

On the Convergence to Zero of Oscillating Solutions of N -th Order Partial Differential Equations

By Roman SRZEDNICKI

In this paper we shall prove two theorems on the convergence to zero of some special solutions of partial differential equations. The conception of these solutions is a generalization of a conception of quickly oscillating solutions of ordinary equations in the papers [1] and [2].

Let n be an integer ≥ 1 . The small Greek letters α and β denote n -indices: $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, α_i are integers ≥ 0 . We put

$$|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i.$$

We introduce the following notation:

$$\alpha \geq \beta \Leftrightarrow \alpha_i \geq \beta_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$\alpha > \beta \Leftrightarrow \alpha_i > \beta_i \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$D^\alpha = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}$$

(if $\alpha = 0, \dots, 0$, then $D^\alpha \varphi = \varphi$ for any function φ).

We shall consider the following equation of the N -th order:

$$(1) \quad D^\alpha \varphi = f(x, (D^\beta \varphi)_{\beta \leq \alpha, \beta \neq \alpha})$$

when $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $|\alpha| = N$

and $f: \mathbf{R}^q \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a continuous function,

$$f = f(x, (u_\beta)_{\beta \leq \alpha, \beta \neq \alpha}), \quad q = n + \prod_{i=1}^n (\alpha_i + 1) - 1.$$

Let $\{r_\nu\}_{\nu=1}^\infty$ be the sequence of positive real numbers, such that $r_\nu \rightarrow \infty$ when $\nu \rightarrow \infty$
 $r_{\nu+1} > r_\nu$

$$\sqrt{r_{\nu+1}^2 - r_\nu^2} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{when } \nu \rightarrow \infty.$$

For $i = 1, \dots, n$ let H_v^i be the set contained in the ring $\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n: r_v \leq |x| \leq r_{v+1}\}$. ($|\cdot|$ is the Euclidean norm). We assume that H_v^i is the boundary of connected set, which contains a ball with the centre in zero and the radius r_v .

Let k be an integer, $1 \leq k \leq n$. We say that the solution of the equation (1) is $[k, \{r_v\}]$ pseudo-oscillating, if

(a) $D^\gamma \varphi$ is defined and continuous in $[0, \infty)^n$, for $0 \leq \gamma \leq \alpha$,

(b) $\sup\{|D^\gamma \varphi(x)|: x \in H_v^{j+1}\} \rightarrow 0, v \rightarrow \infty$,

where $\gamma = 0$ for $j = 0$ and $\gamma = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_j, 0, \dots, 0)$ for $j = 1, \dots, k-1$,

(c) $\exists M > 0: \sup\{|D^\gamma \varphi(x)|: x \in H_v^{j+1}\} < M$

for $j = k, \dots, n-1$ and γ as above.

Remark 1. For $n = 2$ and the hyperbolical equation the problem of the existence of solutions which fulfil (a) was considered in [4]. In this case the conception of a $[1, \{r_v\}]$ pseudo-oscillating solution generalizes the conception of an x -regular $\{r_v\}$ oscillating solution in [3].

Let $A_k = A_k(\alpha)$ be a set of n -indices β such that

$$\beta_1 = \alpha_1, \dots, \beta_{s-1} = \alpha_{s-1}, \quad 0 \leq \beta_s < \alpha_s, \quad \beta_{s+1} = 0, \dots, \beta_n = 0$$

for some $s \leq k$.

THEOREM 1. Let k be an integer, $1 \leq k \leq n$, and $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ be an n -index such that $\alpha_k \neq 0$. Assume that for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, $\alpha_i = 0$ implies $\alpha_{i+1} = 0$. Suppose that function f in (1) fulfils the following condition:

$$(2) \quad \exists C > 0 \quad |f| \leq C(1 + \sum_{\beta \in A_k} |u_\beta|).$$

If φ is a $[k, \{r_v\}]$ pseudo-oscillating solution of (1) then

$$\sum_{\beta \in A_k} |D^\beta \varphi(x)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ when } |x| \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } x \in [0, \infty)^n.$$

Now we shall present the second theorem. For $i = 1, \dots, n$ let $\{w_v^i\}_{v=1}^\infty$ be sequences of positive real numbers such that $w_v^i \rightarrow \infty$ when $v \rightarrow \infty$, $0 < w_{v+1}^i - w_v^i \rightarrow 0$ when $v \rightarrow \infty$. $W = \{x \in [0, \infty)^n: \exists i = 1, \dots, n, \exists v = 1, 2, \dots, x_i = w_v^i\}$.

We say that the solution φ of (1) is W -oscillating, if

(d) $D^\gamma \varphi$ is defined and continuous in $[0, \infty)^n$, for $0 \leq \gamma \leq \alpha$,

(e) $\varphi \equiv 0$ on W .

Let $p_i: [0, \infty)^n \ni x \rightarrow (w_{v+\alpha_i+1}^i - w_v^i) \in (0, \infty)$

when $w_v^i \leq x_i < w_{v+1}^i$

THEOREM 2. Let φ be a W -oscillating solution of (1) $\alpha \geq (1, \dots, 1)$. We assume that $\exists C: [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ such that

$$(3) \quad |f| \leq C(x)(1 + \sum_{\beta < \alpha} |u_\beta|),$$

$$(4) \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n \quad C(x)p_i(x) \rightarrow 0$$

when $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly with respect to $(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$.

Then $\sum_{\beta < \alpha} |D^\beta \varphi(x)| \rightarrow 0$ when $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ and $x \in [0, \infty)^n$.

Remark 2. Condition (4) is fulfilled when $C = \text{constant}$. In the case $n = 1$ both Theorems generalize Corollary 2 in the paper [1]. Theorem 1 generalizes the results of [3].

Now we shall prove some lemmas:

LEMMA 1. Let $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^p -class function for some $p \geq 1$ and $\{t_v\}_{v=1}^\infty$ be a sequence in \mathbb{R} such that

$$\exists \lambda > 0, \eta > 0 \quad \eta < t_{v+1} - t_v < 2\eta \quad \text{and} \quad |g(t_v)| < \lambda$$

Then $\forall x \in [t_1, t_2) \exists z > t_1$:

$$|z - x| < (2^p + 2^{p-1} - 1)\eta \quad \text{and} \quad |g^{(p)}(z)| < \frac{2^p \lambda}{\eta^p}.$$

Proof of lemma 1: For $p = 1$ this is the immediate consequence of the mean value theorem.

Let us assume that the lemma is true for p . There exists z_1 , such that

$$|z_1 - x| < (2^p + 2^{p-1} - 1)\eta \quad \text{and} \quad |g^{(p)}(z_1)| < \frac{2^p \lambda}{\eta^p}, \quad z_1 > t_1.$$

Let μ be the minimum of the set $\{v: t_v - z_1 > \eta\}$. Then the inequality $x < t_2 \leq t_\mu$ holds good. For t_μ we find $z_2 > t_\mu$, such that the following conditions are fulfilled:

$$z_2 - t_\mu < (2^p + 2^{p-1} - 1)\eta \quad \text{and} \quad |g^{(p)}(z_2)| < \frac{2^p \lambda}{\eta^p}.$$

Finally, we find a mean value $z \in (z_1, z_2)$, which has the required properties.

COROLLARY. Let δ be a number, $0 < \delta < 1$. Suppose that g and $\{t_v\}$ fulfil the assumption of lemma 1 with

$$(I) \quad \eta = \frac{\delta}{2^{p+1}}$$

or

$$(II) \quad \eta = \frac{\delta}{2^{p+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda = \frac{\delta^{p+1}}{2^{p(p+2)}}.$$

Then $\exists z_1, \dots, z_p, z_i > t_1$ for $i = 1, \dots, p$, $|z_i - x| < \frac{\delta}{2}$:

$$(I) \quad |g^{(i)}(z_i)| < 2^{2p+1} \lambda$$

or

$$(II) \quad |g^{(i)}(z_i)| < \delta \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, p.$$

LEMMA 2. Let $g: (a, a+\delta) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a C^p -function for some $a \in \mathbf{R}$ and $0 < \delta < 1$. Let s be an integer, $0 \leq s < p$. Suppose that there exist $\lambda > 0$ and points $z_j \in (a, a+\delta)$, $j = s, \dots, p-1$, such that $|g^{(s)}(z_j)| < \lambda$. Then $\forall x \in (a, a+\delta) \exists \theta \in (a, a+\delta): |g^{(s)}(x)| \leq p\lambda + |g^{(p)}(\theta)|\delta$.

Proof of lemma 2: We apply the mean value theorem and obtain

$$|g^{(s)}(x)| \leq |g^{(p)}(\theta)|\delta^{p-s} + \lambda + \dots + \lambda\delta^{p-s-1} \quad \text{for some } \theta \in (a, a+\delta).$$

Proof of Theorem 1: We fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and a positive integer k . Let $Q = 2^{2|\alpha|+1}$. We find $\delta < 1$, so that

$$\frac{|\alpha|^2\delta(1+Q) + \delta^{n-k+1}C}{1 - C(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k)\delta^{n-k+1}} < \varepsilon.$$

Let v_0 be a positive integer such that

$$(5) \quad \sup\{|D^j\varphi(x)|: x \in H_v^{j+1}\} < \frac{\delta^{|\alpha|+1}}{2^{\alpha^2}}, \quad v > v_0$$

for $0 \leq j \leq k-1$ and γ as in (b)

$$(6) \quad \sqrt{v_{v+1}^2 - v_v^2} < \frac{\delta}{2^{|\alpha|+1}}, \quad v > v_0.$$

We shall show that if $x_0 \in [0, \infty)^n$ is not in the open ball with centre in zero and radius v_{v_0} , then

$$\sum_{\beta \in A_k} |D^\beta\varphi(x_0)| < \varepsilon.$$

Let J denotes the set $[x_{01}, x_{01}+\delta] \times \dots \times [x_{0n}, x_{0n}+\delta]$. Now we fix $x \in J$ and $\beta = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{s-1}, \beta_s, 0, \dots, 0) \in A_k$.

We introduce the following function:

$$\psi = D^{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{s-1}, 0, \dots, 0)}\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{s-1}, x_{s+1}, \dots, x_n).$$

By virtue of (6) there exist t_1 such that $0 < t_1 - x_{0s} < \frac{\delta}{2^{|\alpha|}}$ and $(x_1, \dots, x_{s-1}, t_1, x_{s+1}, \dots, x_n) \in H_{\mu_0}^s$ for some μ_0 . We choose a sequence of points $(x_1, \dots, x_{s-1}, t_v, x_{s+1}, \dots, x_n)$ in the intersection of $\bigcup_{\mu > \mu_0} H_\mu^s$ and the straight line $x + \mathbf{R}e_s$ (when $e_s = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$), such that $\{t_v\}$ fulfils the assumptions of Corollary (II) (for the function ψ). There exist numbers z_j , $j = \beta_s + 1, \dots, \alpha_s$, which fulfil the following conditions:

$$z_j - t_1 < \frac{\delta}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad |\psi(z_j)| < \delta.$$

Since $0 < z_j - x_{0s} < \delta$ we can use Lemma 2. As result we obtain $\theta_s \in (x_{0s}, x_{0s} + \delta)$ for which the following inequality holds good:

$$|D^\beta\varphi(x)| \leq \alpha_s\delta + |D^{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s, 0, \dots, 0)}\varphi(x_1, \dots, \theta_s, \dots, x_n)|\delta.$$

We apply this method several times. Hence we get the numbers $\theta_j \in (x_{0j}, x_{0j} + \delta)$ for $j = s, \dots, k$, such that

$$|D^\beta \varphi(x)| \leq \alpha_s \delta + \dots + \alpha_k \delta^{k-s+1} + |D^\gamma \varphi(y)| \delta^{k-s+1},$$

where $\gamma = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $y = (x_1, \dots, x_{s-1}, \theta_s, \dots, \theta_k, \dots, x_n)$. Similarly, using Corollary (I) and Lemma 2 we obtain

$$\forall x \in J \exists \theta_j \in (x_{0j}, x_{0j} + \delta), \quad j = k+1, \dots, n,$$

such that

$$|D^\gamma \varphi(x)| \leq \alpha_{k+1} Q + \dots + \alpha_n Q \delta^{n-k+1} + |D^\alpha \varphi(y)| \delta^{n-k},$$

where γ as above and $y = (x_1, \dots, x_k, \theta_{k+1}, \dots, \theta_n)$.

Finally, since $\delta < 1$, we obtain

$$\forall \beta \in A_k \quad \forall x \in J \quad \exists \theta \in J:$$

$$|D^\beta \varphi(x)| \leq (\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k) \delta + (\alpha_{k+1} + \dots + \alpha_n) Q \delta + |D^\alpha \varphi(\theta)| \delta^{n-k+1}.$$

The set A_k has $\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k$ elements. We denote this number by d . By the assumption (2), the following inequalities hold good:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\beta \in A_k} |D^\beta \varphi(x_0)| &\leq \sup_{x \in J} \left\{ \sum_{\beta \in A_k} |D^\beta \varphi(x)| \right\} \\ &\leq d(d\delta + (\alpha_{k+1} + \dots + \alpha_n) Q \delta + C(1 + \sup_{x \in J} \left\{ \sum_{\beta \in A_k} |D^\beta \varphi(x)| \right\}) \delta^{n-k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

After solving the last inequality we have

$$\sup_{x \in J} \left\{ \sum_{\beta \in A_k} |D^\beta \varphi(x)| \right\} \leq \frac{d(d\delta + (\alpha_{k+1} + \dots + \alpha_n) Q \delta + C\delta^{n-k+1})}{1 - Cd\delta^{n-k+1}}.$$

This ends the proof.

Proof of Theorem 2: Let us fix $\varepsilon > 0$. To ε we select $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\frac{EL^{|\alpha|} \delta}{1 - EL^{|\alpha|} \delta} < \varepsilon,$$

where $E = \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n$ and $L = \max\{w_{v+1}^i - w_v^i : i = 1, \dots, n; v = 1, 2, \dots\}$ or $L = 1$, if this maximum is less than 1.

The assumptions imply that there exists $\mu_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ such that

$$C(x)p_i(x) < \delta \quad \text{and} \quad p_i(x) < 1, \quad \text{when } x_i \geq w_{\mu_i}^i.$$

We introduce the set $K = [0, w_{\mu_1}^1] \times \dots \times [0, w_{\mu_n}^n]$. We shall prove that if

$$x_0 \in [0, \infty)^n \setminus K \quad \text{then} \quad \sum_{\beta < \alpha} |D^\beta \varphi(x_0)| < \varepsilon.$$

There exist $v_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ such that $x_{0i} \in [w_{v_i}^i, w_{v_i+1}^i)$. Since $x_0 \notin K$ there is i such that $x_{0i} > w_{\mu_i}^i$. We may assume that $i = 1$. We put

$$J = [w_{v_1}^1, w_{v_1+\alpha_1+1}^1] \times \dots \times [w_{v_n}^n, w_{v_n+\alpha_n+1}^n].$$

We fix $x \in J$ and $\beta < \alpha$. It follows from (e) that

$$D^{(0, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n)} \varphi \equiv 0 \quad \text{in the set } \{w_v^1\} \times [0, \infty)^{n-1}.$$

We apply $\alpha_1 - \beta_1$ times Rolle's Theorem and we obtain

$$|D^\beta \varphi(x)| \leq |D^{(\alpha_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n)} \varphi(\theta_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)| p_1(x_0)$$

for some $\theta_1 \in (w_{v_1}^1, w_{v_1 + \alpha_1 + 1}^1)$.

We repeat this operation n times. As a result we get

$$|D^\beta \varphi(x)| \leq |D^\alpha \varphi(\theta)| p_1(x_0) L^{\alpha_2} \dots L^{\alpha_n} \quad \text{for some } \theta \in J.$$

The condition (d) implies that

$$\sup_{x \in J} \left\{ \sum_{\beta < \alpha} |D^\beta \varphi(x)| \right\} = \sum_{\beta < \alpha} |D^\beta \varphi(\bar{x})| \quad \text{for some } \bar{x} \in J.$$

For β and \bar{x} we select θ_β as above. The maximum of $|D^\alpha \varphi(\theta_\beta)|$ for all $\beta < \alpha$ is realized in some $\theta_{\beta_0} = \theta$.

We observe that there are E multi-indices less than α and the function p_1 is constant in the set J . By virtue of (3) we get the following inequality:

$$\sum_{\beta < \alpha} |D^\beta \varphi(\bar{x})| \leq L^{|\alpha|} E p_1(x_0) |D^\alpha \varphi(\theta)| \leq L^{|\alpha|} E p_1(\bar{x}) C(\bar{x}) \left(1 + \sum_{\beta < \alpha} |D^\beta \varphi(\bar{x})| \right).$$

Since $x_0 \in J$ and $p_1(\bar{x}) C(\bar{x}) < \delta$, we prove the thesis after solving the inequality:

$$\sum_{\beta < \alpha} |D^\beta \varphi(\bar{x})| \leq \delta E L^{|\alpha|} \left(1 + \sum_{\beta < \alpha} |D^\beta \varphi(\bar{x})| \right)$$

References

- [1] S. R. Bernfeld, A. Lasota, *Quickly oscillating solutions of ordinary autonomous differential equations*, Proc. A. M. S., vol. 30, no 3 (1971), 519-526.
- [2] M. Łuczyński, *On the convergence to zero of oscillating solutions of ordinary differential equation of order n* , Zeszyty Naukowe U. J., Prace Mat. 7 (1962), 17-20.
- [3] A. Pelczar, *On the convergence to zero of oscillating solutions of some partial differential equations*, Zeszyty Naukowe U. J., Prace Mat. 11 (1966), 49-51.
- [4] J. P. Shanahan, *On existence in the large of solutions of hyperbolic partial differential equations*, Red. Circ. Mat. Palermo, Serie II Tomo XI (1962), 225-236.

Received November 18, 1978.