

Note on Similarity to Contractions

by Jan STOCHEL

Abstract. A generalization of Rota's Theorem [4] to the case of countably many operators is presented here. Consequently, we get a „universal model” for such families of operators. From the main result we also obtain a dilation theorem, similar to that of Brehmer [5, Prop. 9.2., p. 39].

The present paper deals with the following problems:

- (1) When a commutative sequence of operators in a Hilbert space is similar to a common part of a commutative sequence of backward shifts.
- (2) When a commutative sequence of operators in a Hilbert space is similar to a commutative sequence of contractions, which has a unitary dilation.

The problem (1) was first solved for a single operator by G. C. Rota [4]. He showed that every operator with a spectral radius strictly less than 1 is similar to part of a backward shift. In other words, the backward shift may be treated as a “universal model” for such operators. Later D. N. Clark [2] and J. A. Ball [1] obtained similar results for a finite sequence of commuting operators. The present note solves the problems (1) and (2) for a special class of infinite sequences.

1. The construction of the “universal model”. In what follows X always stands for the sets $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ or $\{1, 2, \dots\}$, ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). Let Z be the set of all integers. Denote by $F(X)$ the abelian group of all functions $\alpha: X \rightarrow Z$ with finite supports and pointwise defined addition $+$. $F(X)$ with pointwise defined partial order relation \geq becomes a partially ordered group, i.e. if $\alpha \geq \beta$ then $\alpha + \gamma \geq \beta + \gamma$, for every $\gamma \in F(X)$. 0 stands for the neutral element of $F(X)$. Let $F_+(X)$ be the set of all elements α of $F(X)$ such that $\alpha \geq 0$. Denote by e_m ($m \in X$) the element of the set $F_+(X)$ defined by

$$e_m(m') = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } m' = m \\ 0 & \text{for } m' \neq m. \end{cases}$$

Let H be a complex Hilbert space with the inner product (\cdot, \cdot) and the norm $\|h\| = (h, h)^{1/2}$ ($h \in H$). $L(H)$ stands for the algebra of all bounded linear operators in H .

I_H stands for the identity operator in H . Recall [4] that an operator V in H is a *pure isometry* (or a *unilateral shift*) if and only if V is an isometry satisfying the condition

$$\bigcap_{k=0}^{\infty} V^k H = \{0\}.$$

The dimension of the orthogonal complement $H \ominus VH$ of VH in H is called the *multiplicity* of V . A *backward shift* is the adjoint of a unilateral shift.

For a non-empty set A , denote by $l_H^2(A)$ the Hilbert space of all systems $h = (h_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$ of vectors $h_\alpha \in H$ such that $\sum_\alpha \|h_\alpha\|^2 < +\infty$, with coordinatewise defined linear operations and the inner product defined by the formula

$$(h, k) = \sum_\alpha (h_\alpha, k_\alpha) \quad \text{for } h = (h_\alpha), k = (k_\alpha) \in l_H^2(A).$$

The space $l_H^2(F_+(X))$ will be shortly denoted by H_X . We now define the operator V_m in H_X ($m \in X$) by

$$(3) \quad (V_m h)_\alpha = \begin{cases} h_{\alpha - e_m} & \text{for } \alpha \geq e_m \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (h \in H_X).$$

PROPOSITION 1. *The operator V_m is a pure isometry. The multiplicity of V_m equals the dimension of $H_m = \{h \in H_X: h_\alpha = 0 \text{ for } \alpha \geq e_m\}$, and it does not depend on $m \in X$. The adjoint V_m^* of V_m has the form*

$$(V_m^* h)_\alpha = h_{\alpha + e_m} \quad \text{for } h \in H_X.$$

The operators V_m ($m \in X$) commute with each other.

Proof. V_m is an isometry, because

$$\|V_m h\|^2 = \sum_\alpha \|(V_m h)_\alpha\|^2 = \sum_{\alpha \geq e_m} \|h_{\alpha - e_m}\|^2 = \|h\|^2 \quad \text{for } h \in H_X.$$

It is plain that

$$(4) \quad V_m^k H_X = \{h \in H_X: h_\alpha = 0 \text{ if } \alpha \not\geq ke_m\}.$$

Hence

$$(5) \quad \bigcap_{k=0}^m V_m^k H_X = \{0\}.$$

Indeed, if $h \in \bigcap_{k=0}^m V_m^k H_X$ and $\alpha \in F_+(X)$, then $h \in V_m^{(\alpha(m)+1)} H_X$. Since $\alpha \not\geq (\alpha(m)+1)e_m$ we have $h_\alpha = 0$ for all $\alpha \in F_+(X)$. This proves (5), so V_m is a pure isometry. The multiplicity of V_m equals $\dim H_m$, because by (4)

$$(6) \quad H_m = H_X \ominus V_m H_X.$$

Now we shall show that $\dim H_m$ does not depend on $m \in X$. To prove this, we define a mapping $\varphi_{m,m'}(m, m' \in X)$ from $F(X)$ onto $F(X)$ by

$$(\varphi_{m,m'}(\alpha))(k) = \begin{cases} \alpha(k) & \text{for } k \in X \setminus \{m, m'\}; \\ \alpha(m') & \text{for } k = m; \\ \alpha(m) & \text{for } k = m'. \end{cases}$$

The mapping $\varphi_{m,m'}$ is a group automorphism which preserves a partial order, that is

$$\alpha \geq \beta \quad \text{if and only if } \varphi_{m,m'}(\alpha) \geq \varphi_{m,m'}(\beta) \quad \text{for all } \alpha, \beta \in F(X).$$

Moreover $\varphi_{m,m'}(F_+(X)) = F_+(X)$ and $\varphi_{m,m'}(e_m) = e_{m'}$, $\varphi_{m,m'}(e_{m'}) = e_m$.

It is clear that the operator $U_{m,m'}$ in H_X defined by

$$(U_{m,m'}h)_\alpha = h_{\varphi_{m,m'}(\alpha)} \quad \text{for } h \in H_X,$$

is unitary and satisfies the following conditions

$$(7) \quad U_{m,m'}V_m = V_{m'}U_{m,m'}, \quad U_{m,m'}V_{m'} = V_mU_{m,m'}.$$

It follows from (6) and (7) that $U_{m,m'}H_m = H_{m'}$, thus $\dim H_m = \dim H_{m'}$.

To find the form of the operator V_m^* , take $h, h' \in H_X$. By a simple computation we get

$$(V_m^*h, h') = (h, V_m h') = \sum_{\alpha \geq e_m} (h_\alpha, h'_{\alpha - e_m}) = \sum_{\alpha} (h_{\alpha + e_m}, h'_\alpha) = (k, h'),$$

where $k = (k_\alpha)$ is defined by $k_\alpha = h_{\alpha + e_m}$. This shows that

$$(8) \quad (V_m^*h)_\alpha = h_{\alpha + e_m} \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in F_+(X).$$

Moreover, (8) implies that the operators $(V_m)_{m \in X}$ commute with each other. This completes the proof.

2. Properties of the representation $\langle \cdot, T \rangle$. Let H be a complex Hilbert space. Suppose that $T = (T_m)_{m \in X}$ is a commutative sequence of operators in H (i.e. $T_m T_{m'} = T_{m'} T_m$ for each $m, m' \in X$). For $\alpha \in F_+(X)$ we put

$$\langle \alpha, T \rangle = \begin{cases} \prod_{m: \alpha(m) \neq 0} T_m^{\alpha(m)} & \text{for } \alpha \neq 0; \\ I_H & \text{for } \alpha = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let $S = (S_m)_{m \in X}$ be another commutative sequence of operators in H such that T_m and $S_{m'}$ commute for each m and m' . Denote by T^* the sequence $(T_m^*)_{m \in X}$ and by $T \cdot S$ the sequence $(T_m S_m)_{m \in X}$.

PROPOSITION 2. *The mapping $F_+(X) \ni \alpha \rightarrow \langle \alpha, T \rangle \in L(H)$ is a representation of the semi-group $F_+(X)$ and satisfies the conditions*

$$(9) \quad \langle e_m, T \rangle = T_m, \quad m \in X;$$

$$(10) \quad \langle \alpha, T^* \rangle = \langle \alpha, T \rangle^*;$$

$$(11) \quad \langle \alpha, T \cdot S \rangle = \langle \alpha, T \rangle \langle \alpha, S \rangle.$$

If V stands for the sequence $(V_m)_{m \in X}$ as in Proposition 1, then the mapping $F_+(X) \ni \alpha \rightarrow \langle \alpha, V \rangle \in L(H_X)$ is an isometric representation of the semi-group $F_+(X)$ such that

(12) H_X is the smallest subspace which contains $\bigcup_{\alpha} \langle \alpha, V \rangle \hat{H}$, where

$$\hat{H} = \{h \in H_X : h_{\alpha} = 0 \text{ for all } \alpha \neq 0\} \text{ and } \hat{H} \text{ is unitarily equivalent to } H.$$

Proof. (9), (10), (11) are clear. We prove (12). It is easy to see that the formula

$$(13) \quad \langle \langle \alpha, V \rangle h \rangle_{\alpha'} = \begin{cases} h_{\alpha' - \alpha} & \text{for } \alpha' \geq \alpha; \\ 0 & \text{for other } \alpha' \end{cases}$$

holds true. Let \hat{f} ($f \in H$) be the vector in \hat{H} defined by

$$(\hat{f})_{\alpha} = \begin{cases} f & \text{for } \alpha = 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } \alpha \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Take $h = \hat{f}$ in (13). Then

$$\langle \langle \alpha, V \rangle \hat{f} \rangle_{\alpha'} = \begin{cases} f & \text{for } \alpha' = \alpha, \\ 0 & \text{for } \alpha' \neq \alpha. \end{cases}$$

This proves (12). Finally, the linear mapping $H \ni f \rightarrow \hat{f} \in \hat{H}$ is evidently unitary.

In what follows, $|T| = (\sum_{\alpha} \|\langle \alpha, T \rangle\|^2)^{1/2}$, where $T = (T_m)_{m \in X}$ is a commutative sequence of operators in H . In case $T = \{T\}$ we write $|T| = |T|$.

3. Main results. Let H, K be Hilbert spaces. We say that a commutative sequence $T = (T_m)_{m \in X}$ of operators in H is similar to a commutative sequence $S = (S_m)_{m \in X}$ of operators in K , if there is a linear homeomorphism $U: H \rightarrow K$ such that

$$UT_m = S_m U \quad \text{for all } m \in X.$$

We then write $T \underset{U}{\sim} S$ or $T \sim S$. Notice that

PROPOSITION 3. If $T \underset{U}{\sim} S$ then

$$(14) \quad U \langle \alpha, T \rangle = \langle \alpha, S \rangle U \quad \text{for each } \alpha \in F_+(X),$$

$$(18) \quad T^* \underset{W}{\sim} S^*, \text{ where } W = (U^*)^{-1}.$$

We are now able to formulate our main result.

THEOREM 1. Let $T = (T_m)_{m \in X}$ be a commutative sequence of operators in a Hilbert space H . If $|T| < +\infty$ then T is similar to a common part of the sequence V^* , i.e., there is a closed subspace K of H_X such that each operator V_m^* leaves K invariant ($m \in X$), and $T \sim S = (S_m)_{m \in X}$ where $S_m = V_m^*|_K$.

Proof. First we define the operator $W: H \rightarrow H_X$ by the formula

$$(Wh)_\alpha = \langle \alpha, T \rangle h \quad \text{for all } h \in H, \alpha \in F_+(X).$$

It is easy to check that the following inequalities hold

$$(16) \quad \|h\|^2 \leq \|Wh\|^2 \leq |T|^2 \|h\|^2 \quad \text{for all } h \in H.$$

Let $K = WH$. It follows from (16) that K is a closed subspace of H_X . Moreover, by Proposition 1, we have

$$WT_m h = ((\langle \alpha + e_m, T \rangle h)_\alpha) = V_m^* Wh \quad \text{for all } h \in H,$$

hence

$$(17) \quad WT_m = V_m^* W.$$

This implies that each operator V_m^* leaves K invariant. Now define the operator $U: H \rightarrow K$, putting $Uh = Wh$ for $h \in H$. It follows from (16) and (17) that U is a linear homeomorphism such that

$$UT_m = V_m^*|_K U, \quad m \in X.$$

This completes the proof.

Recall [5] that a commutative sequence $S = (S_m)_{m \in X}$ of operators in the Hilbert space H has a unitary dilation, if there is a Hilbert space $K \supset H$ and a commutative sequence $U = (U_m)_{m \in X}$ of unitary operators in K such that

$$\langle \alpha, S \rangle = P \langle \alpha, U \rangle|_H, \quad \alpha \in F_+(X),$$

where P is the orthogonal projection of K onto H .

COROLLARY 1. *Let $T = (T_m)_{m \in X}$ be a commutative sequence of operators in a Hilbert space H . If $|T| < +\infty$ then there is a Hilbert space K and a commutative sequence $S = (S_m)_{m \in X}$ of contractions in K such that $T \sim S$ and S has a unitary dilation.*

Proof. Since $|T^*| = |T| < +\infty$, it follows from Theorem 1 that there is a subspace K of H_X such that each operator V_m^* leaves K invariant and $T^* \sim S' = (S'_m)_{m \in X}$, where $S'_m = V_m^*|_K$. Thus, by Proposition 3, we have $T \sim S = S'^*$. The sequence $S = (S_m)_{m \in X}$ consists of mutually commuting contractions $S_m = (V_m^*|_K)^* = PV_m|_K$, where P is the orthogonal projection of H_X onto K . By a simple computation we get

$$\langle \alpha, S \rangle = \left\{ \prod_{m: \alpha(m) \neq 0} (V_m^*|_K)^{\alpha(m)} \right\}^* = \{ \langle \alpha, V \rangle^*|_K \}^* = P \langle \alpha, V \rangle|_K,$$

hence

$$\langle \alpha, S \rangle = P \langle \alpha, V \rangle|_K \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in F_+(X).$$

To complete the proof we can apply the Brehmer—Itô Theorem [5, Proposition 6.2, p. 22]. However we shall present another proof, because our situation is much simpler

than that of the Brehmer—Itô Theorem. To begin with, we define the unitary operator U_m in $l_H^2(F(X))$ by

$$(U_m h)_\alpha = h_{\alpha - \epsilon_m} \quad \text{for all } h \in l_H^2(F(X)).$$

If we identify the space H_X with the subspace $\{h \in l_H^2(F(X)): h_\alpha = 0 \text{ for each } \alpha \notin F_+(X)\}$ of $l_H^2(F(X))$, then $U_m H_X \subset H_X$, and

$$U_m h = V_m h \quad \text{for all } h \in H_X.$$

The proof is finished.

4. Sufficient conditions for similarity to a part of the sequence V^* . Let $T = (T_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a commutative sequence of operators in a complex Hilbert space H . Now we shall prove a sufficient condition in order that $|T| < +\infty$. We start with the simplest situation $T = \{T\}$.

PROPOSITION 4. *If the spectral radius of the operator T in H is strictly less than 1, then $|T| < +\infty$.*

Proof. It follows from the spectral radius formula [3, Theorem 2.38, p. 45], that

$$|T|^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|T^k\|^2 < +\infty.$$

Let now $T = \{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$ be an arbitrary commutative sequence of operators in H . It is not difficult to show that

$$(18) \quad \max_{1 \leq m \leq n} |T_m|^2 \leq |T|^2 \leq \prod_{m=1}^n |T_m|^2.$$

PROPOSITION 5. *If the spectral radius of all the operators T_m ($m = 1, 2, \dots, n$) is strictly less than 1, then $|T| < +\infty$.*

Consider now the case $T = (T_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$. By standard arguments we can prove

$$(19) \quad |T| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |T_n|, \quad T_n = (T_m)_{m=1}^n.$$

(18) and (19) imply

PROPOSITION 6. *If $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ln |T_k| < +\infty$ then $|T| < +\infty$.*

Now we are able to prove

PROPOSITION 7. If there is a positive integer m such that

(20) the spectral radius of T_k is strictly less than 1 for all $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$,

(21) $\|T_k\| < 1$ for all $k > m$,

(22) $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|T_k\|^2 < +\infty$,

then $|T| < +\infty$.

Proof. It follows from (20), (18) and Proposition 4 that $\sum_{k=1}^m \ln |T_k| < +\infty$. Thus, by Proposition 6, it is enough to show that $\sum_{k>m} \ln |T_k| < +\infty$. We may assume that $\|T_k\|^2 \leq 1/2$ for all $k > m$ without loss of generality. Since

$$-\ln(1-x) \leq \frac{x}{1-x} \quad \text{for all } x: 0 \leq x < 1,$$

and

$$\frac{x}{1-x} \leq 2x \quad \text{for all } x: 0 \leq x \leq 1/2,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k>m} \ln |T_k| &\leq 1/2 \sum_{k>m} \ln \frac{1}{1-\|T_k\|^2} \leq 1/2 \sum_{k>m} (-\ln(1-\|T_k\|^2)) \leq \\ &\leq 1/2 \sum_{k>m} \frac{\|T_k\|^2}{1-\|T_k\|^2} \leq \sum_{k>m} \|T_k\|^2 < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

5. Final remarks. It follows from Proposition 7 and Theorem 1 that each sequence $T = (T_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ satisfying the conditions (20), (21) and (22) is similar to a common part of the sequence V^* (as in Proposition 1). In other words, the sequence V^* may be treated as a "universal model" for such families of operators. We notice that in the case when $X = \{1\}$ our model coincides with Rota's. Moreover, Proposition 4 and Theorem 1 imply the Rota theorem.

It is well known [5, Prop. 9.2, p. 39] that if a commutative sequence of contractions $T = (T_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ satisfies the condition

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|T_k\|^2 \leq 1,$$

then it has a unitary dilation. We can obtain a similar result from Proposition 7 and Corollary 1, i.e. if a sequence $T = (T_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ satisfies the conditions (20), (21) and (22), then T is similar to a commutative sequence of contractions, which has a unitary dilation.

References

- [1] J. A. Ball, *Rota's Theorem for General Functional Hilbert Spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 64 (1977), no. 1, 55-61.
- [2] D. N. Clark, *On commuting contractions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 32 1970, 590-596.
- [3] R. G. Douglas, *Banach Algebra Techniques in Operator Theory*, New York and London, 1972.
- [4] P. A. Fillmore, *Notes on operator theory*, New York, 1970.
- [5] B. Sz.-Nagy, C. Foiaş, *Harmonic analysis of operators on Hilbert space*, Akademiai Kiado-Buda-pest, 1970.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY PAN
30-127 KRAKÓW
UL. SOLSKIEGO 30
POLAND

Received April 21, 1979.