f \times 1_A) \circ (1_X \times (-1_a, 1_A)) = p_1^2 \circ (1_X \times 1_A).$$

Definition 4. By a homomorphism of a given C -object $(X \times A, f, X)$ of type ε into another C -object $(Y \times B, g, Y)$ of type σ we mean any mapping

$$\varphi \times \lambda : X \times A \rightarrow Y \times B$$

such that

1. $\varphi \in \langle X, Y \rangle_C$,
2. $\lambda \in \langle (A, \alpha^a), (B, \beta^a) \rangle_{C\text{-gr}}$,
3. $\varphi \circ f = g \circ (\varphi \times \lambda)$.

Proposition 1. The family of all C -objects forms a category in which morphisms are homomorphisms in the sense of definition 4 and the composition of morphisms is the composition of mappings.

Proof. Let be given three arbitrary C -objects

$$(X \times A, f, X), (Y \times B, g, Y), (Z \times C, h, Z)$$

of types $\varepsilon, \sigma, \rho$, respectively, and arbitrary homomorphisms

$$\varphi \times \lambda : X \times A \rightarrow Y \times B, \psi \times \mu : Y \times B \rightarrow Z \times C.$$

We have to show that

$$(\psi \times \mu) \circ (\varphi \times \lambda) : X \times A \rightarrow Z \times C$$

is a homomorphism.

Denoting $\chi := \psi \circ \varphi$ and $\nu := \mu \circ \lambda$ we may write

$$(\psi \times \mu) \circ (\varphi \times \lambda) = \chi \circ \nu.$$

By definition 4 we have to show that

1. $\chi \in \langle X, Z \rangle_C$,
2. $\nu \in \langle (A, \alpha^a), (Z, \gamma^a) \rangle_{B\text{-gr}}$
3. $\chi \circ f = h \circ (\chi \times \nu)$.

The first condition does not require any proof.

For the second let us write (6) and (7) for our morphisms

$$\lambda \in \langle A, \alpha^\varepsilon \rangle, (B, \beta^\sigma) \rangle_{C\text{-gr}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu \in \langle (B, \beta^\sigma), (C, \gamma^\varepsilon) \rangle_{C\text{-gr}}.$$

We have

$$(9) \quad \lambda \in \langle A, B \rangle_C, \quad \mu \in \langle B, C \rangle_C$$

and

$$(10) \quad \lambda \circ \alpha^\varepsilon = \beta^\sigma \circ (\lambda \times \lambda), \quad \mu \circ \beta^\sigma = \gamma^\varepsilon \circ (\mu \times \mu).$$

We have to show that (remember that $\nu := \mu \circ \lambda$)

$$(11) \quad \nu \in \langle A, C \rangle_C$$

and

$$(12) \quad \nu \circ \alpha^\varepsilon = \gamma^\varepsilon \circ (\nu \times \nu).$$

The condition (11) is a direct consequence of (9); (12) we get in the following manner:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma^\varepsilon \circ (\nu \times \nu) &= \gamma^\varepsilon \circ ((\mu \circ \lambda) \times (\mu \circ \lambda)) = \gamma^\varepsilon \circ ((\mu \times \mu) \circ (\lambda \times \lambda)) \\ &\stackrel{(10)}{=} (\mu \circ \beta^\sigma) \circ (\lambda \times \lambda) \stackrel{(10)}{=} \mu \circ (\lambda \circ \alpha^\varepsilon) = \nu \circ \alpha^\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

For the third condition we have

$$(13) \quad \varphi \circ f = g \circ (\varphi \times \lambda), \quad \psi \circ g = h \circ (\psi \times \mu)$$

and we have to show that (remember: $\chi := \psi \circ \varphi$, $\nu := \mu \circ \lambda$)

$$\chi \circ f = h \circ (\chi \times \nu).$$

We may write

$$\begin{aligned} h \circ (\chi \times \nu) &= h \circ (\psi \circ \varphi) \times (\mu \circ \lambda) = h \circ (\psi \times \mu) \circ (\varphi \times \lambda) \\ &\stackrel{(13)}{=} (\psi \circ g) \circ (\varphi \times \lambda) \stackrel{(13)}{=} \psi \circ (\varphi \circ f) = \chi \circ f. \end{aligned}$$

The proposition 1 is therefore proved.

The category of C -objects we denote by $C\text{-ob}$.

The main purpose of our paper is to give a method for the determination of classes of morphisms of this category $C\text{-ob}$. First we shall show that the problem of the determination of the class of morphisms for a given pair of C -objects of types ε and σ , respectively, may be reduced to such a problem for C -objects of type 1. This fact follows directly from the following (putting $\varepsilon' = 1$ and $\sigma' = 1$).

Proposition 2. Suppose we are given two C -objects $(X \times A, f, X)$ and $(Y \times B, g, Y)$ of types ε and σ , respectively, and two numbers $\varepsilon', \sigma' \in \{-1, 1\}$. Denoting $1_\alpha := 1_A$ and

$$(14) \quad \bar{f} := f \circ (1_X \times (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_\alpha), \quad \bar{g} := g \circ (1_Y \times (\sigma\sigma')_\beta), \quad \bar{\lambda} := (\sigma\sigma')_\beta \circ \lambda \circ (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_\alpha$$

we may state the following

- I) $(X \times A, \bar{f}, X)$ and $(Y \times B, \bar{g}, Y)$ are C -objects of types ε' and σ' , respectively, and
- II) $\varphi \times \lambda \in \langle (X \times A, f, X), (Y \times B, g, Y) \rangle_{C\text{-ob}} \Leftrightarrow$
 $\varphi \times \bar{\lambda} \in \langle (X \times A, \bar{f}, X), (Y \times B, \bar{g}, Y) \rangle_{C\text{-ob}}.$

Proof of I). It is enough to show that $(X \times A, \bar{f}, X)$ is a C -object.

According to definition 3 we have to show that all conditions 1)–5) for our triple are satisfied. Conditions 1), 2) and 3) are satisfied trivially. The fourth condition takes the form

$$(15) \quad \bar{f} \circ (\bar{f} \times 1_A) = \bar{f} \circ (1_X \times \alpha').$$

We may write

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f} \circ (\bar{f} \times 1_A) &= (f \circ (1_X \times (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a)) \circ ((f \circ (1_X \times (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a)) \times 1_A) \\ &= f \circ [(1_X \times (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a) \circ ((f \circ (1_X \times (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a)) \times 1_A)] \\ &= f \circ [(1_X \circ (f \circ (1_X \times (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a)))] \circ ((\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a \circ 1_A)] \\ &= f \circ [(f \circ (1_X \times (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a)) \times (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(16) \quad \bar{f} \circ (1_X \times \alpha') \stackrel{(15)}{=} f \circ (1_X \times (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a) \circ (1_X \times \alpha') = f \circ ((1_X \circ 1_X) \times ((\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a \circ \alpha')) \\ = f \circ (1_X \times ((\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a \circ \alpha')).$$

In virtue of (4) we may write

$$(17) \quad (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a \circ \alpha' = (\varepsilon_a \circ \varepsilon'_a) \circ (\varepsilon'_a \circ \alpha \circ (\varepsilon'_a \times \varepsilon'_a)) \\ = \varepsilon_a \circ \alpha \circ (\varepsilon'_a \times \varepsilon'_a) \\ = \varepsilon_a \circ \alpha \circ ((\varepsilon_a \circ \varepsilon_a \circ \varepsilon'_a) \times (\varepsilon_a \circ \varepsilon_a \circ \varepsilon'_a)) \\ = \varepsilon_a \circ \alpha \circ ((\varepsilon_a \circ (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a) \times (\varepsilon_a \circ (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a)) \\ = \varepsilon_a \circ \alpha \circ (\varepsilon_a \times \varepsilon_a) \circ ((\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a \times (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a) \\ = \alpha' \circ ((\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a \times (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 1_X \times ((\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a \circ \alpha') &= 1_X \times (\alpha' \circ ((\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a \times (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a)) \\ &= (1_X \circ 1_X) \times (\alpha' \circ ((\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a \times (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a)) \\ &= (1_X \times \alpha') \circ (1_X \times ((\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a \times (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a)) \end{aligned}$$

so we get (see (16)) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 f \circ \left(\mathbf{1}_X \times ((\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a \circ \alpha^a) \right) &= f \circ (\mathbf{1}_X \times \alpha^a) \circ \left(\mathbf{1}_X \times ((\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a \times (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a) \right) \\
 &\stackrel{\text{def 3}}{=} f \circ (f \times \mathbf{1}_A) \circ \left(\mathbf{1}_X \times ((\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a \times (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a) \right) \\
 &= f \circ (f \times \mathbf{1}_A) \circ \left((\mathbf{1}_X \times (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a) \times (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a \right) \\
 &= f \circ \left(f \circ (\mathbf{1}_X \times (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a) \right) \times (\mathbf{1}_A \circ (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a) \\
 &= f \circ \left(f \circ (\mathbf{1}_X \times (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a) \times (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

The identity (15) is therefore proved.

The fifth condition in def. 3 for $(X \times A, \bar{f}, X)$ follows from the identity (which is easy to verify)

$$\bar{f} \circ (\mathbf{1}_X \times e_A) = f \circ (\mathbf{1}_X \times e_A).$$

Proof of II). We assume that (see def. 4)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (18) \quad & 1. \varphi \in \langle X, Y \rangle_C, \\
 & 2. \lambda \in \langle (A, \alpha^a), (B, \beta^a) \rangle_{C\text{-gr}}, \\
 & 3. \varphi \circ f = g \circ (\varphi \times \lambda)
 \end{aligned}$$

and we have to show that (see (14))

$$\begin{aligned}
 (19) \quad & 1. \varphi \in \langle X, Y \rangle_C, \\
 & 2. \bar{\lambda} \in \langle (A, \alpha^a), (B, \beta^a) \rangle_{C\text{-gr}}, \\
 & 3. \varphi \circ \bar{f} = \bar{g} \circ (\varphi \times \bar{\lambda}).
 \end{aligned}$$

The first conditions in (18) and (19) are the same, to get the second condition in (19) we have to show that (see (6))

$$\begin{aligned}
 (20) \quad & 1) \bar{\lambda} \in \langle A, B \rangle_C, \\
 & 2) \bar{\lambda} \circ \alpha^a = \beta^a \circ (\bar{\lambda} \times \bar{\lambda}).
 \end{aligned}$$

The first condition in (20) does not require any proof.

For the second we write

$$\bar{\lambda} \circ \alpha^a \stackrel{(14)}{=} (\sigma\sigma')_\beta \circ \lambda \circ (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a \circ \alpha^a \stackrel{(17)}{=} (\sigma\sigma')_\beta \circ \lambda \circ \alpha^a \circ ((\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a \times (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \beta^a \circ (\bar{\lambda} \times \bar{\lambda}) &\stackrel{(14)}{=} \beta^a \circ ((\sigma\sigma')_\beta \circ \lambda \circ (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a) \times ((\sigma\sigma')_a \circ \lambda \circ (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a) \\
 &= \beta^a \circ [((\sigma\sigma')_\beta \circ \lambda) \times ((\sigma\sigma')_\beta \circ \lambda)] \circ [(\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a \times (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a] \\
 &= \beta^a \circ ((\sigma\sigma')_\beta \times (\sigma\sigma')_\beta) \circ (\lambda \times \lambda) \circ ((\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a \times (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_a)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \underset{(4)}{\sigma'_\beta \circ \beta \circ (\sigma'_\beta \times \sigma'_\beta)} \circ ((\sigma\sigma')_\beta \times (\sigma\sigma')_\beta) \circ \lambda \times \lambda \circ ((\varepsilon\varepsilon')_\alpha \times (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_\alpha) \\
&= \underset{(4)}{\sigma'_\beta \circ \beta \circ (\sigma_\beta \times \sigma_\beta)} \circ (\lambda \times \lambda) \circ ((\varepsilon\varepsilon')_\alpha \times (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_\alpha) \\
&= \underset{(4)}{(\sigma\sigma')_\beta \circ \sigma_\beta \circ \beta \circ (\sigma_\beta \times \sigma_\beta)} \circ (\lambda \times \lambda) \circ ((\varepsilon\varepsilon')_\alpha \times (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_\alpha) \\
&= \underset{(4)}{(\sigma\sigma')_\beta \circ \beta^\sigma \circ (\lambda \times \lambda)} \circ ((\varepsilon\varepsilon')_\alpha \times (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_\alpha) \\
&= \underset{(6)}{(\sigma\sigma')_\beta \circ \lambda \circ \alpha^\sigma \circ ((\varepsilon\varepsilon')_\alpha \times (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_\alpha)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we turn to the third condition in (19). We write

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi \circ \bar{f} &\underset{(14)}{=} \varphi \circ f \circ (1_X \times (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_\alpha) \underset{(4)}{=} \varphi \circ f \circ ((1_X \circ 1_X) \times (\varepsilon_\alpha \circ \varepsilon'_\alpha)) \\
&= \varphi \circ f \circ (1_X \times \varepsilon_\alpha) \circ (1_X \times \varepsilon'_\alpha) \underset{(18)}{=} g \circ (\varphi \times \lambda) \circ (1_X \times \varepsilon_\alpha) \circ (1_X \times \varepsilon'_\alpha) \\
&= g \circ ((\varphi \circ 1_X) \times (\lambda \circ \varepsilon_\alpha)) \circ (1_X \times \varepsilon'_\alpha) = g \circ (\varphi(\lambda \circ \varepsilon_\alpha)) \circ (1_X \times \varepsilon'_\alpha) \\
&= g \circ ((\varphi \circ 1_X) \times (\lambda \circ \varepsilon_\alpha \circ \varepsilon'_\alpha)) \underset{(4)}{=} g \circ (\varphi \times (\lambda \circ (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_\alpha))
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{g} \circ (\varphi \times \bar{\lambda}) &\underset{(14)}{=} g \circ (1_Y \times (\sigma\sigma')_\beta) \circ (\varphi \times ((\sigma\sigma')_\beta \circ \lambda \circ (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_\alpha)) \\
&= g \circ ((1_Y \circ \varphi) \times ((\sigma\sigma')_\beta \circ (\sigma\sigma')_\beta \circ \lambda \circ (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_\alpha)) \\
&\underset{(4)}{=} g \circ ((1_X \circ \varphi) \times (1_\beta \circ \lambda \circ (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_\alpha)) = g \circ (\varphi \times (\lambda \circ (\varepsilon\varepsilon')_\alpha)).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore “ \Rightarrow ” in II is proved. The proof of “ \Rightarrow ” is the same as for “ \Rightarrow ” because we have (see (14))

$$\overline{(\bar{f})} = f, \quad \overline{(\bar{g})} = g, \quad \overline{(\bar{\lambda})} = \lambda.$$

Proposition 2 is proved.

II. ORBITAL SECTIONS OF MAN-OBJECTS

From now on we shall only consider C -objects of type 1. We shall now give some definitions.

Let a C -object $(X \times A, f, A)$ of type 1 be given and let us fix arbitrarily $x_0 \in X$.

Definition 5. By an orbit of x_0 we mean the set

$$\text{Orb } x_0 := \{x \in X \mid \exists a \in A : x = f(x_0, a)\}.$$

Each two orbits are either identical or disjoint.

The space of all orbits in X we denote by $\text{Orb } X$.

Definition 6. By stationary subgroup of x_0 (or isotropy group of x_0 or stabilizer of x_0) we mean the subgroup A_{x_0} of the group A defined by

$$(21) \quad A_{x_0} := \{a \in A \mid f(x_0, a) = x_0\}^2.$$

Definition 6'. By point — stationary subgroup of a given set M in X (point-isotropy group of M) we mean the intersection of all stationary subgroups of points in M . We denote this by A_M .

Definition 6''. By set-stationary subgroup of M we mean the subgroup A_M of A defined by

$$A_M := \{a \in A \mid f(M, a) = M\}.$$

In this paper we shall apply A_M only in the sense of definition 6'. Definition 6'' is given only for comparison with the former.

Definition 7. A mapping $s : X \rightarrow X \times A$ is called a section for C -object $(X \times A, f, X)$ if

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} 1) & f \circ s = 1_X, \\ 2) & s \in \langle X, X \times A \rangle_C. \end{aligned}$$

Denote $p_1 := p_1^2$, $p_2 := p_2^2$ (see (1)).

Remark 5. If s is a section for C -object $(X \times A, f, X)$, then the mapping $p_1 \circ s : X \rightarrow X$ may be projected to an identity mapping $\text{Orb } X \rightarrow \text{Orb } X$; In other words, the decomposition of X given by orbits is invariant under the mapping $p_1 \circ s$.

Proof. Let us take an arbitrary $x \in X$. We have $(f \circ s)(x) = x$. Denote $(x', a') := s(x)$. From $f(x', a') = x$ it follows that $x' \in \text{Orb } x$. But $x' = (p_1 \circ s)(x)$, so $(p_1 \circ s)(x) \in \text{Orb } x$, which was to be shown.

Definition 8. A section s of C -object $(X \times A, f, X)$ is called orbital if the following identity holds true

$$(23) \quad p_1 \circ s \circ f = p_1 \circ ((p_1 \circ s) \times 1_A).$$

This condition is equivalent to the fact that $p_1 \circ s$ is constant on each orbit. Denote

$$(24) \quad \underset{0}{X} := \text{Im}(p_1 \circ s)$$

and for a given $x_0 \in \underset{0}{X}$ denote

$$(25) \quad \underset{x_0}{A} := \text{Im}(p_2 \circ s \mid \text{Orb } x_0).$$

Definition 9. For a given disjoint decomposition $\text{Dec } X$ of a set X we call $\underset{0}{X} \subset X$ by a section of $\text{Dec } X$ if $\underset{0}{X}$ meets any component of $\text{Dec } X$ at exactly one point.

²⁾ From the property 5) of f assumed in def. 3 it follows that for each $x_0 \in X$ we have $A_{x_0} \neq \emptyset$.

