

## On the convergence of the iterated Pilgerschritt transformation

by N. NETZER

The solution of the translation equation in a real Lie-group  $G$  can be defined as the construction of a continuous homomorphism  $h: R \rightarrow G$  where  $h(1) = f$  is a given element of  $G$  (cf. R. Liedl, [5]). In order to solve this problem in a more general case and if the restriction  $h|[0, 1]$  is required to be homotopic to a given path  $\varphi: [0, 1] \rightarrow G$  from the unit element to the element  $f$ , R. Liedl has proposed a method called the Pilgerschritt-transformation. In this paper we shall give sufficient conditions for this method to solve this problem.

Since a Lie-group  $G$  is locally isomorphic to a group of matrices and our conditions will be formulated using such a local isomorphism, we shall restrict the group  $G$  to a closed subgroup of the group  $Gl(n, R)$  of the real  $n \times n$ -matrices. Let  $f \in G$  be the given  $n \times n$ -matrix and let the  $C^1$ -path  $\varphi: [0, 1] \rightarrow G$  with  $\varphi(0) = E$  and  $\varphi(1) = f$  represent the required homotopy class of the restriction  $h|[0, 1]$  of the homomorphism  $h: R \rightarrow G$  in question. Then it is possible to consider the solution of the matrix differential equation

$$\frac{\partial \varphi(t, \tau)}{\partial t} = \tau \varphi'(t) \varphi(t)^{-1} \varphi(t, \tau)$$

with the initial condition  $\varphi(0, \tau) = E$  (where  $\tau \in [0, 1]$  denotes a real parameter). The path  $\varphi: [0, 1] \rightarrow G$  defined by  $\varphi(\tau) = \varphi(1, \tau)$  is called the Pilgerschritt transform of  $\varphi$  (cf. R. Liedl [5]).

The iteration of the Pilgerschritt transformation gives rise to a sequence of paths  $\varphi, \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\tilde{\varphi}}, \dots, \tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\varphi}}}, \dots$ . Liedl's conjecture was that there exist weak conditions for the path  $\varphi$  implying the uniform convergence of this sequence to a path  $\tilde{\chi}: [0, 1] \rightarrow G$ , which is homotopic to  $\varphi$  and is the restriction of a continuous homomorphism  $h: R \rightarrow G$ .

In this paper we wish to show that there exists a positive real number  $L$  such that this conjecture is true, if  $\|\varphi'(t)\| < L$  for each  $t \in [0, 1]$  (e.g.,  $\|\cdot\|$  is the operator norm). If  $\|\varphi'(t)\|$  is small enough for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ , by integration we see that  $\|\varphi(t) - E\|$  is small. Thus  $\varphi(t)$  can be written in the form

$$\varphi(t) = \exp(tD) \exp(A(t))$$

where  $\exp(D) = f$  and  $A(0) = A(1) = 0$ .

Because of

$$\varphi'(t) = D \exp(tD) \exp(A(t)) + \exp(tD) \frac{d}{dt} \exp(A(t))$$

we have  $\|\varphi'(t)\|$  small iff  $\|D\|$  and  $\|A'(t)\|$  are small. Therefore it is sufficient to show the following

**THEOREM:** For each  $K \in (0, 1)$  there exists a  $\beta > 0$  such that  $\|D\| < \beta_1$  and  $\max_{t \in [0, 1]} \|A'(t)\| < \beta_1$  and  $\beta_1 < \beta$  implies that

$$\varphi(t) = \exp(tD) \exp(A(t)),$$

where

$$\max_{t \in [0, 1]} \|A'(t)\| < K\beta_1.$$

We first prove the following

**LEMMA:** Let  $\delta > 0$  be such that for  $X, Y \in \mathcal{L}(G)$  (we identify the Lie-algebra of  $G$  with a subalgebra of the algebra of real  $n \times n$ -matrices) the Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff-series

$$H(X, Y) = \log(\exp X \exp Y) = X + Y + \frac{1}{2}[X, Y] + \dots$$

converges whenever  $\|X\|, \|Y\| < \delta$ . We put

$$F(X, Y) = \frac{d}{dt} (H(tX, Y))|_{t=0} = X + \sum_{k \geq 1} \alpha_k [Y, \underbrace{[Y, \dots [Y, X]]}_{k \text{ brackets}}] \dots (F)$$

( $\alpha_k = \frac{\xi_k}{k!}$  where  $\xi_k$  is the  $k$ -th Bernoulli-number) and

$$g(t, \tau) = \exp((1-\tau)tD) \left( \frac{d}{dt} \exp(A(t)) \right) \exp(-A(t)) \exp((\tau-1)tD).$$

If  $B(t, \tau)$  is the solution of the matrix differential equation

$$(*) \quad \frac{\partial Y(t, \tau)}{\partial t} = \tau F(g(t, \tau), Y(t, \tau)), \quad Y(0, \tau) = 0 \quad \text{for each } \tau \in [0, 1]$$

and if  $\|g(t, \tau)\| < \delta$  and  $\|B(t, \tau)\| < \delta$  for each  $t, \tau \in [0, 1]$ , then

$$\varphi(t, \tau) = \exp(\tau t D) \exp(B(t, \tau)).$$

**Proof of the lemma:** Differentiation shows that

$$\varphi'(t) \varphi(t)^{-1} = D + \exp(tD) \left( \frac{d}{dt} \exp(A(t)) \right) \exp(-A(t)) \exp(-tD).$$

On the other hand, setting

$$\psi(t) := \exp(\tau t D) \exp(B(t, \tau))$$

