

Jan Bochenek

## On a certain question for the linear combinations of the eigenfunctions in the Sturm-Liouville problem

In this paper a generalization will be given of a certain proposition [1] about zero points of the linear combinations of eigenfunctions.

1. Let us consider the problem of eigenfunctions for the equation:

$$(1) \quad L[u] + \lambda \rho(x)u = 0,$$

where

$$L[u] = [p(x)u'(x)]' - q(x)u(x),$$

with boundary conditions

$$(1a) \quad \alpha_1 u(a) - \alpha_2 u'(a) = 0, \quad \beta_1 u(b) + \beta_2 u'(b) = 0$$

at the end points of the interval

$$(2) \quad a \leq x \leq b \quad \text{where} \quad a < b,$$

$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$  being constant non-negative numbers fulfilling the conditions

$$\alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_1^2 + \beta_2^2 > 0.$$

Under the assumption that the functions  $\rho(x) > 0$ ,  $q(x) > 0$ ,  $p(x) > 0$  are continuous in the interval (2), there exists, as known [2], for the problem (1), (1a) a sequence of eigenvalues

$$(3) \quad 0 \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \lambda_3 < \dots \quad \lim_{n/\infty} \lambda_n = \infty$$

and [3] a sequence of eigenfunctions of class  $C^1$  in the interval (2)

$$(4) \quad u_1(x), u_2(x), u_3(x), \dots$$

Moreover, the function  $u_n(x)$  has in the interval  $(a, b)$  exactly  $n-1$  zero points, which are not zero points of its derivative.

We shall establish the following:

**Theorem.** *Every linear combination of eigenfunctions (4) of the form*

$$(5) \quad f(x) = c_m u_m(x) + \dots + c_n u_n(x), \quad n \geq m \geq 1,$$

$c_m, \dots, c_n$  real constants,  $c_m^2 + \dots + c_n^2 > 0$ , has in the interval  $(a, b)$  at least  $m-1$ , and at most  $n-1$  zero points.

2. To prove this theorem we shall prove the following lemmas:

Lemma 1. If:

1°  $f(x)$  and  $g(x) = p(x)f'(x)$  (where  $p(x)$  — continuous and positive in  $\langle a, b \rangle$ ) are of class  $C^1$  in  $\langle a, b \rangle$ ,

2°  $f(x)$  fulfills the boundary conditions (1a) for  $\alpha_2 = 0$  or  $\beta_2 = 0$ ,

3°  $f(x) \neq 0$  in  $(a, b)$ ,

then there exists a point  $\eta \in (a, b)$  such that  $h(\eta) \cdot f(\eta) < 0$ , where

$$(6) \quad h(x) = L[f(x)].$$

Proof. We establish the proof in the case when  $\beta_2 = 0$  (the proof in the case  $\alpha_2 = 0$  is analogous). If  $\beta_2 = 0$ , then  $f(b) = 0$  by (1a). Assuming that  $f(x) > 0$ , then  $f(x)$  in the point  $a$  fulfills one of the following conditions: 1°  $f(a) = 0$ , 2°  $f(a) > 0$  and  $f'(a) > 0$ , 3°  $f(a) > 0$  and  $f'(a) = 0$ .

It is easy to see that in every one of the cases 1°, 2°, 3° there exists a point  $a \leq x_0 \leq b$  such that  $f'(x_0) = 0$  and  $f(x_0) > 0$ . By  $f(x_0) > 0$  and  $f(b) = 0$ , there exists a point  $x_0 < x_1 < b$  such that  $f'(x_1) < 0$ , or else  $g(x_0) = p(x_0)f'(x_0) = 0$ ,  $g(x_1) = p(x_1)f'(x_1) < 0$ . Hence

$$\frac{g(x_1) - g(x_0)}{x_1 - x_0} < 0.$$

But from the mean value theorem

$$\frac{g(x_1) - g(x_0)}{x_1 - x_0} = g'(\eta),$$

hence  $g'(\eta) < 0$  and  $f(\eta) > 0$ , because  $x_0 < \eta < x_1 < b$ . We have then  $h(\eta) = g'(\eta) - q(\eta)f(\eta) < 0$ . The inequality  $h(\eta) > 0$ , when  $f(x) < 0$  in  $(a, b)$ , can be obtained arguing as above, in application to the function  $-f(x)$ , which gives  $-h(\eta) < 0$ , and this proves lemma 1.

Lemma 2. If the functions  $f(x)$  and  $g(x) = p(x)f'(x)$  (where  $p(x)$  is continuous and positive in  $\langle a, b \rangle$ ) are of the class  $C^1$  in  $\langle a, b \rangle$ , and if for certain points  $\eta_1, y, \eta_2$  of the interval  $(a, b)$  the relations  $\eta_1 < y < \eta_2$ ,  $h(\eta_1)f(\eta_1) < 0$ ,  $h(\eta_2)f(\eta_2) < 0$ ,  $f(y) = 0$  hold, then there exists at least one point  $\xi \in (\eta_1, \eta_2)$  such that  $h(\xi) = 0$ , where  $h(x)$  is defined by formula (6).

Proof. If  $f(\eta_1) > 0$  and  $f(\eta_2) < 0$  or inversely, then  $h(\eta_1)h(\eta_2) < 0$  and the assertion of lemma 2 follows immediately from the continuity of the function  $h(x)$ . If  $f(\eta_1) > 0$  and  $f(\eta_2) > 0$  ( $f(\eta_1) < 0$  and  $f(\eta_2) < 0$ ), then there exists a point  $\eta_1 < x_0 < \eta_2$ , in which  $f(x)$  attains a local non-positive minimum (a local non-negative maximum). Let us suppose that  $f(x)$  attains in  $x_0$  a local non-positive minimum (the proof is analogous for the case of a non-negative maximum), or else  $f(x_0) \leq 0$ ,  $f'(x_0) = 0$ , hence  $g(x_0) = p(x_0)f'(x_0) = 0$ . Let  $\{x_k\}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$  be a sequence of points of the interval  $(a, b)$  with the properties:

$$\lim_{k/\infty} x_k = x_0 \quad x_k > x_0 \quad f'(x_k) \geq 0 \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Such a sequence exists evidently, because  $f(x)$  attains a minimum in  $x_0$ . As  $g(x_k) \geq 0$ , then

$$\frac{g(x_k) - g(x_0)}{x_k - x_0} \geq 0,$$

hence

$$\lim_{k/\infty} \frac{g(x_k) - g(x_0)}{x_k - x_0} = g'(x_0) \geq 0$$

and

$$(7) \quad h(x_0) = g'(x_0) - q(x_0)f(x_0) \geq 0.$$

The assertion of lemma 2 follows from (7) (because  $h(\eta_1) < 0$  and  $h(\eta_2) < 0$  as assumed).

**Lemma 3.** *If:*

- 1°  $f(x)$  and  $g(x) = p(x)f'(x)$  ( $p(x)$  — continuous and positive in  $\langle a, b \rangle$ ) are of class  $C^1$  in  $\langle a, b \rangle$ ,
  - 2°  $f(x)$  satisfies the boundary conditions (1a),
  - 3°  $f(x)$  has  $p$  zero points in  $(a, b)$  ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ),
- then the function  $h(x)$  defined by the formula (6) has at least  $p$  zero points in this interval.

**Proof.** Let us assume for the present that  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_p$  are the zero points of function  $f(x)$  in  $(a, b)$ . By lemma 1, in every interval

$$(x_i, x_{i+1}) \quad i = 0, \dots, p \quad x_0 = a, \quad x_{p+1} = b$$

there exists a point  $\eta_i$  such that  $h(\eta_i)f(\eta_i) < 0$  ( $i = 0, \dots, p$ ), and by lemma 2 in every interval

$$(\eta_i, \eta_{i+1}) \quad (i = 1, \dots, p)$$

there exists at least one point  $\xi_i$  such that  $h(\xi_i) = 0$  ( $i = 1, \dots, p$ ), and this proves lemma 3.

If  $p = +\infty$ , then either  $f(x) \equiv 0$  in  $\langle a_1, b_1 \rangle$  ( $a \leq a_1 < b_1 \leq b$ ), and  $h(x) \equiv 0$  in  $\langle a_1, b_1 \rangle$ , whence lemma 3 is evident, or  $f(x) \not\equiv 0$  in every sub-interval of the interval  $\langle a, b \rangle$ , and then there exists a denumerable sequence of disjoint intervals  $\{(a_n, b_n)\}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that  $f(x) \neq 0$  in  $(a_n, b_n)$  and similarly to the case  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , we can show a sequence of points  $\{\xi_n\}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that  $h(\xi_n) = 0$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ).

**Lemma 4.** *If:*

- 1° the functions of the sequence  $\{f_n(x)\}$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) are of the class  $C^1$  in the interval  $\langle a, b \rangle$ ,
- 2°  $f_n(x)$  tends uniformly to  $f(x)$  and  $f'_n(x)$  tends uniformly to  $f'(x)$  in the interval  $\langle a, b \rangle$ ,
- 3°  $f(x)$  has  $p$  zeros in the interval  $\langle a, b \rangle$  which are not zero points of  $f'(x)$ , when  $f(a) \neq 0$  and  $f(b) \neq 0$  ( $p < \infty$ ), then the number of zeros of every function  $f_n(x)$  for sufficiently large  $n$  is equal to  $p$  in the interval  $\langle a, b \rangle$ .

**Proof.** Let us suppose that

$$(8) \quad x_1, \dots, x_p$$

are zero points of the function  $f(x)$  in the interval  $\langle a, b \rangle$ . We put  $K = \min \{|f'(x_1)|, |f'(x_2)|, \dots, |f'(x_p)|\}$ . Evidently  $K > 0$ . From the continuity of  $f'(x)$  in  $\langle a, b \rangle$  it follows that for every  $x_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, p$ ) there exists an interval  $\Delta_i$  ( $\Delta_i \subset \langle a, b \rangle$ ) containing  $x_i$  such that  $|f'(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2}K$  (it can be assumed that  $\Delta_i$  are disjoint). Let us choose for the number  $\gamma K$  (by the uniform continuity of  $\{f_n(x)\}$  and  $\{f'_n(x)\}$ ) such an  $N$  that

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| \leq \gamma K \quad \text{for } n > N$$

and

$$|f'_n(x) - f'(x)| \leq \gamma K \quad \text{for } n > N$$

where  $0 < \gamma < \frac{1}{2}$  is chosen so that the common part of the set

$$E = \{(x, y) | a \leq x \leq b, f(x) - \gamma K \leq y \leq f(x) + \gamma K\}$$

and the interval  $\langle a, b \rangle$  would be the union of  $p$  intervals  $\Delta'_i \subset \Delta_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, p$ ). Now it is evident that in every one of the intervals  $\Delta'_i$  there exists at least one zero point of the function  $f_n(x)$  for  $n > N$ . We shall show that in none of these intervals there can be more than one zero point of the function  $f_n(x)$ . For this purpose it is sufficient to prove that for  $x \in \Delta'_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, p$ ) and  $n > N$   $|f'_n(x)| > 0$ . Indeed

$$|f'_n(x)| = |f'_n(x) - f'(x) + f'(x)| \geq |f'(x)| - |f'_n(x) - f'(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2}K - \gamma K > 0.$$

3. **Proof of the theorem.** It is easy to see that without loss of generality it can be assumed  $c_m \neq 0$  and  $c_n \neq 0$ . For  $n = 1$  the theorem is evident. Let us suppose that for  $n > 1$  the function defined by formula (5) has  $p$  zero points in the interval  $(a, b)$ . From the definition of the function  $f(x)$  it follows that it satisfies the conditions of lemma 3. Applying this lemma we get

$$(9) \quad h(x) = L[f(x)] = -\lambda_n \varrho(x) \left[ \frac{\lambda_m}{\lambda_n} c_m u_m(x) + \frac{\lambda_{m+1}}{\lambda_n} c_{m+1} u_{m+1}(x) + \dots + c_n u_n(x) \right],$$

where  $h(x)$  has at least  $p$  zero points in the interval  $(a, b)$ . As  $\lambda_n \neq 0$  (for  $n > 1$ ) and  $\varrho(x) \neq 0$  in  $(a, b)$ , therefore the function

$$(10) \quad f_1(x) = \frac{\lambda_m}{\lambda_n} c_m u_m(x) + \dots + c_n u_n(x)$$

has at least  $p$  zero points in the interval  $(a, b)$ . But  $f_1(x)$  has the same form as  $f(x)$  and satisfies the assumptions of lemma 3. Applying again lemma 3 to  $f_1(x)$  we obtain the function

$$(11) \quad f_2(x) = \left( \frac{\lambda_m}{\lambda_n} \right)^2 c_m u_m(x) + \left( \frac{\lambda_{m+1}}{\lambda_n} \right)^2 c_{m+1} u_{m+1}(x) + \dots + c_n u_n(x).$$

