

A REMARK ON THE INNER CARATHÉODORY DISTANCE FOR THE ANNULUS

BY PIOTR KOT

Abstract. We give a simplified proof of the equality $c_{\mathcal{P}}(x, y) = c_{\mathcal{P}}^i(x, y)$ for $1/R < x, y < R$, where $c_{\mathcal{P}}, c_{\mathcal{P}}^i$ denote the Carathéodory distance and the inner Carathéodory distance for the annulus \mathcal{P} , respectively.

For $R > 1$ let $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(R) = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : \frac{1}{R} < |z| < R\}$ and let $c_{\mathcal{P}}, c_{\mathcal{P}}^i$ denote the Carathéodory distance and the inner Carathéodory distance for the annulus \mathcal{P} , respectively (cf. [Jar-Pfl], pp. 16, 38).

The aim of this note is to present a simplified proof of the following theorem.

THEOREM (cf. [Jar-Pfl], Proposition 5.10). For $\frac{1}{R} < x, y < R$ we have $c_{\mathcal{P}}(x, y) = c_{\mathcal{P}}^i(x, y)$.

PROOF. Let $\frac{1}{R} < a < b < c < R$. It is enough to prove that

$$(*) \quad c_{\mathcal{P}}(a, b) + c_{\mathcal{P}}(b, c) = c_{\mathcal{P}}(a, c).$$

Let $\operatorname{cn}, \operatorname{sn}, \operatorname{dn}$ denote the functions: *cosinus amplitudinis*, *sinus amplitudinis*, and *delta amplitudinis*, respectively (cf. [Whit], p. 291). Put $x = e^{2\pi it}$ and for $y \in (x, R)$ let $y = e^{2\pi is}$, $u := t + s, v := t - s$. One can easily prove (cf. [Jar-Pfl], p. 163) that

$$c_{\mathcal{P}}(x, y) = \tanh^{-1} \left(-ik \frac{\operatorname{cn}(u) \operatorname{sn}(v)}{\operatorname{dn}(v)} \right), \quad \text{where } k \text{ is a constant.}$$

Evidently $c_{\mathcal{P}}(x, \cdot) \in C^{\infty}((x, R))$. Put

$$G(x, y) := \frac{d}{d\tau} c_{\mathcal{P}}(x, \tau) \Big|_{\tau=y}, \quad \frac{1}{R} < x < y < R.$$

Then $G(x, \cdot) \in C^\infty((x, R))$. Suppose that

$$(**) \quad G(a, y) = G(b, y), \quad y \in (b, R).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\mathcal{P}}(a, b) + c_{\mathcal{P}}(b, c) &= \int_a^b G(a, y) dy + \int_b^c G(b, y) dy \\ &= \int_a^b G(a, y) dy + \int_b^c G(a, y) dy \\ &= \int_a^c G(a, y) dy = c_{\mathcal{P}}(a, c). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore it is enough to prove (**). Put $A := \operatorname{sn}'(0)$ and $M := \frac{Ak}{2\pi} e^{-2\pi is}$. Then, using classical facts from the theory of amplitudinis functions (cf. [Whit], pp. 292, 295, 296), we get

$$\begin{aligned} G(x, y) &= M \left(\frac{-\operatorname{cn}(u) \operatorname{cn}(v) + \operatorname{cn}(u) \operatorname{cn}(v) \operatorname{dn}^2(v) + \operatorname{cn}(u) \operatorname{cn}(v) k^2 \operatorname{sn}^2(v)}{1 - k^2 \operatorname{sn}^2(u) \operatorname{sn}^2(v)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\operatorname{sn}(u) \operatorname{sn}(v) \operatorname{dn}(u) \operatorname{dn}(v) + \operatorname{cn}(u) \operatorname{cn}(v)}{1 - k^2 \operatorname{sn}^2(u) \operatorname{sn}^2(v)} \right) \\ &= M \operatorname{cn}(u - v) = \frac{Ak}{2\pi} e^{-2\pi is} \operatorname{cn}(2s). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. \square

REMARK. The equality (*) was proved in [Jar-Pfl] by complicated algebraic identities (the authors advised the reader to use a computer). Notice that we have used only basic properties of the amplitudinis functions.

References

- [Jar-Pfl] Jarnicki M., Pflug P., *Invariant Distances and Metrics in Complex Analysis*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin; New York, 1993.
 [Whit] Whittaker E.T., Watson G.N., *A Course of Modern Analysis*, vol. 2, PWN, Warsaw, 1968.

Received October 3, 1996

Instytut Matematyki
 Uniwersytet Jagielloński
 Reymonta 4
 PL 30-059 Kraków
 e-mail: kot@im.uj.edu.pl