

## Canonical Extension of Local Pseudo-dynamical Semi-systems

by Antoni MARCIŃSKI

In the present paper we construct an extension of local pseudo-dynamical semi-systems  $(X, G_*, A; \pi)$ , where  $G_*$  is a positive semigroup of an ordered group  $G$ , to pseudo-dynamical systems, with values which are non-empty subsets of the space  $X$ . The extension considered is so far minimal that the emission zone of a point in the extended system gives the minimal set, which together with its supplement is a positive invariant in the sense of positive invariability in the  $(X, G_*, A; \pi)$  semi-system.

We use the terminology for local pseudo-dynamical semi-system and for its generalization introduced by A. Pelczar in [1]. According to this terminology the word "local" refers to the domain of  $\pi$  only a subset  $A \subset G \times X$ , "pseudo" to the lack of continuity of  $\pi$ , and the word "semi" to the fact that  $(G, +)$  is a semigroup.

The author introduces the notion of canonical extension with regard to the equivalence relation in  $X$ , compatible in a sense with the semi-system, and inducing a transformation of the extended system with values in equivalence classes with regard to the relation considered.

1. Let  $X$  be a non-empty set henceforth called a space  $(G, +)$  an Abel semigroup with neutral element 0,  $A$  a non-empty subset of  $G \times X$  such that  $\{0\} \times X \subset A$ . For  $x \in X$  let us put

$$I_x := \{t \in G: (t, x) \in A\}.$$

DEFINITION 1. (Def. 1.2.1 in [1]). Let  $\pi$  be a transformation in  $A$  with values in  $X$ . The quadruple  $(X, G, A; \pi)$  is called a *local pseudo-dynamical semi-system* (abbr. *Loc PDS-system*) if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $\pi(0, x) = x$  for every  $x \in X$ ,
- (ii) if  $x \in X, t \in I_x, s \in I_{\pi(t, x)}$  then  $t+s \in I_x$ ,
- (iii) for  $x \in X, t \in I_x, s \in I_{\pi(t, x)}$  we have

$$\pi(s, \pi(t, x)) = \pi(s+t, x).$$

If  $(G, +)$  is a group and if

- (iv) for  $x \in X, t \in I_x$  we have  $-t \in I_{\pi(t, x)}$

then  $(X, G, A; \pi)$  is called a *local pseudo-dynamical system* (abbr. *Loc. PD-system*).

DEFINITION 2. (Def. 1.7.1 in [1]). Let  $\lambda$  be a transformation on  $A$  ( $A$  as before) with values in the set of all non-empty subsets of  $X$ . The quadruple  $(X, G, A; \lambda)$  is called a *generalized local pseudo-dynamical semi-system* (abbr. G Loc PDS-system) if and only if the following conditions are fulfilled:

- (j)  $\lambda(0, x) = \{x\}$  for  $x \in X$ ,
- (jj) if  $x \in X, t \in I_x, y \in \lambda(t, x), s \in I_y$  then  $t+s \in I_x$ ,
- (jjj) for  $x \in X, t \in I_x, y \in \lambda(t, x), s \in I_y$ , we have

$$\lambda(s, y) \subset \lambda(t+s, x).$$

DEFINITION 2'. Let  $\lambda$  be a transformation on  $A$  ( $A$  as before) with values in the set of all non-empty subsets of  $X$ . The quadruple  $(X, G, A; \lambda)$  is called a *multivalued local pseudo-dynamical semi-system* (abbr. M Loc PDS-system) if and only if the following conditions are fulfilled:

- (j')  $x \in \lambda(0, x)$  for  $x \in X$ ,
- (jj) and (jjj) as before.

Similarly a MLocPDS-system is called a *multivalued local pseudo-dynamical system* (abbr. MLocPD-system) if and only if  $(G, +)$  is a group and if the following condition holds:

- (jv) if  $x \in X, t \in I_x, y \in \lambda(t, x)$ , then  $-t \in I_y$  and  $x \in \lambda(-t, y)$ .

Note that if  $(X, G, A; \lambda)$  is a GLocPDS-system,  $A = G \times X$  and  $G$  is a group, then for every  $(t, x) \in G \times X$  the set  $\lambda(t, x)$  contains only one element. This follows from the inclusion:

$$\lambda(t, x) \subset \lambda(t+(-t), y) \quad \text{for } y \in \lambda(-t, x).$$

Then a GLocPDS-system may be regarded as a LocPD-system. This conclusion is not true in the case of an MLocPDS-system.

Remark 1. Let  $(X, G, A; \lambda)$  be an MLocPDS-system. Then

- 1) the relation

$$yR_0x \stackrel{\text{df}}{\Leftrightarrow} y \in \lambda(0, x)$$

is reflexive and transitive,

- 2) if  $z \in \lambda(t, x), yR_0z$ , then  $y \in \lambda(t, x)$ .

Example 1. Let  $X = \mathbf{R}^2$  ( $\mathbf{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$ ),  $(G, +) = (\mathbf{R}_*, +)$  ( $\mathbf{R}_* = [0, \infty)$ ). Define the transformation  $\lambda$  in  $\mathbf{R}_* \times \mathbf{R}^2$  by the formula

$$\lambda(t, (x, y)) := \{x+t\} \times [y-t, y+t].$$

In  $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^2$  let us put

$$\mu(t, (x, y)) := \{x+t\} \times (-\infty, y].$$

Then  $(\mathbf{R}^2, \mathbf{R}_*, \mathbf{R}_* \times \mathbf{R}^2; \lambda)$  is a GLocPDS-system and  $(\mathbf{R}^2, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^2; \mu)$  is an MLoc PDS-system.

