

## The classical solution of the one-dimensional Burgers' equation

by Tomasz DŁOTKO (Katowice)

**§ 1. Introduction.** A continuation of the author's investigations [1] is given. The classical existence of the solution of Burgers' problem, its boundedness and some remarks concerning the structure of non-stability for  $\frac{P}{v} > v$  are presented.

The following system is studied:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{dU(t)}{dt} = P - vU(t) - \int_{\Omega} v^2(t, x) dx \\ \frac{\partial v(t, x)}{\partial t} = U(t)v(t, x) + v \frac{\partial^2 v(t, x)}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(v^2(t, x)), \end{cases}$$

where  $x \in \Omega = (0, \pi)$ ,  $t \in (0, T)$ ,  $Q = \Omega \times (0, T)$ , with the conditions

$$(1.3) \quad U(0) = U_0, \quad v(0, x) = \varphi(x), \quad v(t, 0) = v(t, \pi) = 0.$$

The symbols defined in [1] remains unchanged. The following new spaces are used:

$C^{2+\alpha, 1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\bar{Q})$  — the Banach space of all functions continuous in  $\bar{Q}$  together with the derivatives  $v_{xx}$ ,  $v_t$ , with the finite norm

$$\|f\|_{\bar{Q}}^{2+\alpha, 1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} = \sum_{\substack{k+2l \leq 2 \\ k, l \geq 0}} [\|D_x^k f\|_{\bar{Q}}^{\alpha} + \|D_t^l f\|_{\bar{Q}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}], \quad \alpha \in (0, 1),$$

where

$$\|D_x^k f\|_{\bar{Q}}^{\alpha} = \sup_{\bar{Q}} |D_x^k f| + \sup_{P, R \in \bar{Q}} \frac{|D_x^k f(P) - D_x^k f(R)|}{d(P, R)}$$

$$P = (t, x), \quad R = (\bar{t}, \bar{x}), \quad d(P, R) = |x - \bar{x}|^{\alpha} + |t - \bar{t}|^{\frac{\alpha}{2}},$$

$$\|D_t^l f\|_{\bar{Q}}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} = \sup_{\bar{Q}} |D_t^l f| + \sup_{P, R \in \bar{Q}} \frac{|D_t^l f(P) - D_t^l f(R)|}{d(P, R)}$$

$C^{2+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$  — the analogous space for functions  $f(x)$  defined for  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ ,

$C^{2,1}(Q \cup Q_T)$  — the set of continuous in  $\bar{Q}$  functions, possesses continuous in  $Q \cup Q_T$  derivatives  $v_t, v_x, v_{xx}$  ( $Q_T = \Omega \times \{t \leq T\}$ ).

§ 2. In [1] the following theorem is proved:

**THEOREM 1.** *If the initial function  $\varphi(x) \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$ , then there exists a unique "weak solution"  $(U, v)$  (defined in [1]), and  $U(t)$  satisfies (1.1) in a classical sense,  $v(t, x) \in L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$  and the distributional derivative  $v' = D_t v$  satisfies  $v'(t, x) \in L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega))$ . Moreover the function  $[0, T] \ni t \rightarrow v(t, \cdot) \in L^2(\Omega)$  is continuous.*

Now the following will be shown

**LEMMA 1.** *The solution  $v$  defined in Theorem 1 is continuous in  $\bar{Q}$ .*

**Proof.** From the condition  $v \in L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$ ,  $v' \in L^2(0, T; H_0^1(\Omega))$  and Theorem 3.1, 1, 3 of [3] the function  $v(t, x)$  is continuous as  $[0, T] \ni t \rightarrow v(t, \cdot) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Next from the Sobolev embedding theorem  $H_0^1(\Omega) \subset C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ .

The following difference must be estimated

$$|v(t, x) - v(t_0, x_0)| \leq |v(t, x) - v(t, x_0)| + |v(t, x_0) - v(t_0, x_0)|.$$

The first component converges to 0 for  $x \rightarrow x_0$  because  $H_0^1(\Omega) \subset C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ , and also the second component for  $t \rightarrow t_0$ .

Hence the solution  $v(t, x)$  satisfies the initial and boundary conditions in the classical sense.

Now the following is considered

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $\varphi(x) \in C^{2+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$  and the condition below is satisfied*

$$(2) \quad v\varphi_{xx}(x) - 2\varphi(x)\varphi_x(x) + U_0\varphi(x)|_{x=0, \pi} = 0,$$

$$\varphi(0) = \varphi(\pi) = 0$$

*then there exists a solution  $v(t, x) \in C^{2+\alpha, 1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\bar{Q})$ ,  $U(t) \in C^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}}([0, T])$ .*

The proof follows directly from Theorem 5.2, VI, 5 of [4], which for our assumptions will be formulated as follows: the following problem is studied

$$(3) \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} - a_{11} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x^2} + a(t, x, w, w_x) = 0$$

$a_{11} = \text{const.} > 0$ , with the condition

$$(4) \quad w|_{\Gamma_T} = \psi(t, x),$$

where  $\Gamma_T := \{\Omega \times \{t = 0\}\} \cup \{\partial\Omega \times [0, T]\}$ .

PROPOSITION 1. Let the following conditions be satisfied:

a) for  $(t, x) \in \bar{Q}$  and any  $w$

$$-a(t, x, w, 0)w \leq |w|\Phi(|w|), \quad \int_1^{\infty} \frac{d\tau}{\Phi(\tau)} = \infty,$$

where  $\Phi$  denotes a non-decreasing positive function,

b) for  $(t, x) \in \bar{Q}$ ,  $|w| \leq M$

$$|a(t, x, w, p)| \leq \mu(|w|) \cdot (1 + |p|)^2,$$

where  $\mu(t)$  is a positive non-decreasing continuous function of  $t \geq 0$ ,

c) for  $(t, x) \in \bar{Q}$ ,  $|w| \leq M$ ,  $|p| \leq M_1$

$a(t, x, w, p)$  is Hölder continuous in  $t$  with the exponent  $\frac{\alpha}{2}$  and in  $x, w, p$  with the exponent  $\alpha$ ,

d)  $\psi(t, x) \in C^{2+\alpha, 1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\bar{Q})$  and

$$[\psi_t(0, x) - a_{11}\psi_{xx}(0, x) + a(0, x; \psi(0, x), \psi_x(0, x))]_{x=0, \pi} = 0.$$

Then the problem (3)–(4) has a unique solution in  $C^{2+\alpha, 1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\bar{Q})$ .

For our case

$$a(t, x, v, p) = -U(t)v + 2vp,$$

where  $U(t)$  belongs to  $C^1([0, T])$  (from Theorem 1). The assumptions of Proposition 1 are satisfied. In d) we put

$$\psi(t, x) \equiv \varphi(x) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], \quad \text{and hence } \psi_t(0, x) = 0.$$

Remark 1. If  $v$  has the properties given by Theorem 2 then  $U(t) \in C^{2+\frac{\alpha}{2}}([0, T])$ . This is the consequence of (1.1);

$$\frac{dU(t)}{dt} = P - vU(t) - \int_{\Omega} v^2(t, x) dx$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} v^2(t, x) dx \in C^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}([0, T]).$$

Remark 2. In Burgers' problem the physical condition  $v(t, 0) = v(t, \pi) = 0$  must be satisfied. It should be noted that (2) is then satisfied when

$$\varphi(0) = \varphi(\pi) = \varphi_{xx}(0) = \varphi_{xx}(\pi) = 0.$$

It will be attempted to show that the solution given in Theorem 1 is the classical one, i.e. that  $v \in C^{2,1}(Q \cup Q_T)$ , and satisfies (1.2) in  $Q \cup Q_T$ . The solution will be approximated with those given in Theorem 2.

We start with the following:

Lemma 2. If  $(U_1(t), v_1(t, x))$  and  $(U_2(t), v_2(t, x))$  are the two classical solutions of Burgers' problem, with the initial functions  $(U_1(0), \varphi_1(x))$  and  $(U_2(0), \varphi_2(x))$  respectively, and moreover if the derivatives  $(v_1)_x, (v_2)_x$  are bounded in  $Q \cup Q_T$ , then

$$(5) \quad \max_{\bar{Q}} |v_1(t, x) - v_2(t, x)| \leq \max \left\{ \max_{\bar{Q}} |\varphi_2(x) - \varphi_1(x)| ; \frac{|U_1(0) - U_2(0)| + c_1 \sqrt{|U_1^2(0) - U_2^2(0)|} + c_2 \|\varphi_1(x) - \varphi_2(x)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}}{\left[ \lambda - 2 \max \left( -\frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x} \right) - \max(U_1) \right] \cdot \exp(-vT)} \right\} \exp(\lambda T)$$

where  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are positive constants, depending only on  $T, v, \max|v_i|$ ;  $i = 1, 2$ , and  $\lambda$  satisfies

$$\lambda > 2 \max \left| \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x} \right| + \max |U_1|.$$

Proof. Denoting  $W(t, x) = v_1(t, x) - v_2(t, x)$  and defining the function

$$V(t, x) = W(t, x) \exp(-\lambda t)$$

it is obtained that  $V(t, x)$  satisfies

$$(6) \quad \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = -\lambda V + v \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} + \left( U_1 v_1 - U_2 v_2 - 2v_1 \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x} + 2v_2 \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x} \right) \exp(-\lambda t)$$

in the classical sense. There are three possibilities;

e)  $V(t, x) \leq 0$  in  $\bar{Q}$ ,

f)  $V$  admits the largest positive value in  $\Gamma_T$ ,

g) the largest positive value is admitted in  $\bar{Q} \setminus \Gamma_T$ .

In case e)  $V(t, x) \leq 0$ , in f)  $\max_{\bar{Q}} V(t, x) \leq \max_{\Gamma_T} V(t, x) = \max_{\bar{Q}} (\varphi_1(x) - \varphi_2(x))$ . It remains to study the g) case. Let  $V$  have the maximal positive value in  $(t_0, x_0) \in Q \cup Q_T$ . We have

$$V_1(t_0, x_0) \geq 0, \quad V_x(t_0, x_0) = 0, \quad V_{xx}(t_0, x_0) \leq 0$$

and from (6) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = -\lambda V + v \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} + & \left( U_1 v_1 - U_2 v_2 + U_1 v_2 - U_1 v_2 - 2v_1 \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial x} + \right. \\ & \left. + 2v_1 \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x} - 2v_1 \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x} + 2v_2 \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x} \right) \exp(-\lambda t) \end{aligned}$$

so in  $(t_0, x_0)$

$$(7) \quad 0 \leq V(t_0, x_0) \leq \frac{(U_1(t_0) - U_2(t_0)) v_2(t_0, x_0) \exp(-\lambda t_0)}{\lambda - U_1(t_0) + 2 \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x}(t_0, x_0)}$$

For  $\lambda > 2 \max \left| \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x} \right| + \max |U_1|$  the denominator is positive, and the right side is bounded.

It is required to estimate the difference  $U_1(t_0) - U_2(t_0)$ . From (1.1) it follows that

$$|U_1(t) - U_2(t)| \leq (|U_1(0) - U_2(0)| + \int_0^t \varepsilon_{12}(\tau) d\tau) \exp(vT),$$

where

$$\varepsilon_{12}(\tau) = | \|v_1(\tau, x)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \|v_2(\tau, x)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 |.$$

Such  $\varepsilon_{12}(\tau)$  satisfies

$$(8) \quad \varepsilon_{12}(\tau) = \left| \int_{\Omega} (v_1 - v_2)(v_1 + v_2) dx \right| \leq 2M \int_{\Omega} |v_1 - v_2| dx \leq \pi M \varepsilon + \frac{M}{\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega} (v_1 - v_2)^2 dx,$$

where  $M = \max_{i=1,2} \max_{\bar{Q}} |v_i|$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary.

From Theorem 3 of [1] it follows that

$$(9) \quad \int_{\Omega} (v_1 - v_2)^2 dx \leq (\|\varphi_1(x) - \varphi_2(x)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |U_1^2(0) - U_2^2(0)|) \exp(cT)$$

where  $c$  depends on  $T$ ,  $v$ ,  $M$ . From (7), (9) and (8) with

$$\varepsilon = \max \{ \|\varphi_1(x) - \varphi_2(x)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}; \sqrt{|U_1^2(0) - U_2^2(0)|} \}$$

we obtain

$$(10) \quad V(t, x) \leq V(t_0, x_0) \leq \left( \lambda - U_1(t_0) + 2 \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x}(t_0, x_0) \right)^{-1} \cdot M \exp(vT) \times \\ \times (|U_1(0) - U_2(0)| + \pi MT \max \{ \|\varphi_1(x) - \varphi_2(x)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}; \sqrt{|U_1^2(0) - U_2^2(0)|} \} + \\ + MT (\|\varphi_1(x) - \varphi_2(x)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \sqrt{|U_1^2(0) - U_2^2(0)|}) \exp(cT),$$

which gives the estimate for the function  $W(t, x) = V(t, x) \exp(\lambda t)$ .

Similarly we may estimate the least negative value of  $V(t, x)$ , and get

$$V(t, x) \geq \min \left\{ 0; \min_{\bar{Q}} (\varphi_1(x) - \varphi_2(x)); \left( \lambda - U_1(\bar{t}_0) + 2 \frac{\partial v_2}{\partial x}(\bar{t}_0, \bar{x}_0) \right)^{-1} \times \right. \\ \times M \exp(vT) \cdot \left( -|U_1(0) - U_2(0)| - \pi M \varepsilon T - \right. \\ \left. \left. - \frac{MT}{\varepsilon} (\|\varphi_1(x) - \varphi_2(x)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + |U_1^2(0) - U_2^2(0)|) \exp(cT) \right) \right\}$$

and hence the proof of Lemma 2 is completed.

The following properties of solutions constructed in Theorem 2 are proved in [4];

A) From Theorem 4.1, V, 4 and Lemma 3.1, VI, 3 it follows that  $\max_{\bar{Q}} |v_x|$  is bounded by a constant depending only on

$$\max |U|, \max_{\bar{Q}} |v|, \max_{\bar{Q}} |v_x|, T \text{ and } v,$$

B) From Theorem 5.4, V, 5, for any subdomain  $\bar{D} \subset Q \cup Q_T$ , with  $d = \text{dist}(D, \Gamma_T)$ , the norm  $\|v\|_{\bar{D}}^{2+\alpha, 1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}$  is bounded by a constant depending only on  $d, \max_{\bar{Q}}|v|, \max_{\bar{Q}}|v_x|, \max|U|, \max|U_t|, \alpha, T$  and  $v$ .

Let us assume for a moment that the following fact is true:

C) For any function  $\varphi(x) \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$  there exists a sequence of functions  $\varphi_n(x) \in C^{2+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ , satisfying (2), convergent to  $\varphi(x)$  in  $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ .

Let us consider the sequence of solutions  $v_n(t, x)$  of Burgers' problem, with the initial conditions  $v_n(0, x) = \varphi_n(x), U(0) = U_0 = \text{const}$ . For any  $n$  this problem has a unique solution given in Theorem 2. Moreover the norms of  $\varphi_n(x)$  are bounded in  $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$  independently on  $n$ , so from A) and B) it follows that for any subdomain  $\bar{D} \subset Q \cup Q_T$  the norms  $\|v_n\|_{\bar{D}}^{2+\alpha, 1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}$  are bounded independently on  $n$ . Using the Ascoli-Arzelà theorem and then the diagonal process we can find a subsequence  $v_{n_k}$  of  $v_n$ , converging in  $Q \cup Q_T$  almost uniformly with the derivatives  $(v_{n_k})_t, (v_{n_k})_x, (v_{n_k})_{xx}$  to a function  $v$  and its derivatives  $v_t, v_x, v_{xx}$  respectively. It is clear that the limit function  $v$  satisfies Burger's problem, moreover from A) it follows that  $\max_{\bar{Q}}|v_x|$  is bounded by the same constant as  $\max_{\bar{Q}}|(v_n)_x|$ . From Lemma 2 it follows that  $v$  is a uniform limit of the whole sequence  $v_n$  in  $C^0(\bar{Q})$ , so  $v$  is continuous in  $\bar{Q}$ .

To complete the construction of the classical solution it remains to show that C) is satisfied.

LEMMA 3. For any function  $\varphi(x) \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$  there exists a sequence of functions  $\varphi_n(x) \in C^{2+\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ , satisfying the conditions in Remark 2 and converging to  $\varphi(x)$  in  $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ .

Proof. The condition  $\varphi(0) = \varphi(\pi) = 0$  remains to extend  $\varphi$  on the interval  $[-\pi, \pi]$  as the odd and  $C^1$  function  $\left(\frac{\varphi(x) - \varphi(0)}{x} = \frac{\varphi(-x) - \varphi(0)}{-x}\right)$ . The derivative  $\varphi_x$  is then an even function, and will be presented as the cosine Fourier's series

$$\varphi_x(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(x) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \cos kx,$$

where

$$a_k = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \varphi_x(x) \cos kx dx.$$

It is known that Fejers sums

$$\sigma_n(x) = \frac{S_0(x) + \dots + S_{n-1}(x)}{n}$$

are uniformly convergent to  $\varphi_x(x)$ . It is also clear that

$$\sup_{x \in [0, \pi]} \left| \int_0^x \sigma_n(y) dy - \varphi(x) \right| \leq \Pi \sup_{x \in [0, \pi]} |\sigma_n(x) - \varphi_x(x)|$$

and converges to 0 for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Now

$$\int_0^x \sigma_n(y) dy = \frac{1}{n} \int_0^x \left[ n \frac{a_0}{2} + (n-1)a_1 \cos y + \dots + a_{n-1} \cos(n-1)y \right] dy$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \left[ n \frac{a_0}{2} x - (n-1)a_1 \sin x - \dots - \frac{a_{n-1}}{n-1} \sin(n-1)x \right]$$

and from condition  $\varphi(0) = \varphi(\pi) = 0$  it follows that  $\frac{a_0}{2}x = 0$ , so  $a_0 = 0$  and hence

$$(11) \quad \varphi(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left( -(n-1)a_1 \sin x - \dots - \frac{a_{n-1}}{n-1} \sin(n-1)x \right).$$

$\int_0^x \sigma_n(y) dy$  may be taken as the function  $\varphi_n(x)$ . All the conditions of Remark 2 are satisfied.

We have shown that the following theorem is satisfied:

**Theorem 3.** *The solution given by Theorem 1 is the classical solution. Moreover, if only  $\varphi(x) \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ , then there exists a classical solution  $(U, v)$  of the Burgers' problem, it is unique and continuously dependent on the initial conditions, its derivative  $v_x$  is bounded by the constant given in A).*

§ 3. It will be proved that the classical solution of Burgers' equation is always bounded in  $L^2(\Omega)$  (the proof is also valid for the solution given in Theorem 1 of [1]).

**LEMMA 4.** *The solution of Burgers' equation  $U^2(t)$ ,  $\|v(t, x)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$  is bounded for all  $t$ .*

**Proof.** Multiplying (1.1) by  $U$  and multiplying (1.2) by  $v$  and integrating the result over  $\Omega$ , then summing together we obtain  $z(t) = \|v(t, x)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$ ;

$$(12) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt}(U^2) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} z(t) = PU(t) - vU^2(t) - v \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \right)^2 dx \leq PU - vU^2 - vz,$$

where Lemma 1 of [1] is used;

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \|D_x f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \quad \text{for any function } f \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Integrating (12) over  $[0, T]$ , for any  $t \geq 0$  we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} U^2(t) - \frac{1}{2} U^2(0) + \frac{1}{2} z(t) - \frac{1}{2} z(0) \leq P \int_0^t U(\tau) d\tau - v \int_0^t [U^2(\tau) + z(\tau)] d\tau$$

and denoting  $L(t) = \frac{1}{2} U^2(t) + \frac{1}{2} z(t)$  we get

$$(13) \quad L(t) \leq L(0) + P \int_0^t U(\tau) d\tau - 2v \int_0^t L(\tau) d\tau.$$

It may be noted that from (1.1) it follows that when  $U(t) > \frac{P}{v}$  then  $L$  is decreasing, and so  $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} U(t) \leq \frac{P}{v}$ . For all  $t \geq 0$  we have also that  $U(t) \leq \max \left\{ U(0); \frac{P}{v} \right\}$ .

For sufficiently large  $T_0$ , and  $t \geq T_0$

$$U(t) \leq \frac{P}{v} + \varepsilon =: N$$

and from (13) it follows that

$$(14) \quad L(t) \leq L(0) + \int_0^t [PN - 2vL(\tau)] d\tau.$$

The function  $L$  is continuous, the inequality (14) is valid also for any interval  $[T_1, T_2]$ , with  $0 \leq T_1 \leq T_2$ , so using the same considerations as in Remark 6 of [1], with

$$A(t) = \left[ L(0) - \frac{PN}{2v} \right] \exp(-2vt) + \frac{PN}{2v}$$

we obtain

$$(15) \quad L(t) \leq \left[ L(0) - \frac{PN}{2v} \right] \exp(-2vt) + \frac{PN}{2v}$$

which is equivalent to

$$U^2(t) + \int_{\Omega} v^2(t, x) dx \leq \left( U^2(0) + \int_{\Omega} \varphi^2(x) dx - \frac{PN}{v} \right) \exp(-2vt) + \frac{P^2}{v^2} + \frac{\varepsilon P}{v}$$

and hence we get the boundedness of  $U$  and  $z$ . In the same way

$$(16) \quad L(t) \leq \left[ L(0) - \frac{P}{2v} \max \left\{ U(0); \frac{P}{v} \right\} \right] \exp(-2vt) + \frac{\max \left\{ U(0); \frac{P}{v} \right\} \cdot P}{2v}$$

and then (15), (16) imply that when  $U(0) < \frac{P}{v}$ , then  $U^2(t)$  is bounded for all  $t$  by  $2 \left( L(0) + \frac{P^2}{2v^2} \right)$ , and for  $t \rightarrow \infty$  is "attracted" by the set  $\left[ 0, \frac{P^2}{v^2} \right]$ , when  $U(0) \geq \frac{P}{v}$ , then  $U^2(t)$  is bounded by  $2 \left( L(0) + \frac{P}{2v} U(0) \right)$ , and for  $t \rightarrow \infty$  is "attracted" by  $\left[ 0, \frac{P^2}{v^2} \right]$ . The same holds true for  $\|v(t, x)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$ .

Finally a lemma is given concerning the structure of nonstability of solutions, when  $\frac{P}{v} > v$ . We start with

Definition. The following function

$$(17) \quad K_t(f) := \frac{\|f(t, x)\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2}{\|f(t, x)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2}$$

defined for the functions continuous as  $[0, T] \ni t \rightarrow H_0^1(\Omega)$  with  $\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \neq 0$  is called the complication of  $f$ .

Shifting in (1.1) and (1.2) the function  $U(t)$  on  $-\frac{P}{v}$  we get

$$(18.1) \quad \frac{dW}{dt} = -vW - \int_{\Omega} v^2 dx,$$

$$(18.2) \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \left(W(t) + \frac{P}{v}\right)v + v \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(v^2).$$

Multiplying (18.1) by  $W$ , multiplying (18.2) by  $v$  and integrating over  $\Omega$ , adding the results we get

$$(19) \quad \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{dz}{dt} + \frac{dW^2}{dt} \right) = -v \|v(t, x)\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{P}{v} z(t) - vW^2(t)$$

now from (17), when the function  $K_t(v)$  is defined

$$(20) \quad \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{dz}{dt} + \frac{dW^2}{dt} \right) = -vK_t(v)z(t) + \frac{P}{v}z(t) - vW^2(t).$$

The following lemma is satisfied:

LEMMA 5, If for some classical solution  $(W, v)$  a lower limit exists and satisfies

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} K_t(v) > \frac{P}{v^2}$$

then the function  $(W, z)$  tends to  $(0, 0)$  for  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

Proof Let be  $K_t(v) > \frac{P}{v^2} + \varepsilon$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $t \geq T_0 \geq 0$ . From (20) it follows that

$$(21) \quad \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{dz}{dt} + \frac{dW^2}{dt} \right) \leq -v\varepsilon z(t) - vW^2(t)$$

and hence  $H(t) = \frac{1}{2}(z(t) + W^2(t))$  converges to 0 for  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence the proof is completed.

The implication of the condition given by Lemma 5 will be considered.

The solution  $v(t, x)$  is given by Fourier's series:

$$v(t, x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k(t) \sin kx,$$

$$a_k(t) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} f(t, x) \sin kx dx$$

and hence

$$K_t(v) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 a_k^2(t)}{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k^2(t)}$$

From Lemma 5 it follows that the solutions for which the complication for big  $t$  exists and is larger than the "Reynolds' number"  $\frac{P}{\nu^2}$  must tend to 0 for  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

Finally we give a method of effective approximation of the Burgers' equation.

Remark 3. In [1] the existence of a solution was shown using the "special base", given by the own functions  $V_n \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  of

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{dV_n}{dx} \frac{dw}{dx} dx = \lambda_n \int_{\Omega} V_n w dx \quad \text{for any } w \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

It is clear that the functions

$$V_n(x) = \sin nx \quad \text{with } \lambda_n = n^2$$

form such a base. Hence the proof given in Theorem 2 of [1] effectively makes it possible to approximate Burgers' equation.

The continuation of the authors' studies is prepared in "Annales Polonici Mathematici".

#### References

- [1] T. Dłotko, *The one-dimensional Burgers' equation existence, uniqueness and stability*, Prace Mat. UJ, the same number.
- [2] R. Gutowski, A. A. Martynyuk, *Integral inequalities and stability of motion*, Наукова Думка, 1979 (in Russian).
- [3] J. L. Lions, E. Magenes, *Problèmes aux limites non homogènes et applications*, vol. 1, Dunod Paris, 1968 (Mup 1971).
- [4] O. A. Ladyzenskaja, V. A. Solonnikov, N. N. Uralceva, *Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type*, Nauka, Moscow, 1967 (in Russian).